Gain of Function of a p53 Hot Spot Mutation in a Mouse Model of Li-Fraumeni Syndrome

Gene A. Lang,^{1,4} Tomoo Iwakuma,^{1,4} Young-Ah Suh,¹ **Geng Liu,1 V. Ashutosh Rao,2 John M. Parant,1** Yasmine A. Valentin-Vega,¹ Tamara Terzian,¹ **Department of Molecular Genetics of Biomedical Sciences a truncated p53 protein. 1515 Holcombe Boulevard Functional consequences of missense mutations ver-**

ingly, the embryonic fibroblasts from the $p53^{515A/515A}$

opment (Malkin et al., 1990; Srivastava et al., 1990). Individuals with p53 mutations are prone to early onset tumor development and develop multiple tumors (Li and Lisa C. Caldwell, Fraumeni, 1969a; Li and Fraumeni, 1969b; Li et al., 1988). ¹ Louise C. Strong,1 Adel K. El-Naggar,³ and Guillermina Lozano^{1,*} **38 11** and Contrast to other cancer syndromes, a wide variety **of tumor types are observed in LFS families; the most ¹ Section of Cancer Genetics common are sarcomas, breast cancers, leukemias, brain tumors, and adrenocortical carcinomas (Evans 2Department of Experimental Therapeutics 3Department of Pathology and Lozano, 1997; Hwang et al., 2003). Most of the** *p53* **The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer mutations in LFS are also missense mutations in the Center and conserved regions of the p53 DNA binding domain, while The University of Texas Graduate School a few are nonsense mutations that result in no p53 or**

Houston, Texas 77030 sus deletions of *p53* **have been extensively characterized in vitro (Sigal and Rotter, 2000). All p53 missense mutations are loss-of-function mutations that mimic ab-Summary sence of p53 because of their inability to inhibit cell cycling or induce apoptosis. However, dominant-negative p53 mutants can inhibit the function of the normal Individuals with Li-Fraumeni syndrome carry inherited** mutations in the *p53* tumor suppressor gene and are p53 protein, usually through protein-protein interactions

predisposed to tumor development To examine the (Milner et al., 1991). The dominant-negative hypothesis **predisposed to tumor development. To examine the (Milner et al., 1991). The dominant-negative hypothesis mechanistic nature of these p53 missense mutations, is strongly supported by the observations that many we generated mice harboring a G-to-A substitution at mutant p53 proteins have an increased half-life (Finlay nucleotide 515 of** *p53* **(***p53***^{+/515A}) corresponding to the et al., 1988; Hinds et al., 1990; Slingerland et al., 1993)
p53B175H** bot spot mutation in human cancers, AL, and that they oligomerize with wild-type p53, in **p53R175H hot spot mutation in human cancers. Al- and that they oligomerize with wild-type p53, inhibiting its function (Farmer et al., 1992; Jeffrey et al., 1995; though** *p53/515A* **mice display a similar tumor spectrum Milner et al., 1991; Sturzbecher et al., 1992). The forma- and survival curve as** *p53/* **mice, tumors from** *p53/515A* mice metastasized with high frequency. Correspond-
 the embryonic fibroblasts from the n53^{5154/5154}

Werts wild-type p53 into the mutant conformation in vitro **mutant mice displayed enhanced cell proliferation,** (Milner et al., 1991). Gain-of-function mutations, on the nutations and transformation potential The displayed enhanced cell proliferation, of ther hand, are those misse DNA synthesis, and transformation potential. The dis-
ruption of $p63$ and $p73$ in $p53^{-/-}$ cells increased trans-
formation cancely and reinitiated DNA synthesis to p53. For example, the p53R175H mutant, when overex-Formation capacity and reinitiated DNA synthesis to
levels observed in $p53^{515A/515A}$ cells. Additionally, p63
and p73 were functionally inactivated in $p53^{515A}$ cells.
These results provide in vivo validation for the **al., 1998a, 1998b). Introduction While these experiments suggest both dominant-neg-**

The p53 tumor suppressor encodes a transcriptional
regulator that controls cell cycle progression and apo-
ptosis. In response to DNA damage or cellular stress,
p53 activity increases, initiating a cascade of events
best **in individuals with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), a syn- density, and form colonies in soft agar as compared to** cells infected with a single copy of the wild-type p53 **retrovirus. However, and perhaps more importantly, a *Correspondence: gglozano@mdanderson.org cell line containing one copy of each of the wild-type** and mutant $p53$ genes was indistinguishable from the

⁴These authors contributed equally to this work.

cell line that expresses wild-type p53 alone. These data Results indicate that, in the heterozygous state, wild-type p53 is dominant over mutant p53. These data contrast with Generation of *p53515A* **Knockin Mice studies in murine ES cells in which the equivalent muta- To establish a LFS mouse model with a specific p53 tion was introduced into the** *p53* **locus. Upon exposure missense mutation, we generated mice with a targeted** to γ radiation, heterozygous ES cells show a dominant**negative phenotype (de Vries et al., 2002). These oppos-** *lox***P system (Figure 1A). We engineered an arg-to-his ing results suggest that, under different conditions, the substitution at amino acid 172 that corresponds to the same p53 mutation can or cannot function as a dominant hot spot mutation at arg 175 in humans. Southern blot negative. Importantly, these in vitro experiments cannot analysis was used to verify proper targeting of the endetermine the effect of mutant p53 on survival and tumor dogenous** *p53* **allele (Figure 1B). The** *neomycin* **gene spectrum in vivo. A mouse that contains a missense was removed from the targeted allele by crossing with mutation in one of the endogenous** *p53* **genes would be transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase (CMVinvaluable to understanding p53 missense mutations** *cre***). Sequence analysis of the entire coding region in in vivo. the** *p53* **transcript from homozygous mutant mouse em-**

ute to the p53 gain-of-function phenotype (Frazier et al., allele has been designated the *p53515A* **to indicate the 1998; Pugacheva et al., 2002; Sigal and Rotter, 2000). G-to-A alteration at nucleotide 515. Most attention has focused on the recently identified MEFs were generated from mice heterozygous and homozygous for the** *p53* **p53 family members p63 and p73 (Benard et al., 2003;** *515A* **mutation. The p53R172H Soussi, 2003). Several p63 and p73 isoforms lacking the mutant protein was present at high levels in** *p53515A/515A* **transactivation domain exist, called the TA isoforms, MEFs (Figure 1C). The levels of mutant p53 protein were that bind and inhibit the functions of full-length p63 and not high in** *p53/515A* **MEFs and could be due to the presp73, respectively (Fillippovich et al., 2001; Ishimoto et ence of the wild-type allele and its ability to activate the al., 2002; Stiewe et al., 2002; Yang et al., 1998). These** *mdm2* **gene, which encodes a protein that degrades isoforms also inhibit the function of p53. The TAp73 both wild-type and mutant p53 (Asher et al., 2003; Iwaisoforms are upregulated in some tumors with wild-type kuma and Lozano, 2003). p53, suggesting that they contribute to tumorigenesis through inactivation of p53 (Concin et al., 2004; Zaika In Vivo Tumorigenesis et al., 2002). Additionally, data using mice with deletions A cohort of** *p53* **mutant mice in the C57BL/6 background** of *p63* and *p73* indicate a cooperative nature of all p53 was generated to monitor tumor development and sur-

family members. p53-dependent apoptosis was nonex-

vival. The survival curves comparing p53^{-/-}. p53^{5154/5} **family members. p53-dependent apoptosis was nonex- vival. The survival curves comparing** *p53/***,** *p53515A/515A***, istent in cells lacking** *p63* **and** *p73* **(Flores et al., 2002). and** *p53/515A* **mice were identical, perhaps owing to the gests that disruption of any of these components crip- vival curves of** *p53/515A* **and** *p53/* **mice also overlapped ples p53 tumor suppression function. The ability of mu- and showed no statistical difference (Figure 2B). No tant p53 to bind and inhibit the function of p63 and p73 differences between survival of male and female mice suggests that mutant p53 would be more detrimental were observed (data not shown). Our survival data for**

