Supporting Information

Metal complexation for the rational design of gemcitabine formulations in cancer therapy

Federica Carnamucio^{1,*}, Claudia Foti², Massimiliano Cordaro², Franz Saija³, Giuseppe Cassone^{3,*}, Sandro R. P. da Rocha¹, Ottavia Giuffrè^{2,*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutics and Center for Pharmaceutical Engineering and Sciences - School of Pharmacy, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23284, United State

² Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Biologiche, Farmaceutiche ed Ambientali, Università di Messina, Viale F. Stagno d'Alcontres 31, 98166 Messina, Italy

³Institute for Chemical-Physical Processes National Research Council of Italy, Viale Ferdinando Stagno d'Alcontres, 37, 98158 Messina, Italy

*corresponding: FC (<u>carnamuciof@vcu.edu</u>); GC (<u>giuseppe.cassone@ipcf.cnr.it</u>); OG (ogiuffre@unime.it)

Species	<i>t</i> /°C	I / mol L ⁻¹	logβ ¹
$Ca^{2+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons [CaOH]^+ + H^+$	15	0.15	-13.14
	25	0.15	-12.87
	25	0.5	-12.88
	25	1	-12.81
	37	0.15	-12.56
	45	0.15	$-12.6(5)^2$

Table S1. Hydrolysis constants of Ca^{2+} at different temperatures and ionic strength values.

¹Crea, F.; De Stefano, C., Milea, D., Pettignano, A., Sammartano, S. (2015). SALMO and S3M: A Saliva Model and a Single Saliva Salt Model for Equilibrium Studies, Bioinorg. Chem. Appl. 2015.

² Unplubished data.

Reaction	<i>t</i> /°C	<i>I /</i> mol L ⁻¹	logβ ¹
$Zn^{2+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons [ZnOH]^+ + H^+$	15	0.15	-9.5
	25	0.15	-9.14
	25	0.5	-9.15
	25	1	-9.16
	37	0.15	-8.78
	45	0.15	-10.02^{2}
$\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} + 2\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \rightleftharpoons [\operatorname{Zn}(\operatorname{OH})_2]^0 + 2\operatorname{H}^+$	15	0.15	-17.64
	25	0.15	-17.10
	25	0.5	-17.1
	25	1	-17.22
	37	0.15	-16.52
	45	0.15	-16.52^2
$\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} + 3\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \rightleftharpoons [\operatorname{Zn}(\operatorname{OH})_3]^- + 3\operatorname{H}^+$	15	0.15	-29.12
	25	0.15	-28.4
	25	0.5	-28.4
	25	1	-28.47
	37	0.15	-27.54
	45	0.15	-27.54^{2}
$\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} + 4\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \rightleftharpoons [\operatorname{Zn}(\operatorname{OH})_4]^{2-} + 4\operatorname{H}^+$	15	0.15	-41.67
	25	0.15	-40.40
	25	0.5	-40.85
	25	1	-40.38
	37	0.15	-39.47
	45	0.15	-39.47^{2}
$2\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}^{2+} + \mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O} \rightleftharpoons \left[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}_2(\mathbf{O}\mathbf{H})\right]^{3+} + \mathbf{H}^+$	15	0.15	-9.27
	25	0.15	-8.70
	25	0.5	-8.89
	25	1	-8.89
	37	0.15	-8.54
	45	0.15	-8.54 ²
$2\mathbf{Zn}^{2+} + 6 \mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O} \rightleftharpoons [\mathbf{Zn}_2(\mathbf{OH})_6]^{2-} +$	15	0.15	-58.91
$6\mathrm{H}^+$	25	0.15	-57.50
	25	0.5	-57.53
	25	1	-57.32
	37	0.15	-55.9
	45	0.15	-55.9^{2}

Table S2. Hydrolysis constants of Zn^{2+} at different temperatures and ionic strength values.

