http://www.stockton-press.co.uk/bjp

# SUR2 subtype (A and B)-dependent differential activation of the cloned ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels by pinacidil and nicorandil

#### Takashi Shindo, Mitsuhiko Yamada, Shojiro Isomoto, Yoshiyuki Horio, & 'Yoshihisa Kurachi

Department of Pharmacology II, Faculty of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan

1 The classical ATP sensitive  $K^+$  ( $K_{ATP}$ ) channels are composed of a sulphonylurea receptor (SUR) and an inward rectifying  $K^+$  channel subunit (BIR/Kir6.2). They are the targets of vasorelaxant agents called  $K^+$  channel openers, such as pinacidil and nicorandil.

2 In order to examine the tissue selectivity of pinacidil and nicorandil, *in vitro*, we compared the effects of these agents on cardiac type (SUR2A/Kir6.2) and vascular smooth muscle type (SUR2B/Kir6.2) of the  $K_{ATP}$  channels heterologously expressed in HEK293T cells, a human embryonic kidney cell line, by using the patch-clamp method.

3 In the cell-attached recordings (145 mM  $K^+$  in the pipette), pinacidil and nicorandil activated a weakly inwardly-rectifying, glibenclamide-sensitive 80 pS  $K^+$  channel in both the transfected cells.

**4** In the whole-cell configuration, pinacidil showed a similar potency in activating the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channels (EC<sub>50</sub> of ~2 and ~10  $\mu$ M, respectively). On the other hand, nicorandil activated the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel >100 times more potently than the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 (EC<sub>50</sub> of ~10  $\mu$ M and >500  $\mu$ M, respectively).

5 Thus, nicorandil, but not pinacidil, preferentially activates the  $K_{ATP}$  channels containing SUR<sub>2B</sub>. Because SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> are diverse only in 42 amino acids at their C-terminal ends, it is strongly suggested that this short part of SUR<sub>2B</sub> may play a critical role in the action of nicorandil on the vascular type classical  $K_{ATP}$  channel.

**Keywords:** ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channel; pinacidil; nicorandil; sulphonylurea receptor

#### Introduction

Adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP)-sensitive  $K^+$  ( $K_{ATP}$ ) channels are inhibited by intracellular ATP and activated by intracellular nucleoside diphosphates, thereby serving as a link between metabolic condition and excitability in various cell types (Ashcroft, 1988; Terzic *et al.*, 1995).  $K_{ATP}$  channels are associated with diverse biological responses such as vasodilatation, insulin secretion, ischaemia-induced cardiac preconditioning, neurotransmitter release and oocyte maturation (Ashcroft, 1988; Terzic *et al.*, 1995).

KATP channels are activated by numerous compounds with distinct chemical structures such as benzothiadiazines, guanidine/thiourea derivatives and pyridine derivatives (Edwards & Weston, 1990). These agents, collectively termed as K<sup>+</sup> channel openers (KCOs), cause various biological effects through modulation of the different  $K_{ATP}$ channel-mediated responses (Terzic et al., 1995). Thus, KCOs can potentially be useful therapeutics. However, they have been rarely utilized for treatments of human diseases, probably because most of the agents non-specifically activate the KATP channels in different tissues and thus may cause undesirable side-effects (Ashcroft & Ashcroft, 1990). The KCOs which are selective for a specific organ or tissue might be more preferable for clinical usage. Actually, some of the KCOs do exhibit a certain tissue-specificity. For example, diazoxide activates the KATP channels in pancreas and vascular smooth muscle but not in heart (Trube et al., 1986; Escande, 1989; Faivre & Findlay, 1989). Pinacidil activates cardiac and smooth-muscle KATP channels but not those in pancreas (Escande et al, 1989; Standen et al., 1989; Terzic et al., 1995). It may be important to elucidate the molecular mechanism responsible for such 'tissue-specificity' of the KCOs for designing novel useful KCOs.

It is now established that the classical  $K_{ATP}$  channels are composed of two distinct subunits: a sulphonylurea receptor (SUR) and BIR (Kir6.2), an inwardly rectifying  $K^+$  channel (Kir) subunit (Aguilar-Bryan et al., 1995; Inagaki et al., 1995b; 1996; Sakura et al., 1995; Isomoto et al., 1996). When coexpressed with Kir6.2, all of the three types of SUR identified so far (i.e., SUR1, SUR2A and SUR2B) form the classical  $K_{ATP}$  channels with the same single channel characteristics but distinct KCO sensitivities (Inagaki et al., 1995b; 1996; Sakura et al., 1995; Isomoto et al., 1996). Thus, SURs may be responsible for the differential effects of KCOs on each KATP channel. Because SUR1, SUR2A and SUR2B have been ascribed to the SUR of the pancreatic, cardiac and smooth-muscle KATP channels, respectively (Inagaki et al., 1995b; 1996; Sakura et al., 1995), the tissue-specificity of KCOs now can be examined in vitro by measuring the current responses to each drug of heterologously expressed KATP channels composed of different type SUR and Kir6.2.