To more accurately simulate the Li-Fraumeni syn- published data (Harvey et al., 1993a; Jacks et al., 1994). drome and to examine the mechanistic nature of mis- The most common tumor types seen in mice with any sense mutations on tumorigenesis in vivo, we generated of the above genotypes were lymphomas and sarcomas and characterized a mouse model with an arg-to-his (Table 1). The majority of $p53^{-/-}$ and $p53^{515A/515A}$ mice alteration (a G-to-A substitution at nucleotide 515) at developed lymphomas (56% and 70%, respectively). **alteration (a G-to-A substitution at nucleotide 515) at developed lymphomas (56% and 70%, respectively), p53 amino acid 172, corresponding to the p53R175H with a smaller percentage developing sarcomas (40% hot spot mutation in human cancers, by homologous and 29%, respectively).** *p53/* **and** *p53/515A* **showed an** developed tumors similarly to $p53^{+/}$ mice, with one spectively) with a concomitant decrease in lymphoma major difference: osteosarcomas and carcinomas from development (32% and 31.5%, respectively), $p53^{+/}$ and major difference: osteosarcomas and carcinomas from development (32% and 31.5%, respectively). *p53^{+/-}* and
 $p53^{+/515A}$ mice metastasized to various organs with high $p53^{+/515A}$ also developed carcinomas at low freq p53^{+/515A} mice metastasized to various organs with high p53^{+/515A} also developed carcinomas at low frequency
frequency. Thus, in vivo, mutant p53 has additional (12% and 15.5%), which are rare in p53^{-/-} and p53^{515A/} **frequency. Thus, in vivo, mutant p53 has additional (12% and 15.5%), which are rare in** *p53/* **and** *p53515A/515A* **properties that allow metastasis. Mouse embryonic fi- mice. Thus, in a C57BL/6 background, the comparison of the** *p53515A* **allele showed increased growth rates and ences in the kinds of tumors that these mice developed. higher saturation densities. Moreover, these cells con- However, the tumor spectrum of heterozygous mice tinued to undergo DNA synthesis under conditions in showed one marked difference. The osteosarcomas and which** *p53* **heterozygous and null cells did not. Mecha- carcinomas in** *p53/515A* **mice metastasized (Table 1 and nistically, p53R172H bound p63 and p73 in mouse tumor Figure 2C). Tumors and metastases from** *p53/515A* **mice cell lines and downmodulation of p63 and p73 in** *p53* **expressed high levels of p53 (Figure 2C). None of the null MEFs increased transformation potential. Notably,** *p53/* **heterozygous mice, in this and other cohorts that these data indicate in vivo differences between loss of we have studied, exhibited metastasis (Liu et al., 2000,** *p53* **or presence of a** *p53* **mutation in tumor development 2004). Thus, while the survival of mice lacking** *p53* **or inheriting a missense mutation did not vary,** *p53/515A* **and have significant implications for therapy.**

mutation at the endogenous p53 locus using the cre-**Several mechanisms have been identified to contrib- bryos indicated no other changes (data not shown). This**

rapid nature of tumor development (Figure 2A). The sur**than absence of p53.** *p53/* **and** *p53/* **mice were consistent with previously** increased frequency of sarcomas (56% and 53%, re $p53$ mutant ($p53^{515A}$) and null alleles showed no differ-

Figure 1. Knockin Strategy and Characterization of the *p53515A* **Allele**

(A) The cre-*lox***P-mediated strategy was used to generate the knockin allele. Mice with insertion of the** *neomycin* **(***neo***) gene flanked by** *lox***P sites (triangles) and a missense mutation in exon 5 (asterisk) were generated and mated with CMV-***cre***-expressing mice to delete** *neo***. The final product is a** *p53* **gene with a single** *lox***P site in intron 4 and a single substitution at nucleotide 515.**

(B) Southern blot analysis was performed on mouse tail DNAs of the various genotypes after digestion with EcoRI (RI). The banding patterns for three different probes before and after Cre recombination are shown.

(C) Western blot analysis of p53 protein levels in MEFs of various genotypes. /, *p53/***; /,** *p53/***; /,** *p53/***; /An,** *p53/515Aneo***; An/An,** *p53515Aneo/515Aneo***; /A,** *p53/515A***; A/A,** *p53515A/515A***.**

normal tissues in $p53^{+/55A}$ mice by in situ laser capture apoptotic cells, although not quite as high as $p53^{+/+}$

of Wild-Type p53 type p53 but not under all circumstances.

Other experiments performed to determine the role of mutant p53 in inhibition of wild-type p53 function include Proliferation Potential of MEFs crosses with the *mdm2* **null allele and functional assays Previous studies of MEFs derived from p53 heterozyin** *p53/515A* **mice. The** *mdm2* **null mouse dies early in gous crosses showed that** *p53/* **cells divided more** embryogenesis, but this phenotype is completely res-
 r rapidly than $p53^{+/}$ and $p53^{+/+}$ cells and that $p53^{-/-}$ **cued by deletion of p53 (Montes de Oca Luna et al., cells reached a higher saturation density than the other 1995; Jones et al., 1995). This mouse thus provides an genotypes (Harvey et al., 1993b). We therefore comin vivo assay to measure the functional significance of pared growth rates and saturation densities of cells with the** *p53515A* **p53 mutations (Iwakuma et al., 2004). We hypothesized allele to determine if the presence of the p53 that, if p53R172H functioned as a true dominant nega- mutant protein bestowed a gain-of-function phenotype.**

