

Harmonic Functions Starlike in the Unit Disk

Jay M. Jahangiri*

Kent State University, Burton, Ohio 44021-9500

for metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Received July 20, 1998

DEDICATED TO KSU LATE PROFESSOR KENNETH B. CUMMINS

7/27/1911–5/13/1998

Complex-valued harmonic functions that are univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk Δ can be written in the form $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in Δ . We give univalence criteria and sufficient coefficient conditions for normalized harmonic functions that are starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. These coefficient conditions are also shown to be necessary when h has negative and g has positive coefficients. These lead to extreme points and distortion bounds. © 1999 Academic Press

Key Words: Harmonic; sense-preserving; univalent; starlike.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continuous function $f = u + iv$ is a complex-valued harmonic function in a complex domain \mathcal{E} if both u and v are real harmonic in \mathcal{E} . In any simply connected domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{E}$ we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in \mathcal{D} . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in \mathcal{D} is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in \mathcal{D} . See Clunie and Sheil-Small [2].

Denote by \mathcal{H} the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ for which

*This work was initiated while the author was a Visiting Scholar at the University of Kentucky, where he enjoyed numerous stimulating discussions with Professor Ted J. Suffridge.



$h(0) = f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Then for $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ we may express the analytic functions h and g as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Note that \mathcal{H} reduces to the class of normalized analytic univalent functions if the co-analytic part of its members is zero. In 1984 Clunie and Sheil-Small [2] investigated the class \mathcal{H} as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there have been several related papers on \mathcal{H} and its subclasses. For more references see Duren [3]. In this note, we look at two subclasses of \mathcal{H} and provide univalence criteria, coefficient conditions, extreme points, and distortion bounds for functions in these classes.

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ we let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of harmonic starlike functions of order α . A function f of the form (1) is harmonic starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, for $|z| = r < 1$ (e.g., see Sheil-Small [4, p. 244]) if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\arg f(re^{i\theta})) \geq \alpha, \quad |z| = r < 1. \quad (2)$$

We further denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ such that the functions h and g in $f = h + \bar{g}$ are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n. \quad (3)$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

It was shown by Sheil-Small [4, Theorem 7] that $|a_n| \leq (n+1) \cdot (2n+1)/6$ and $|b_n| \leq (n-1)(2n-1)/6$ if $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^o(0)$. The subclass of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha = b_1 = 0$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^o(0)$. These bounds are sharp and thus give necessary coefficient conditions for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^o(0)$. Avcı and Zlotkiewicz [1] proved that the coefficient condition $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1$ is sufficient for functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ to be in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^o(0)$. Silverman [6] proved that this coefficient condition is also necessary if $b_1 = 0$ and if a_n and b_n in (1) are negative. We note that both results obtained in [1, 6] are subject to the restriction that $b_1 = 0$. The argument presented in this paper provides sufficient coefficient conditions for functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ of the form (1) to be in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and b_1 is not necessarily zero. It is shown that these conditions are also necessary when $f \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1). Furthermore, let*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \right) \leq 2, \quad (4)$$

where $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then f is harmonic univalent in Δ , and $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

Proof. First we note that f is locally univalent and sense-preserving in Δ . This is because

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|r^{n-1} > 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n| > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n|r^{n-1} \geq |g'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

To show that f is univalent in Δ we notice that if $g(z) \equiv 0$, then $f(z)$ is analytic and the univalence of f follows from its starlikeness (e.g., see [5]). If $g(z) \not\equiv 0$, then we show that $f(z_1) \neq f(z_2)$ when $z_1 \neq z_2$.

Suppose $z_1, z_2 \in \Delta$ so that $z_1 \neq z_2$. Since Δ is simply connected and convex, we have $z(t) = (1-t)z_1 + tz_2 \in \Delta$, where $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then we can write

$$f(z_2) - f(z_1) = \int_0^1 \left[(z_2 - z_1)h'(z(t)) + \overline{(z_2 - z_1)g'(z(t))} \right] dt.$$

Dividing the above equation by $z_2 - z_1 \neq 0$ and taking the real parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z_2) - f(z_1)}{z_2 - z_1} &= \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} \left[h'(z(t)) + \frac{\overline{z_2 - z_1}}{z_2 - z_1} \overline{g'(z(t))} \right] dt \\ &> \int_0^1 [\operatorname{Re} h'(z(t)) - |g'(z(t))|] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} h'(z) - |g'(z)| &\geq \operatorname{Re} h'(z) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \\ &\geq 0, \quad \text{by (4)}. \end{aligned}$$

This in conjunction with the inequality (5) leads to the univalence of f .

Now we show that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. According to the condition (2) we only need to show that if (4) holds then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\arg f(re^{i\theta})) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(re^{i\theta})\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{h(z) + \overline{g(z)}}\right) \geq \alpha,$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, $0 \leq r < 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re} w \geq \alpha$ if and only if $|1 - \alpha + w| \geq |1 + \alpha - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

where $B(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ and $A(z) = zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}$.

Substituting for $B(z)$ and $A(z)$ in (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \\ &= |(1 - \alpha)h(z) + zh'(z) + \overline{(1 - \alpha)g(z) - zg'(z)}| \\ &\quad - |(1 + \alpha)h(z) - zh'(z) + \overline{(1 + \alpha)g(z) + zg'(z)}| \\ &= \left| (2 - \alpha)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + 1 - \alpha)a_n z^n - \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n - 1 + \alpha)b_n z^n} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| -\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1 - \alpha)a_n z^n - \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1 + \alpha)b_n z^n} \right| \\ &\geq (2 - \alpha)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + 1 - \alpha)|a_n||z|^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n - 1 + \alpha)|b_n||z|^n \\ &\quad - \alpha|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1 - \alpha)|a_n||z|^n - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + 1 + \alpha)|b_n||z|^n \\ &= 2(1 - \alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n||z|^{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_n||z|^{n-1} \right\} \\ &\geq 2(1 - \alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 - \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_n| \right) \right\} \geq 0, \quad \text{by (4)}. \end{aligned}$$

The starlike harmonic mappings

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{n - \alpha} x_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + \alpha} \bar{y}_n \bar{z}^n, \quad (7)$$

where $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1$, show that the coefficient bound given by (4) is sharp.