45 0.15 -55.9^2 ¹ F. Crea, G. Falcone, C. Foti, O. Giuffrè, S. Materazzi. Thermodynamic data for Pb²⁺ and Zn²⁺ sequestration by biologically important S-donor ligands, at different temperatures and ionic strengths, New J. Chem., 2014, 38, 3973.

² K. J. Powell, P. L. Brown, R. H. Byrne, T. Gajda, G. Hefter, A. Leuz, S. Sjöberg, H. Wanner, Chemical speciation of environmentally significant metals with inorganic ligands. Part 5: The $Zn^{2+} + OH^-$, Cl^- , CO_3^{2-} , SO_4^{2-} , and PO_4^{3-} systems (IUPAC Technical Report), Pure Appl. Chem., 2013, 85, 2249–2311.

Reaction	t	I / mol L ⁻¹	$\log \beta^1$
	/°C		
$Mn^{2+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons [MnOH]^+ + H^+$	15	0.15	-10.6
	25	0.15	-10.46
	25	0.5	-10.70
	25	1	-10.95
	37	0.15	-9.20
	45	0.1	-9.66 ²
$2Mn^{2+} + 3H_2O \rightleftharpoons [Mn_2(OH)_3]^- + 3H^+$	15	0.15	-26.35
	25	0.15	-24.47
	25	0.5	-24.69
	25	1	-24.92
	37	0.15	-23.51
	45	0.15	-24.84^{2}

Table S3. Hydrolysis constants of Mn^{2+} at different temperatures and ionic strength values.

 $-\tau_{...}$ 0.13 -24.84^2 1 C. Foti, O. Giuffrè. Interaction of Ampicillin and Amoxicillin with Mn^{2+} : A Speciation Study in Aqueous Solution.*Molecules*, 2020, 25, 3110. 2 Unpublished data.

Table S4. Cartesian components of the atomic species composing the gencitabine molecular structures shown in Fig. 7 of the main text optimized at the at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory under CPCM water implicit solvation.

GMT

	X	Y	Z
Ν	-1.135780	-0.149828	0.483570
С	-2.040774	-0.203497	1.509387
С	-3.371839	-0.229248	1.275122
С	-3.785999	-0.205182	-0.097117
Ν	-2.918044	-0.164466	-1.103343
Η	-1.630814	-0.223674	2.510701
Η	-4.081883	-0.271130	2.088047
0	-0.736501	-0.100685	-1.763530
С	-1.582652	-0.137207	-0.869090
Ν	-5.096252	-0.227095	-0.400066
Η	-5.802926	-0.255189	0.316358
Η	-5.384191	-0.211832	-1.366152
С	1.940284	-0.955954	-0.734941
С	1.954479	0.586184	-0.878996
С	1.101855	1.072022	0.310024
С	0.293326	-0.154015	0.804332
Η	1.582037	-1.397436	-1.666622
Η	0.347672	-0.171846	1.893034
0	0.970346	-1.277706	0.301313
F	0.307402	2.140486	-0.005740
F	1.896779	1.497206	1.345396
0	3.264360	1.110392	-0.902210
Η	3.259642	1.929936	-1.408394
С	3.256966	-1.625569	-0.358123
Η	4.030807	-1.314861	-1.060127
Η	3.124573	-2.709827	-0.450350
0	3.716015	-1.290171	0.947452
Η	3.054362	-1.601631	1.576419
Η	1.405997	0.858143	-1.778739

GMT+MnOH@site1

	X	Y	Z
Ν	2.199392	-0.011148	0.524085
С	3.093037	-0.179605	1.549463
С	4.425221	-0.186029	1.324765
С	4.854228	-0.002821	-0.031621
Ν	3.996725	0.169055	-1.034208
Η	2.672578	-0.306819	2.538252
Η	5.127223	-0.320610	2.134532