mice developed osteosarcomas and carcinomas that tive, then mice null for *mdm2* **and** *p53/515A* **should be born. Crosses between** *mdm2/* **and** *mdm2/p53* **metastasized at high frequency.** *515A/515A* **Since the p53R172H mutant was not very stable in mice were performed. If the missense mutant has a** *p53* **dominant-negative activity in this context, then we ex-** */515A* **MEFs (see Figure 1C) but the equivalent mutant is stable in human tumors, we performed immunohisto- pected 50% of the newborn mice to be** *mdm2/p53/515A***.** chemical analysis on some of the $p53^{+/515A}$ mouse tu-
This population of mice was not observed in ten off**mors. The results consistently showed stable p53 in spring born (data not shown), suggesting that the wildtype** *p53* **allele in** *p53* **tumor cells but not adjacent normal tissue (Figure 2C),** */515A* **mice remained functional** suggesting that other factors contribute to mutant p53 during embryogenesis. To examine p53-dependent apo**stability. One possible explanation for the increased lev- ptosis in response to DNA damage, embryos at 13.5 els of p53 in tumors is the loss of the wild-type** *p53* **allele. days gestation were irradiated and assayed for apopto-We therefore examined tumors for loss of heterozygosity sis in the region of the developing hypothalamus (Figure (LOH) by SSCP (single-stranded conformation polymor- 2E). In this assay,** *p53* **null mice showed no evidence of apoptosis, while** *p53* **phism) analysis using DNA isolated from tumors and** */* **mice showed a high number of mice. Under the same conditions,** *p53* **as we have previously described (Figure 2D) (Liu et al.,** */515A* **mice showed 2000). Only three of 13 tumors analyzed showed LOH, almost a complete absence of apoptosis, suggesting suggesting that loss of the wild-type** *p53* **allele is not a that, in response to DNA damage, the p53R172H mutant** prerequisite for increased mutant p53 levels. exerts a dominant-negative effect. These data and pub**lished in vitro data suggest that, in response to DNA Analysis of p53R172H Function in the Presence damage, the mutant p53 can inhibit the function of wild-**

Figure 2. Survival Curves of *p53515A/515A***,** *p53/515A***,** *p53/***,** *p53/***,** *p53/***, and** *p53/515A* **Mice**

(A) Survival of *p53/515A* **mice (n 24),** *p53515A/515A* **mice (n 32), and** *p53/* **mice (n 23) with respect to number of days is plotted.**

(B) Survival of $p53^{+/515A}$ mice (n = 83) and $p53^{+/-}$ mice (n = 40) with respect to number of days is plotted.

(C) Metastatic phenotype of osteosarcoma and adenocarcinoma. (i) Osteosarcoma metastasis to the liver (arrows). (ii) p53 immunohistochemical staining of adenocarcinoma of the lung and (iii) its metastatic lesion in the brain.

(D) LOH study of tumors with DNA isolated from paraffin-embedded tumors (T) and normal tissues (N) in *p53/515A* **mice using laser-capture microscopy. A representative result of SSCP with four different normal and tumor tissues is shown with control DNA (/,** *p53/***; /A,** *p53/515A***; A/A,** *p53515A/515A***).**

(E) TUNEL analysis of sections through 13.5 day mouse embryos. Apoptosis is analyzed in the region of the developing hypothalamus of the brain after IR.

4, all cell lines reached saturation, but the *p53515A/515A* **any significant differences in growth rate compared to and the** *p53/* **cell lines, but differences became apparent** *p53/515A* **MEFs reached a much higher saturation density at day 4 (Figure 3A). The** *p53* **compared to any of other genotypes. These data sug-** *515A/515A* **and** *p53/515A* **MEFs** grew more rapidly than $p53^{+/}$ and $p53^{+/}$ cells, as ex-
gest that the $p53^{5/5A}$ mutation gives MEFs a growth ad-

The *p53515A/515A* **and** *p53/515A* **MEFs initially did not show pected, and grew even faster than** *p53/* **cells. By day**

^a 23% metastasis (five out of 22).

^b 67% metastasis (two out of three).

^c 29% metastasis (two out of seven).

contact inhibition. DNA synthesis was measured by [³H] at different time points after initial plating. $p53^{+/+}$, nism of gain of function, we first asked whether endogelacking $p53$, providing additional evidence for a gain precipitated endogenous p63 and p73 (Figure 4A). **of function. These data suggest that the p53R172H mutant binds**

p53 missense mutations cooperate with activated *ras* **expression of mutant p53 and assayed for transactivain transformation of normal rat embryo fibroblasts (Hinds tion of** *p21***. p73 and p63 have previously been shown et al., 1989, 1990). To examine whether cells with an to transactivate the** *p21* **promoter through the p53** formation potential, we infected $p53^{-/-}$ and $p53^{515A/515A}$ al., 2001; Kaghad et al., 1997; Strano et al., 2002; Yang **cells with a retroviral vector containing a mutant** *Ha-* **et al., 1998). 318-1 cells transfected with** *p53* **siRNAs** ras^{*v12*} cDNA and analyzed the focus-forming potential. showed a dramatic decrease in mutant p53 protein lev $p53^{515A/515A}$ MEFs formed twice as many foci as $p53^{-/-}$ els (Figure 4B). To measure the activity of p63 and p73, trols formed no foci in both genotypes (Figures 3C and not shown) were transfected with vector, murine $p63\alpha$, $3D$). The size of the foci in the $p53^{515}$ mutant back- or HA-tagged $p73\alpha$ expression plasmids. with a **ground also appeared larger. These findings support a promoter luciferase plasmid, and with control or** *p53* **role for the** *p53515A* **allele in cooperation with activated specific siRNAs. In all cases, samples with** *p53* **siRNA** *ras* **in transformation of cells, further supporting a gain- showed increased** *p21* **promoter activity as compared of-function hypothesis for the p53R172H mutant. to samples with control siRNA (Figure 4C). Both p63 and**

for the gain-of-function effect observed for p53 mutants. also showed increased *p21* **promoter activity upon inhi-**

vantage allowing cells to bypass contact inhibition to a One mechanism involves the inhibition of activity of p53 greater extent than $p53^{-/-}$ MEFs. This supports a gain family members p63 and p73 by interactions with mutant **of function for the p53 mutant allele. p53 (Di Como et al., 1999; Gaiddon et al., 2001; Strano Since MEFs containing mutant p53 exhibited a growth et al., 2002). Several p63 and p73 isoforms bind the advantage, we decided to measure DNA synthesis upon p53R175H mutant protein, and the interaction inhibits** the transcriptional activity of p63 and p73 (Di Como et **thymidine incorporation in MEFs of different genotypes al., 1999; Gaiddon et al., 2001). To examine the mecha***p53/***, and** *p53/* **cell lines showed identical DNA syn- nous murine p63 and p73 bound p53R172H. Tumor cell thesis profiles. DNA synthesis gradually declined over lines from a lung adenocarcinoma (93-1), from an osteotime, the cells reached a quiescent state at day 6, and sarcoma (318-1), and from its metastasis (318-M) were the cells did not reenter the cell cycle (Figure 3B). On generated to examine the interaction of p63 and p73 the other hand, DNA synthesis was always higher for with mutant p53. These tumors arose in** *p53/515A* **mice,** cells containing mutant p53. The *p53^{515A/515A* and *p53^{+/515A}* and the cell lines derived from these tumors had lost} **cell lines showed significantly different DNA synthesis the wild-type** *p53* **allele (data not shown). In 93-1 and profiles in this assay. While** $p53^{+/+}$ **,** $p53^{+/-}$ **, and** $p53^{-/-}$ **318-M cells, endogenous p63 clearly interacted with cell lines never synthesized DNA again, cells with mutant mutant p53 by coimmunoprecipitation and Western p53 continued to synthesize DNA between days 6 and analysis (Figure 4A). The interactions of p53 and p73 10 (Figure 3B). Thus, again, the** *p53/515A* **and** *p53515A/515A* **were also clearly visible in all three cell lines. In the mutant MEFs showed obvious differences from cells reverse experiment, a p53-specific antibody coimmuno-**

p63 and p73 in cell lines derived from tumors from The p53R172H Mutant Increases Oncogenic *p53^{+/515A}* mice. To determine if this interaction function*ras***-Induced Transformation of MEFs ally inactivated p63 and p73, we used siRNA to inhibit endogenous p53 mutation exhibited an increased trans- responsive element (Di Como et al., 1999; Gaiddon et MEFs following** *ras* **transformation, while the vector con- 318-1 cells that have lost the wild-type** *p53* **allele (data 3D). The size of the foci in the** *p53515A/515A* **mutant back- or HA-tagged** *p73* **expression plasmids, with a** *p21* **p73 were able to activate the** *p21* **promoter to a greater Gain of Function via p63 and p73 extent in the absence of mutant p53. Experiments using** Several mechanisms have been proposed to account both $p63\alpha$ and $p73\alpha$ (at half the DNA concentration each)

Figure 3. Proliferation and Transformation in MEFs

(A) To measure cell growth rates, MEFs of different genotypes were plated at day 0 and counted at indicated time points.