In this study, we compared the effects of pinacidil and nicorandil on the heterologously expressed SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels in HEK293T cells. We show that nicorandil > 100 times more potently activates the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel than the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2, while pinacidil activates both channels with similar potency. This result may indicate that nicorandil, compared with pinacidil, acts more specifically on vascular smooth muscle than on heart. Because SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> are divergent only in the last 42 amino acid sequence at their carboxyl-terminal ends, this short portion of SUR<sub>2B</sub> may play a critical role in nicorandil-action on the smooth muscle K<sub>ATP</sub> channel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Author for correspondence.

#### Methods

### Cloning and functional expression of $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$ and $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$ channels

BIR (Kir6.2) was cloned from a rat brain cDNA library (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA), while mouse SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> were from a mouse heart cDNA library (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.) as previously described (Isomoto et al., 1996). The nucleotide sequences of all these cDNAs were fully verified and have been reported to the GenBankTM/EBI Data Bank with the accession numbers of D86039, D86037 and D86038, respectively (Isomoto et al., 1996). The coding region of each cDNA was individually subcloned into an expression vector pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). The plasmid containing Kir6.2 was cotransfected with either SUR<sub>2A</sub> or SUR<sub>2B</sub> cDNA into HEK293T cells, a human embryonic kidney cell line, by using LipofectAMINE (Gibco, BRL) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Electrophysiological measurements were usually conducted 2-4 days after transfection.

#### Electrophysiological recordings

The channels expressed in the HEK293T cells were analysed with the cell-attached and the whole-cell variants of the patchclamp method (Hamill et al., 1981). In either of the configurations, pinacidil, nicorandil and glibenclamide were applied to the bath. The tip of the electrodes had a resistance of  $2-5 \text{ M}\Omega$  after coated with silicon and fire polished. Currents were measured with a patch clamp amplfier (Axopatch 200A, Axon Instruments, Inc., Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) and monitored throughout experiments with an analogue-storage oscilloscope (Dual Beam Storage Oscilloscope, Tektronix, Inc., Beaverton, Ore, U.S.A.). For subsequent analyses, currents were recorded on videocassette tapes by using a PCM recorder (VR-10B, Instrutech Corp., Great Neck, NY, U.S.A.). For analysis, the data were reproduced, low pass filtered at 1.0 kHz (-3 dB) with an 8-pole Bessel filter (Frequency Devices, Harverhill, MA, U.S.A.), and digitized at 3 or 5 kHz with an AD converter (ITC-16, Instrutech corp., NY, U.S.A.). These data were analysed off-line on a computer (Macintosh Quadra 700, Apple Computer Inc., Cupertino, CA, U.S.A.) with commercially available programmes, i.e. Pulse Program (HEKA electronik, Lambrecht, Germany) and Patch Analyst Pro (MT Corporation, Hyogo, Japan). Single channel amplitude was estimated from amplitude histograms. The response of the whole-cell current to pinacidil or nicorandil was measured by subtracting the basal current from that in the presence of these agents. The subtracted current at each concentration of the agent was normalized to that induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil in each cell, which was 100  $\pm$  10 and  $100 \pm 30$  pA pF<sup>-1</sup> (mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean, n = 10) at -30 mV in the cells expressing the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 or SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels, respectively (5.4 mM extracellular K<sup>+</sup>). No significant difference was detected between these two values (P = 0.405).

Statistical data are all expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean. Significance of differences between two data were assessed with the Student's unpaired *t* test, and a *P* value <0.05 was taken to be significant.

#### Solutions and chemicals

In the cell-attached configuration, the pipette was filled with a solution containing (in mM): KCl 140, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 1, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1 and HEPES-KOH 5 (pH 7.4), while the bath was perfused with

'internal' solution containing (in mM): KCl 140, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 2, EGTA-KOH 5 and HEPES-KOH 5 (pH 7.3). For whole-cell recordings, the pipette was filled with internal solution containing 3 mM ATP and 100 µM GTP with the concentration of free Mg<sup>2+</sup> adjusted to 1.4 mM according to the stability constant of the Mg-nucleotide complexes (Dawson et al., 1986). The bath was perfused with a control bathing solution containing (in mM): NaCl 136.5, KCl 5.4, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1.8, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 0.53, glucose 5.5 and HEPES-NaOH 5.5 (pH 7.4). Nicorandil was dissolved at 2 M in a glacial acetic acid, pinacidil at 100 mM in 0.1 M HCl and glibenclamide at 10 mM in dimethylsulphoxide. These vehicles on their own did not induce any significant effect on the whole-cell current of the non-transfected and transfected HEK293T cells even at the maximum concentrations used in this study (n=5, for each). These agents were diluted to the desired concentrations with the internal or the control bathing solution for the cellattached and the whole-cell recordings, respectively. Nicorandil was a gift from Chugai-Pharmaceutical Company (Tokyo, Japan). Pinacidil was purchased from RBI (Natick, MA, U.S.A.). ATP and glibenclamide were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) and GTP from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Other chemicals and materials were obtained from commercial sources.