0	1.820411	0.339686	-1.695271
С	2.662544	0.178130	-0.808936
Ν	6.165826	0.000113	-0.322991
Н	6.865893	-0.122005	0.390258
Η	6.463284	0.133518	-1.277193
С	-0.642433	1.311646	-0.596645
С	-0.909341	-0.162174	-0.988625
С	-0.119178	-0.978449	0.064735
С	0.772007	0.032888	0.831762
Η	-0.070813	1.786960	-1.393879
Η	0.689044	-0.187045	1.895213
0	0.173017	1.285642	0.601353
F	0.578283	-2.012381	-0.479039
F	-0.980507	-1.542942	0.972007
0	-2.304591	-0.476425	-1.004139
Η	-2.479123	-1.248953	-1.557645
С	-1.850012	2.180306	-0.306091
Η	-2.486138	2.255957	-1.186328
Η	-1.516138	3.180862	-0.028432
0	-2.679777	1.657482	0.756992
Η	-2.231760	1.745287	1.608405
Η	-0.485848	-0.369869	-1.966879
Mn	-3.961057	0.033511	0.318252
0	-5.058273	-1.305149	-0.419477
Н	-5.758089	-1.666532	0.133971

GMT+MnOH@site2

	X	Y	Z
Ν	-0.260203	-0.318647	0.532309
С	0.559206	-0.696567	1.551577
С	1.884891	-0.917024	1.346856
С	2.345799	-0.724745	0.021101
Ν	1.587210	-0.348703	-0.976085
Η	0.099622	-0.809572	2.524408
Η	2.536300	-1.211803	2.155791
0	-0.513046	0.203992	-1.680094
С	0.248214	-0.129909	-0.781632
Ν	3.721626	-0.906259	-0.269847
Η	4.116735	-1.707402	0.220402
Η	3.855562	-1.024888	-1.272451
С	-3.068986	1.278023	-0.564938
С	-3.351271	-0.177831	-1.011562
С	-2.666936	-1.035743	0.072324
С	-1.689252	-0.093853	0.821147

Н	-2.591649	1.817562	-1.384452
Η	-1.798911	-0.271407	1.891160
0	-2.104404	1.207708	0.525666
F	-2.044167	-2.140166	-0.442781
F	-3.576159	-1.510314	0.979926
0	-4.729312	-0.432930	-1.162065
Η	-4.846459	-1.131632	-1.815013
С	-4.261487	2.093716	-0.079030
Η	-5.039895	2.073323	-0.841872
Η	-3.933597	3.131492	0.049212
0	-4.840831	1.601288	1.124620
Η	-4.184614	1.694348	1.825093
Η	-2.811714	-0.370236	-1.937718
Mn	5.105583	0.756972	0.307625
0	6.598384	0.602033	-0.826014
Η	6.914154	-0.277628	-1.056073



Figure S1. ε vs. λ of **a**) and Zn²⁺-GMT **b**) Mn²⁺-GMT species at $t = 45^{\circ}$ C, I = 0.1 mol L⁻¹.



Figure S2. UV spectra at selected pH of a) Mn^{2+} -GMT and b) Zn^{2+} -GMT at $t = 45^{\circ}C$, I = 0.1 mol L⁻¹, C_{GMT} = 0.04 mmol L⁻¹, C_M = 0.04 mmol L⁻¹.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectra on solutions containing Zn^{2+} -GMT at $C_{GMT} = 5 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ and $C_{Zn} = 6 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$, $t = 25^{\circ}$ C, $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ in NaCl, $2.70 \le pH \le 7.64$.



Figure S4. Comparison between chemical shift (δ) of a) CH-5' and b) CH-6' measured on ¹H NMR spectra on solutions containing GMT and Zn²⁺-GMT at C_{GMT} = 5 mmol L⁻¹ and C_{Zn} = 6 mmol L⁻¹, *t* = 25°C, *I* = 0.1 mol L⁻¹ in NaCl, 2.72 ≤ pH ≤ 8.52.