(B) Time course of DNA synthesis upon contact inhibition in MEFs as measured by incorporation of [3 H] thymidine.

(C and D) The transformation potential of *p53/* **and** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs in cooperation with activated** *ras* **was measured using a focus-forming assay. Low-passage MEFs were infected with a retroviral vector containing an activated** *Ha-rasV12* **cDNA or vector control and diluted with nontransfected cells before plating. Foci were counted after 14 days of growth.**

(Figure 4C). We also measured the ability of endogenous p63 and p73 remained high with control siRNAs. p63 and p73 to activate the endogenous *p21* **promoter. To examine if the siRNAs specific for** *p63* **and** *p73* **In transfection experiments using 318-1 cells, the addi- could inhibit** *p63* **and** *p73* **expression in MEFs, we pertion of** *p53* **siRNA inhibited the levels of endogenous formed Western blot (Figure 5C) and real-time RT-PCR** *p53* **and increased** *p21* **mRNAs, as measured by real- analyses (Figure 5D). The data clearly show reduction time RT-PCR (Figure 4D). These data indicate functional of p63 and p73 protein levels. At the mRNA level,** *p63* **and inactivation of p63 and p73 by the p53R172H mutant** *p73* **mRNAs were barely detectable when the respective protein. siRNAs were used. Thus, the** *p63* **and** *p73* **siRNA dramat-**

tion, we downmodulated expression of *p63* **and** *p73* **in proteins in MEFs. focus-forming assays with MEFs of different genotypes. Next, focus-forming assays were performed utilizing First, to check the specificity of siRNAs, FLAG-tagged these** *p63* **and** *p73* **siRNAs. MEFs were infected with** *p63* **and myc- tagged** *p73* **expression constructs were activated** *ras***, followed by transfection with siRNAs for cotransfected with control siRNAs and siRNAs specific** *p63* **and** *p73***, or control siRNA. Control transfection exfor** *p63* **or** *p73* **into H1299 cells. The** *p63* **and** *p73* **siRNAs periments with or without siRNA showed no significant effect on the number of foci that formed in** *p53/* **showed almost complete inhibition of p63 and p73 pro- and**

bition of mutant p53. Even the addition of *p53* **siRNA tein levels by immunohistochemisty (Figure 5A) and alone showed a slight increase in** *p21* **luciferase activity Western blot analyses (Figure 5B), while the levels of**

To determine the role of p63 and p73 in transforma- ically reduced the levels of endogenous mRNAs and

thymidine beyond day 6, while *p53* **experiments (Figures 3C and 3D),** *p53 515A/515A* **MEFs contin-** *515A/515A* **MEFs both** $p63$ and $p73$ siRNAs, suggesting the increased $p73$ pathways are inhibited in $p53^{515A/515A}$ MEFs. **number of foci is caused by the inhibition of p63 and/ or p73 in** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs. Discussion**

Inhibition of p63 and p73 in *p53^{-/-}* **MEFs Reinitiates** *in this study, we have generated a knockin allele of a*

of-function phenotype, we exploited another difference these studies. First, the osteosarcomas and carcinomas from *p53* **between mutant and wild-type cells. Cells with one or** */515A* **mice metastasize, as in humans, to the two copies of the** *p53* **null allele cease to replicate DNA lymph nodes, lung, liver, and brain, while tumors from** *p53* **after short-term culture (Figure 3B), while cells with one** */* **mice do not (Donehower et al., 1992; Jacks et or two copies of the** *p53515A* **allele reinitiate DNA synthe- al., 1994). These data are supported by a metastatic sis. We therefore transfected siRNAs for** *p63* **and** *p73***, phenotype seen in tumors from mice with a hypomoror control siRNA into low passage MEFs, and monitored phic allele of the same mutation (Liu et al., 2000). Since DNA synthesis with time. The control siRNA-transfected all mice in this study are in the same genetic backcells yielded similar results as previous experiments ground, C57BL/6, the data clearly indicate a gain-ofwithout transfection of siRNAs (compare Figures 3B and function phenotype for this p53 mutation in vivo. In the**

Figure 4. p53R172H Coimmunoprecipitated and Functionally Inactivated the TA Isoforms of p63 and p73

(A) Coimmunoprecipitation experiments were performed on a *p53/* **(/) murine rhabdo**mysarcoma cell line, a $p53^{+/515A}$ lung adeno**carcinoma cell line (93-1), a** *p53/515A* **osteosarcoma cell line (318-1), and a** *p53/515A* **osteosarcoma cell line from a metastasis (318-M). All three tumor cell lines from** *p53/515A* **mice had lost the wild-type** *p53* **al**lele. H1299 cells transfected with a *p73*_α plas**mid (H1299/p73) were used as a control for detection of p73.**

(B) Control or *p53* **siRNAs were transfected into 318-1 osteosarcoma cells derived from a** *p53/515A* **mouse. After transfection, Western blotting was performed using p53 and β-actinspecific antibodies.**

(C) Luciferase assays were performed in 318-1 cells to measure *p21* **promoter activity. A** *p21* **reporter plasmid was cotransfected with either a vector plasmid or** *p63* **and/or HA-tagged** *p73***-encoding plasmids in the presence of either control or** *p53***-specific siRNAs. Relative luciferase activities were shown with standard deviations after normalization with** *pRL-TK* **values. Western blot analysis of p63 and p73 protein levels after transfection was performed using p63 and HA antibodies, respectively.**

(D) Real-time RT-PCR was performed to measure the endogenous levels of *p53* **and** *p21* **mRNAs after transfection with siRNA for** *p53* **in 318-1 cells.**

p53^{515A/515A} MEFs (Figures 5E and 5F). As in previous 6A). $p53^{-/-}$ and $p53^{+/+}$ MEFs did not incorporate [³H] experiments (Figures 3C and 3D), $p53^{515A/515A}$ MEFs while beyond day 6, while $p53^{515A/515A}$ MEFs con 6A). $p53^{-/-}$ and $p53^{+/+}$ MEFs did not incorporate [³H] **showed a 2-fold increase in the number of foci as com- ued to synthesize DNA (Figure 6A).** *p63* **and** *p73* **siRNA transfection experiments in** *p53* **pared to** *p53 515A/515A* **MEFs resulted in** */* **MEFs. In contrast,** *p53/* **MEFs transfected with siRNAs specific for** *p63* **and** *p73* **showed a no change in the DNA synthesis profile, supporting the significant increase in the number of foci that formed. possibility that p63 and p73 are already inhibited in this The foci number in** *p53/* **cells increased by approxi- cell line (Figure 6B). However, in** *p53/* **MEFs,** *p63* **and** mately 2-fold, similar to the number seen in $p53^{515A/515A}$ *p73* siRNA had a significant effect. Inhibition of p63 and MEFs and in *p53^{515A/515A* MEFs transfected with control p73 resulted in continued DNA synthesis beyond day 6, siRNAs. In addition, *p53^{515A/515A}* MEFs showed no notice-
similar to *p53^{515A/515A* MEFs showed no notice-}} similar to $p53^{515A/515A}$ MEFs (Figures 6C and 6D). These **able difference in foci number when transfected with findings provided further evidence that the p63 and/or**