The functions of the form (7) are in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 2.$$

The restriction placed in Theorem 1 on the moduli of the coefficients of $f = h + \bar{g}$ enables us to conclude for arbitrary rotation of the coefficients of f that the resulting functions would still be harmonic starlike and univalent. Our next theorem establishes that such coefficient bounds cannot be improved.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (3). Then $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \right) \leq 2, \quad (8)$$

where $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Proof. The *if* part follows from Theorem 1 upon noting that if the analytic and co-analytic parts of $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ are of the form (3) then $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

For the *only if* part, we show that $f \notin \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ if the condition (8) does not hold.

Note that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (3) to be starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, is that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\arg f(re^{i\theta})) - \alpha \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{h(z) + \overline{g(z)}} - \alpha \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-\alpha)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha)|a_n|z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+\alpha)|b_n|\bar{z}^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|\bar{z}^n} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above condition must hold for all values of z , $|z| = r < 1$. Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$ we must have

$$\frac{(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha)|a_n|r^{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+\alpha)|b_n|r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|r^{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|r^{n-1}} \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

If the condition (8) does not hold then the numerator in (9) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Thus there exists a $z_o = r_o$ in $(0, 1)$ for which the quotient in (9) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ and so the proof is complete.

Next we determine the extreme points of the closed convex hulls of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$, denoted by $\text{clco } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

THEOREM 3. $f \in \text{clco } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n h_n + Y_n g_n), \tag{10}$$

where $h_1(z) = z$, $h_n(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} z^n$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$), $g_n(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha} \bar{z}^n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n + Y_n) = 1$, $X_n \geq 0$, and $Y_n \geq 0$. In particular, the extreme points of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ are $\{h_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$.

Proof. For functions f of the form (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n h_n + Y_n g_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n + Y_n)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} X_n z^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha} Y_n \bar{z}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} X_n \right) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha} Y_n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n = 1 - X_1 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so $f \in \text{clco } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \text{clco } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Set $X_n = \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n|$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) and $Y_n = \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n|$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Then note that by Theorem 2, $0 \leq X_n \leq 1$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) and $0 \leq Y_n \leq 1$ ($n = 2, 2, 3, \dots$). We define $X_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ and note that, by Theorem 2, $X_1 \geq 0$. Consequently, we obtain $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n h_n + Y_n g_n)$ as required.

Using Theorem 2 it is easily seen that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ is convex and closed, so $\text{clco } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Then the statement of Theorem 3 is really for $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

Finally we give the distortion bounds for functions in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$, which yield a covering result for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

THEOREM 4. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\neq}(\alpha)$ then*

$$|f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2 - \alpha} |b_1| \right) r^2, \quad |z| = r < 1,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2 - \alpha} |b_1| \right) r^2, \quad |z| = r < 1.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\neq}(\alpha)$. Taking the absolute value of f we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)r^n \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)r^2 \\ &= (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| + \frac{2 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_n| \right) r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_n| \right) r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_1| \right) r^2, \quad \text{by (8),} \\ &= (1 + |b_1|)r + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2 - \alpha} |b_1| \right) r^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)r^n \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)r^2 \\ &= (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| + \frac{2 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_n| \right) r^2 \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_n| \right) r^2 \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |b_1| \right) r^2 \quad \text{by (8),} \\ &= (1 - |b_1|)r - \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2 - \alpha} |b_1| \right) r^2. \end{aligned}$$

The bounds given in Theorem 4 for the functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ of the form (3) also hold for functions of the form (1) if the coefficient condition (4) is satisfied. The functions

$$f(z) = z + |b_1|\bar{z} + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} - \frac{1+\alpha}{2-\alpha}|b_1| \right) \bar{z}^2$$

and

$$f(z) = (1 - |b_1|)z - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} - \frac{1+\alpha}{2-\alpha}|b_1| \right) z^2$$

for $|b_1| \leq (1-\alpha)/(1+\alpha)$ show that the bounds given in Theorem 4 are sharp.

The following covering result follows from the left hand inequality in Theorem 4.

COROLLARY. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ then*

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{1}{2-\alpha} (1 + (2\alpha-1)|b_1|) \right\} \subset f(\Delta).$$

Remark. For $\alpha = b_1 = 0$ the covering result in the above corollary coincides with that given in [2, Theorem 5.9] for harmonic convex functions.

A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is harmonic convex of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ for $|z| = r < 1$ (see [4] p. 244) if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\arg(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}))) \geq \alpha$, $|z| = r < 1$.

The corresponding definition for harmonic convex functions of order α leads to analogous coefficient bounds and extreme points.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Avci and E. Zlotkiewicz, On harmonic univalent mappings, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A* **44** (1990), 1–7.
2. J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **9** (1984), 3–25.
3. P. L. Duren, A survey of harmonic mappings in the plane, *Texas Tech. Univ. Math. Ser.* **18** (1992), 1–15.
4. T. Sheil-Small, Constants for planar harmonic mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** (42) (1990), 237–248.
5. H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1975), 109–116.
6. H. Silverman, Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **220** (1998), 283–289.