DNA Synthesis common p53 mutation in a more faithful reproduction To examine the importance of p63 and p73 in the gain- of the LFS. Several important observations arose from

Figure 5. siRNAs Specific for Murine *p63* **and** *p73* **Enhance Transformation Potential of** *p53/* **but Not** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs**

(A) FLAG-tagged *p63* **or myc-tagged** *p73* **expression plasmids were transfected with control siRNAs or specific siRNAs into H1299 cells, and cells were stained with anti-FLAG or anti-myc antibody to detect p63 or p73, respectively.**

(B) Western blotting was performed using anti-p63 or anti-myc antibodies after FLAG-tagged *p63* **or myc-tagged** *p73* **cotransfection with siRNAs.**

(C) Western blot analysis of the levels of p63 and p73 expressed after transfection with *p63* **and** *p73* **siRNA. C, control; /,** *p53/***; A/A,** *p53515A/515A***.**

(D) Real-time RT-PCR was used to measure the levels of *p63* **and** *p73* **mRNA after transfection with** *p63* **and** *p73* **siRNA, respectively.**

(E) The transformation potential of *p53/* **and** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs in cooperation with** *Ha-rasV12* **was measured following transfection of control and** *p63/p73* **siRNAs.**

(F) Foci were counted after 14 days of growth and graphed. *p53/* **and** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs were infected with vector (lanes 1 and 5),** *Ha-rasV12* **(lanes 2 and 6),** *Ha-rasV12* **and control siRNA (lanes 3 and 7), and** *Ha-rasV12***and** *p63/p73* **siRNA (lanes 4 and 8). Multiple plates were counted from duplicate experiments.**

accompanying paper, Olive et al. (2004 [this issue of mice (Harvey et al., 1993c; Donehower et al., 1995). Thus, *Cell***]) also find a gain-of-function phenotype in mice not only do** *p53* **mutant alleles elicit a gain of function but** inheriting the same mutation. However, in the 129S₄/ they also manifest different tumor incidences in different **SvJae strain, they also see a different tumor spectrum strains of mice. in mutant heterozygous mice, as compared to** *p53/* **To mechanistically examine the gain-of-function phe-**

Figure 6. siRNAs Specific for Murine *p63* **and** *p73* **Allowed** *p53/* **MEFs to Reinitiate DNA Synthesis**

(A) [3 H] thymidine incorporation was measured at different times after plating in *p53/***,** *p53/***, and** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs transfected with control siRNA.**

(B) Comparison of DNA synthesis profiles for *p53515A/515A* **MEFs with control and** *p63/p73***-specific siRNAs.**

(C) Comparison of DNA synthesis profiles for *p53/* **MEFs with control and** *p63/p73***-specific siRNA.**

(D) *p53/* **MEFs transfected with** *p63/p73* **siRNAs resulted in DNA synthesis trends that were very similar to** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs.**

notype, we developed tissue culture-based assays us- Jacks (submitted) have generated *p53/ p63/* **and** *ing MEFs of various genotypes. p53^{515A/515A} MEFs exhibit p53^{+/-} p73^{+/-} mice to analyze the role of p63 and p73* **twice the transforming potential as compared to** *p53/* **in tumorigenesis. Notably, these mice develop tumors MEFs. These differences are overcome by eliminating that metastasize. Thus, the combined in vitro and in vivo p63 and p73 in** *p53/* **cells. Experiments designed to data indicate that mutant p53 binds and inhibits the measure DNA synthesis show the same phenotype. function of p63 and p73 and that haploinsufficiency for Whereas early passage** *p53* **null MEFs cease DNA syn- either** *p63* **or** *p73* **reproduces the metastatic phenotype in** *p53^{+/-}</sup> mice. in* $p53$ *^{+/-} mice.* **modulation of p63 and p73, similar to** *p53515A/515A* **MEFs. The generation of** *p53/515A* **mice and cells has allowed These data indicate that downmodulation of p63 and a detailed characterization of the effects of heterozygosp73 in cells in culture increased transformation potential ity on wild-type and mutant p53 function. Importantly, the p53R172H protein in** *p53* **but do not exclude the possibility that other factors** */515A* **mice is not stable but might also contribute. To correlate the tissue culture becomes stable in tumors. In the developing embryo, data with tumorigenesis in vivo, we showed the interac- the absence of** *mdm2* **resulted in a lethal phenotype in** tion of endogenous p63 and p73 proteins with mutant p53^{+/515A} mice, suggesting that wild-type p53 is func**p53 in three independent tumor cell lines derived from tional. Since the** *mdm2* **null phenotype is rescued by** *p53/515A* **mice that had lost the wild-type** *p53* **allele. loss of** *p53***, the lack of dominant-negative activity of Moreover, functional inactivation of p63 and p73 was p53R172H in embryogenesis is clear. The inability of the observed in the same tumor cell lines. Our data indicate mutant p53 to function in the presence of a wild-type that mutant tumor cell lines producing p53R172H from allele suggests that p53R172H cannot inactivate p63 a single copy of the gene lack functional p63 and p73. and p73 in embryogenesis and is supported by the ab-However, while we have observed the interaction of p63 sence of lethal phenotypes, as seen with loss of** *p63* **and p73 with mutant p53 and have identified a role of and** *p73* **(Yang et al., 1999, 2000). Thus, in a heterozyp63 and p73 in a gain-of-function phenotype in MEFs gous mouse in an in vivo situation, mutant p53 does not and tumor cell lines, we have no direct evidence that drive wild-type p53 into a mutant conformation as it p63 and p73 play a role in metastasis in vivo. Flores and does in vitro (Milner et al., 1991). This predicts that other**

alterations must occur to stabilize mutant p53 in tumors. pregnant CD1 female recipients. The resulting chimeras were mated This may explain the lack of difference in survival be-
tween $p53^{+//-}$ and $p53^{+/515A}$ mice, since stabilization of
p53 requires other changes for tumorigenesis. This
p53³⁵¹⁵⁴ allele were crossed with CMV-creassette. **change could be as simple as loss of wild-type p53, as generations until the genetic background was greater than 90%** seen in tumor cell lines from $p53^{+/515A}$ mice. However, c57BL/6 to establish the cohort for a tumor study. Genotyping was **the majority of the tumors (ten out of 13) retain the wild-** performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis using prim-
 type 053 allele, Additionally, posttranslational modifica- ers previously described surroun t ype p53 allele. Additionally, posttranslational modifica**tions may be required to stabilize p53. In response to DNA damage, p53 is modified by phosphorylation and Cell Culture acetylation, although the importance of these modifica- Cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium**

damage experiments. In response to DNA damage, p53- culture dishes and counted as needed. To monitor DNA synthesis, dependent apoptosis is vastly reduced in the embryonic $1-3 \times 10^6$ cells were plated on 60 mm culture dishes in 4 ml DMEM
hrain of n53^{+/515A} mice, suggesting that in this scenario with 20% FBS and left unperturbed. At brain of $p53^{+/515A}$ mice, suggesting that, in this scenario, the p53R172H mutant inactivates wild-type p53.

p53R172H may also be binding and inactivating p63 and

p73, a possibility that is supported by the observation

p73, a possibility that is supported by the observation **that** *p63* **or** *p73* **null embryos also lack a p53-dependent** apoptotic response (Flores et al., 2002). These data sug-
gest that posttranslational modifications may be re-
quired for mutant p53 to inhibit the function of wild-type
p53 and members of its family. Thus, further unders **ing of the regulation of mutant p53 stability is imperative. cells were mixed with 998,000 noninfected cells of the same geno-**

and $p53^{+/5154}$ in that tumors from $p53^{+/5154}$ mice metasta-
with siRNAs was performed after viral infection and selection. sized. Another mouse heterozygous for an arg-to-pro **mutation at p53 amino acid 172, a less common mutation identified in human tumors, shows delayed tumor Tumor Samples** onset and no metastasis (Liu et al., 2004). Since these
mice are otherwise genetically identical, the data indi-
cate in vivo differences between mutant $p53$ alleles in
tumorigenesis. Thus, identification of the type of **mutation in human patients may be important to deter- chemical analysis was performed as previously described (Evans et** mine the course of therapy. Many reports suggest that al., 2001). For LOH study, DNA was isolated from paraffin-embedded
the presence of p53 mutations in tumors correlated with tumors and normal tissues from p53^{+/5154} mi the presence of p53 mutations in tumors correlated with
resistance to chemotherapy and worse prognosis as
compared to tumors lacking p53 mutations (lacopetta,
previously described (Liu et al., 2000). **2003; Lai et al., 2000; Powell et al., 2000; Skaug et al., 2000). Other reports show the opposite (Bataille et al., Design of siRNAs Specific for Murine** *p53***,** *p63***, and** *p73* 2003; Lane and Hupp, 2003; Zhivotovsky et al., 1999).
It is enticing to speculate that the kind of $p53$ mutation
that occurs and the posttranslational events that modify
that occurs and the posttranslational events that **(spanning that occurs and the posttranslational events that modify amino acids 117–123), for** *p63* **is 5 -AAGAGACCGGAAGGCAGA** the mutant may be important in response to chemotherapy. Clearly, typing of $p53$ mutations should be per**formed in human tumors to determine the relevance of our mouse models to human disease. Transfections and Luciferase Assay**

PGK*neo* **cassette into the second AccI site of intron 4 of a** *p53* **fection. For luciferase assays, cells were seeded at 70% confluency genomic fragment. An arginine-to-histidine substitution (CGC to 1 day before transfection. The** *p21* **reporter plasmid (***pGLp21Luc***)** CAC) at codon 172 was performed by site-directed mutagenesis. $(0.7 \mu g)$ was used with an internal control, 0.15 μg of pRL-TK (Pro-The resulting construct was then cloned into a vector containing a mega). Either $p63\alpha$ or $p73\alpha$ expressing plasmids (0.3 μ g) were co*thymidine kinase* **(TK) cassette. The entire targeting construct was transfected with these luciferase plasmids. When both** *p63* **and** *p73* sequence verified (data not shown) and linearized with Notl. Tar- were cotransfected, 0.15 ug of each plasmid was used. In addition, **geting vector (25 g) was electroporated into AB-1 embryonic stem 2 l of 20 M control siRNA (Scramble II, Dharmacon) or** *p53***-specific (ES) cells. DNA from G418-resistant FIAU-sensitive ES colonies was siRNA was also added to the transfection. The dual reporter lucifersubjected to Southern blot analysis. Two targeted ES cell clones ase assay system (Promega) was used to measure** *p21* **promoter were injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts and transferred into pseudo- activity according to manufacturer's instruction.**

tions on p53 stability and function is controversial (Ap-
pella and Anderson, 2001).
The possibility that posttranslational modifications
are needed to stabilize p53R172H is supported by DNA
are needed to stabilize p53R17 were incubated with 1 mCi [³H] thymidine for 2 hr before measuring

p53 and members of its family. Thus, further understand- expressing *Ha-rasV12***. Following selection, 2000 puromycin-resistant This study showed in vivo differences between** $p53^{+/}$ type and plated. On day 14, the plates were fixed and stained with $p62^{+/5154}$ in the tumors from $p52^{+/5154}$ migo meteoter crystal violet in 70% ethanol, and foci

hematoxylin and eosin prior to pathological analysis. Immunohisto-

sequence for p53 is 5'-AAGTCTGTTATGTGCACGTAC-3' (spanning **(spanning amino acids 134–141), and for** *p73* **is 5 -AAGGCAG (spanning amino acids 224231).**

For transfection experiments, lipofectamine reagent was used ac-Experimental Procedures cording to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). DNA and siRNAs were mixed in a 5:1 (μ g) ratio. For Western blotting and immunostain-Generation of *p53^{515A}* Knockin Mice *SAA ing, cells were harvested 24-42 hr after transfection. For DNA syn-***The targeting vector was generated by cloning a** *lox***P-flanked thesis and focus-forming assays, cells were used 48 hr after trans-**

scriptase reactions were performed using the First-Strand cDNA de Vries, A., Flores, E.R., Miranda, B., Hsieh, H.M., van Oostrom, Syntnesis Kit (Amersham Bioscience). Heal-time PCH was per-
formed to manufacturer's specifications (Applied Biosystems). The
following primers were used: p21, CCTGACAGATTTCTATCACTCCA
and CAGGCAGCGTATATCAGGAG; p53, ACATGAC GAGA and TITCCTICCACCGGATAAG; p63, AAGAACGGCGAT
GAGA and TITCCTICCACCGGATAAG; p63, AAGAACGGCGAT
GGTACGA and GGTACAGCAGCCCGGATAAG; p63, AAGAACGGCGAT
GETACGA and GGTACAGCAGCTCATCATCTGG; p73, AGAGCATG
Cell. Biol. 19, 1438–144 $TCACCACGATGGAAGAGGC$ and GCTAAGCAGTTGGTGGTGCA. **Primer sequences were obtained using the Primer Express program Finlay, C., and Levine, A.J. (1993). Gain of function mutations in p53.** and checked for gene specificity by BLASTN. Relative mRNA ex-

Protein extracts (100 g) from MEFs were run on polyacrylamide tumours. Nature *356***, 215–221.** geis, daristeried to Hybolid P membranes (Amerishant Phamlacia),
and probed with antibodies for p53 (CM5, Novacastra; FL393, Santa
Cruz) and β-actin (Sigma). The secondary antibody used was horse-
radish peroxidase conjug **munoprecipitation experiments, cells were lysed on ice in a buffer Evans, S.C., and Lozano, G. (1997). The Li-Fraumeni syndrome: an inherited susceptibility to cancer. Mol. Med. Today** *3***, 390–395. of 100 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, and proteinase inhibitors. Lysate (1.5 mg) was precleared with protein A agarose Evans, S.C., Viswanathan, M., Grier, J.D., Narayana, M., El-Naggar, beads. The following antibodies were added according to the manu- A.K., and Lozano, G. (2001). An alternatively spliced HDM2 product facturer's recommendations: p53, CM5 and FL-393; p63, Ab-1 (Neo- increases p53 activity by inhibiting HDM2. Oncogene** *20***, 4041–4049.**

Analysis of Apoptosis in 13.5 dpc Embryonic Brains
The in vivo TUNEL assay was performed as previously described \overline{a}

*²⁰***, 514–522. This study was supported by a P01 grant from NCI (CA34936) and a grant from The Kadoorie Foundation. We would also like to thank Finlay, C.A., Hinds, P.W., Tan, T.H., Eliyahu, D., Oren, M., and Levine, the following individuals for guidance and helpful discussions: Rich- A.J. (1988). Activating mutations for transformation by p53 produce ard Behringer, Matthew Billard, Brandon Greenberg, Gilda P. Chau, a gene product that forms an hsc70-p53 complex with an altered Geraldine Srajer, Maranke Koster, Carlos Caulin, Jenny Deng, and half-life. Mol. Cell. Biol.** *8***, 531–539.**

References

Appella, E., and Anderson, C.W. (2001). Post-translational modifica-

tions and activation of p53 by genotoxic stresses. Eur. J. Biochem.

268, 2764–2772.

Asher G. Lotem. I. Tsvetkov, P. Reiss, V., Sachs. L., and Shaul, Y

Asher, G., Lotem, J., Tsvetkov, P., Reiss, V., Sachs, L., and Shaul, Y. Greenblatt, M.S., Bennett, W.P., Hollstein, M., and Harris, C.C.
(2003). P53 hot-spot mutants are resistant to ubiquitin-independent (1994). Mutations **tase 1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA** *100***, 15065–15070. Harvey, M., McArthur, M.J., Montgomery, C.A., Jr., Butel, J.S., Brad-**

A., Kovarik, J., Midgley, C.A., Gannon, J.V., and Lane, D.P. (1991). induced the pterminal microproperties in properties in part. $225-229$. Aberrant expression of the p53 oncoprotein is a common feature

A., Wild, P., Hofstadter, F., and Hartmann, A. (2003). Alterations in hower, L.A. (1993b). In vitro growth characteristics of embryo fibrop53 predict response to preoperative high dose chemotherapy in

Benard, J., Douc-Rasy, S., and Ahomadegbe, J.C. (2003). TP53 fam**of tumors that develop in p53-deficient mice. FASEB J.** *7***, 938–943. ily members and human cancers. Hum. Mutat.** *21***, 182–191.**

*250***, 1576–1580. transformation. J. Virol.** *63***, 739–746.**

Real-Time RT-PCR quently up-regulated in ovarian cancer. Evidence for their role as mRNAs were harvested using RNeasy kit (Qiagen). Reverse tran- epigenetic p53 inhibitors in vivo. Cancer Res. *64***, 2449–2460.**

pression was normalized to the value of *GAPDH* **for each reaction. Donehower, L.A., Harvey, M., Slagle, B.L., McArthur, M.J., Montgomery, C.A., Jr., Butel, J.S., and Bradley, A. (1992). Mice deficient Western Blot and Coimmunoprecipitation Analyses for p53 are developmentally normal but susceptible to spontaneous**

markers); and p73, Ab-2 (Oncogene Research). Farmer, G., Bargonetti, J., Zhu, H., Friedman, P., Prywes, R., and Prives, C. (1992). Wild-type p53 activates transcription in vitro. Na-

Fillippovich, I., Sorokina, N., Gatei, M., Haupt, Y., Hobson, K., Moal-
Liu et al., 2004). [Liu et al., 2004]. [Liu et al., 2004]. **Khanna, K.K. (2001). Transactivation-deficient p73alpha (p73Del- Acknowledgments taexon2) inhibits apoptosis and competes with p53. Oncogene**

Luis Martinez. Flores, E.R., Tsai, K.Y., Crowley, D., Sengupta, S., Yang, A., McKeon, F., and Jacks, T. (2002). p63 and p73 are required for p53-dependent Received: May 10, 2004 apoptosis in response to DNA damage. Nature *416***, 560–564.**

Revised: August 9, 2004
Accepted: October 21, 2004
Published: December 16, 2004
Published: December 16, 2004
Published: December 16, 2004
Published: December 16, 2004 *18***, 3735–3743.**

Gaiddon, C., Lokshin, M., Ahn, J., Zhang, T., and Prives, C. (2001).

Bartek, J., Bartkova, J., Vojtesek, B., Staskova, Z., Lukas, J., Rejthar, ley, A., and Donehower, L.A. (1993a). Spontaneous and carcinogen-

of a wide spectrum of human malignancies. Oncogene *6***, 1699–1703. Harvey, M., Sands, A.T., Weiss, R.S., Hegi, M.E., Wiseman, R.W., Bataille, F., Rummele, P., Dietmaier, W., Gaag, D., Klebl, F., Reichle, Pantazis, P., Giovanella, B.C., Tainsky, M.A., Bradley, A., and Done-**

patients with gastric cancer. Mol. Pathol. *56***, 286–292. Harvey, M., McArthur, M.J., Montgomery, C.A., Jr., Bradley, A., and**

Chen, P.L., Chen, Y.M., Bookstein, R., and Lee, W.H. (1990). Genetic Hinds, P., Finlay, C., and Levine, A.J. (1989). Mutation is required mechanisms of tumor suppression by the human p53 gene. Science to activate the p53 gene for cooperation with the ras oncogene and

Concin, N., Becker, K., Slade, N., Erster, S., Mueller-Holzner, E., Hinds, P.W., Finlay, C.A., Quartin, R.S., Baker, S.J., Fearon, E.R., Ulmer, H., Daxenbichler, G., Zeimet, A., Zeillinger, R., Marth, C., and Vogelstein, B., and Levine, A.J. (1990). Mutant p53 DNA clones from Moll, U.M. (2004). Transdominant DeltaTAp73 isoforms are fre- human colon carcinomas cooperate with ras in transforming primary **Hwang, S.J., Lozano, G., Amos, C.I., and Strong, L.C. (2003). Germ- 847–860. line p53 mutations in a cohort with childhood sarcoma: sex differ- Powell, B., Soong, R., Iacopetta, B., Seshadri, R., and Smith, D.R. ences in cancer risk. Am. J. Hum. Genet.** *72***, 975–983. (2000). Prognostic significance of mutations to different structural**

Res. *6***, 443–451. tat.** *21***, 271–276.**

Jones, S.N., Roe, A.E., Donehower, L.A., and Bradley, A. (1995).
Rescue of embryonic lethality in Mdm2-deficient mice by absence
of p53. Nature 378, 206–208. (1990). Germ-line transmission of a mutated p53 gene in a cancer

Kaghad, M., Bonnet, H., Yang, A., Creancier, L., Biscan, J.C., Valent, prone family with Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Nature *348***, 747–749.**

Levine, A.J. (1993). The tumor suppressor genes. Annu. Rev. Bio- Grimaldi, M., Keenan, E., and Jenkins, J.R. (1992). A C-terminal

Li, F.P., and Fraumeni, J.F., Jr. (1969a). Rhabdomyosarcoma in chil- nant of p53 tetramerization. Oncogene *7***, 1513–1523. dren: epidemiologic study and identification of a familial cancer Wang, X.J., Greenhalgh, D.A., Jiang, A., He, D., Zhong, L., Brinkley,**

cancer, and other neoplasms. A familial syndrome? Ann. Intern. **Med.** *71* **of function. Mol. Carcinog.** *23***, 185–192. , 747–752.**

M.G., Tucker, M.A., and Miller, R.W. (1988). A cancer family syn-

carcinogenesis. Oncogene *¹⁷***, 35–45. Li, B., Murphy, K.L., Laucirica, R., Kittrell, F., Medina, D., and Rosen, J.M. (1998). A transgenic mouse model for mammary carcinogene-**

Liu, G., McDonnell, T.J., Montes de Oca Luna, R., Kapoor, M., Mims,
B., El-Naggar, A.K., and Lozano, G. (2000). High metastatic potential ing, death-inducing, and dominant-negative activities. Mol. Cell 2,
in mice inheriti

Liu, G., Parant, J.M., Lang, G., Chau, P., Chavez-Reyes, A., El-Nag-
gar, A.K., Multani, A., Chang, S., and Lozano, G. (2004). Chromosome (1999). p63 is essential for regenerative proliferation in limb, cranio-
stability,

tion of p53. Nature *378***, 203–206.**

Olive, K.P., Tuveson, D.A., Ruhe, Z.C., Yin, B., Willis, N.A., Bronson,

rat cells: a comparison of the 'hot spot' mutant phenotypes. Cell R.T., Crowley, D., and Jacks, T. Mutant p53 gain-of-function in two Growth Differ. *1***, 571–580. mouse models of Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Cell** *119***, this issue,**

and functional regions of the p53 gene in breast cancer. Clin. Cancer Iacopetta, B. (2003). TP53 mutation in colorectal cancer. Hum. Mu-

Pugacheva, E.N., Ivanov, A.V., Kravchenko, J.E., Kopnin, B.P., Lev- Ishimoto, O., Kawahara, C., Enjo, K., Obinata, M., Nukiwa, T., and ine, A.J., and Chumakov, P.M. (2002). Novel gain of function activity Ikawa, S. (2002). Possible oncogenic potential of DeltaNp73: a newly of p53 mutants: activation of the dUTPase gene expression leading identified isoform of human p73. Cancer Res. *⁶²***, 636–641. to resistance to 5-fluorouracil. Oncogene** *²¹***, 4595–4600. Iwakuma, T., and Lozano, G. (2003). MDM2, an introduction. Mol. Sigal, A., and Rotter, V. (2000). Oncogenic mutations of the p53 Cancer Res.** *¹***, 993–1000. tumor suppressor: the demons of the guardian of the genome. Can- Iwakuma, T., Parant, J.M., Fasulo, M., Jr., Zwart, E., Jacks, T., de cer Res.** *⁶⁰***, 6788–6793. Vries, A., and Lozano, G. (2004). Mutation at p53 serine 389 does Skaug, V., Ryberg, D., Kure, E.H., Arab, M.O., Stangeland, L., Myking, not rescue the embryonic lethality in mdm2 or mdm4 null mice. A.O., and Haugen, A. (2000). p53 mutations in defined structural and Oncogene,** *²³***, 7644–7650. functional domains are related to poor clinical outcome in non-small Jacks, T., Remington, L., Williams, B.O., Schmitt, E.M., Halachmi, cell lung cancer patients. Clin. Cancer Res.** *⁶***, 1031–1037. S., Bronson, R.T., and Weinberg, R.A. (1994). Tumor spectrum analy- Slingerland, J.M., Jenkins, J.R., and Benchimol, S. (1993). The trans- sis in p53-mutant mice. Curr. Biol.** *⁴***, 1–7. forming and suppressor functions of p53 alleles: effects of mutations Jeffrey, P.D., Gorina, S., and Pavletich, N.P. (1995). Crystal structure that disrupt phosphorylation, oligomerization and nuclear transloca- of the tetramerization domain of the p53 tumor suppressor at 1.7 tion. EMBO J.** *¹²***, 1029–1037. angstroms. Science** *²⁶⁷***, 1498–1502. Soussi, T. (2003). p53 mutations and resistance to chemotherapy: Jones, S.N., Roe, A.E., Donehower, L.A., and Bradley, A. (1995). a stab in the back for p73. Cancer Cell** *³***, 303–305.**

A., Willity, A., Chalon, P., Lenas, J.M., Durion, A., et al. (1997).

Monoallelically expressed gene related to p53 at 1p36, a region

frequently deleted in neuroblastoma and other human cancers. Cell

90, 809–819.

Lai, S

Lat, S.L., Perity, R.P., and Hwarig, J. (2000). posterior status in the status of the status of the chemosensitivity of non-small cell lung cancer cells. J.
Biomed. Sci. 7, 64–70.
Lates the chemosensitivity of non-small ce **Lane, D.P., and Hupp, T.R. (2003). Drug discovery and p53. Drug p53 mutants inhibits p63 activities. J. Biol. Chem.** *277***, 18817–18826. Discov. Today** *⁸***, 347–355. Sturzbecher, H.W., Brain, R., Addison, C., Rudge, K., Remm, M.,** alpha-helix plus basic region motif is the major structural determi-

syndrome. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. *43***, 1365–1373. B.R., and Roop, D.R. (1998a). Analysis of centrosome abnormalities Li, F.P., and Fraumeni, J.F., Jr. (1969b). Soft-tissue sarcomas, breast and angiogenesis in epidermal- targeted p53172H mutant and p53-**

Li, F.P., Fraumeni, J.F., Jr., Mulvihill, J.J., Blattner, W.A., Dreyfus, Wang, X.J., Greenhalgh, D.A., Jiang, A., He, D., Zhong, L., Medina, drome in twenty-four kindreds. Cancer Res. 48, 5358–5362.
 1. B. Murphy K.L. Laugities B. Kittrall E. Modina D. and Posen carcinogenesis. Oncogene 17, 35–45.

sis. Oncogene *16***, 997–1007. V., Andrews, N.C., Caput, D., and McKeon, F. (1998). p63, a p53**

Acad. Sci. USA 97, 4174–4179.
R.T., Tabin, C., Sharpe, A., Caput, D., Crum, C., and McKeon, F.

Yang, A., Walker, N., Bronson, R., Kaghad, M., Oosterwegel, M., tumorigenesis in Trp53 mutant mice. Nat. Genet. *36***, 63–68.**

Malkin, D., Li, F.P., Strong, L.C., Fraumeni, J.F., Jr., Nelson, C.E., Wagner, C., Bonnet, H., Dikkes, P., Sharpe, A., et al. (2000).

Kim, D.H., Kassel, J., Gryka, M.A., Bischoff, F.Z., Tainsky, M.A., et al. (1990). Germ