#### Results

Single channel characteristics of the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels in the presence of pinacidil or nicorandil

Figure 1a shows the cell-attached recordings obtained from the HEK293T cells cotransfected with Kir6.2 plus SUR<sub>2A</sub> (the upper row) or with Kir6.2 plus SUR<sub>2B</sub> (the lower row) in the presence of pinacidil (the left column) or nicorandil (the right column). The channel opened in bursts at various membrane potentials and the reversal potential was 0 mV under the symmetrical 150 mM  $K^+$  conditions. Figure 1b depicts the single-channel current-voltage (I-V) relationships obtained from the curent records shown in Figure 1a. These I-V curves were identical and all exhibited  $\sim 80 \text{ pS}$  of the single-channel conductance at negative membrane potential in the presence of 150 mM external K<sup>+</sup>. The channel kinetics in the bursts under application of pinacidil or nicorandil were analysed in SUR<sub>2A</sub>/ Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels. Both of the open and closed time histograms could be fitted with a single exponential curve. The time constants for open events  $(\tau_0)$  and for closed events  $(\tau_c)$  obtained from the open and closed time histograms are shown in Table 1 ( $n=3 \sim 5$ , for each). The  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_c$  of the two channels in the presence of pinacidil or nicorandil were practically identical at various potentials.

In the presence of effective concentrations of pinacidil or nicorandil, we observed these channel currents in more than ~80% of the cell-attached patch membranes either of the cotransfected cells (n=15 and 18 for the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 combinations, respectively). In the presence of higher concentrations of the KCOs, more than tens of the channels sometimes simultaneously opened, leading to quasimacroscopic current measurement in the cell-attached configuration. Even under these conditions, we could clearly see the activation of the channels with the same single-channel characteristics shortly after application or sufficiently after washout of the agents when the open probability of the channels was low. In contrast, these KCOs never induced any currents in non-transfected HEK293T cells (n=3) or those transfected with either of Kir6.2 (n=4), SUR<sub>2A</sub> (n=5) and SUR<sub>2B</sub> (n=5) alone (data not shown). From these data, we concluded that the channel currents shown here were mediated by the expressed SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 or SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels, consistent with previous findings (Inagaki *et al.*, 1996; Isomoto *et al.*, 1996). In addition, the single-channel characteristics of the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 or SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels were identical in the presence of pinacidil or nicorandil. These channel currents were completely inhibited by glibenclamide (2  $\mu$ M), an inhibitor of K<sub>ATP</sub> channels (data not shown, n=4), as previously found (Inagaki *et al.*, 1996; Isomoto *et al.*, 1996).

# Effects of pinacidil on the $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$ and $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$ channels in the whole cell configuration

Figure 2 shows the effect of different concentrations of pinacidil on the whole-cell current of HEK293T cells expressing the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  (Figure 2a) or the  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$ 

channel (Figure 2b). The cells were held at -30 mV. In both of the transfected cells, pinacidil at concentration  $>1 \,\mu\text{M}$ induced outward K<sup>+</sup> currents in a concentration-dependent manner. The K<sup>+</sup> currents were completely inhibited by glibenclamide (2  $\mu$ M) (Figure 2b). Figure 2c shows the relationship between the concentration of pinacidil and the whole-cell SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 or SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel currents. Pinacidil (0.1–100  $\mu$ M) increased both channel currents in a concentration-dependent manner. High concentrations (>100  $\mu$ M) of pinacidil showed a weak inhibitory effect on both the channel currents. The relationships were fitted with the modified Hill equation using the least-squares method:

Relative current = 
$$A/\{1 + (K/[Pinacidil])^{n_H}\}$$
 (1)

where the relative current is the current normalized to that induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil in the same cells; A is the maximum relative current attained with pinacidil; *K* is the apparent dissociation constant of pinacidil; [Pinacidil], the concentration of pinacidil; and n<sub>H</sub> the Hill coefficient. The



**Figure 1** Single channel characteristics of the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels in the presence of pinacidil or nicorandil. (a) Cell-attached recordings obtained from HEK293T cells cotransfected with Kir6.2 plus  $SUR_{2A}$  (the upper row) or with Kir6.2 plus  $SUR_{2B}$  (the lower row) at different membrane potentials in the presence of pinacidil (100  $\mu$ M) (the left column) or nicorandil (100  $\mu$ M) (the right column) in the bath. The pipette contained 145 mM K<sup>+</sup>. Arrow heads indicate the zero current level. The membrane potentials are indicated to the left of trace. (b) The single-channel current-voltage relationship measured from the cell attached recordings shown in (a). The data were obtained from the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil or nicorandil. The line is the regression line to fit the data between -100 and 0 mV, which had the slope of 78 pS.

Table 1 The time constants for intraburst open  $(\tau_0)$  and closed  $(\tau_c)$  events of SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels in the presence of pinacidil or nicorandil

	Membrane	Pinacidil		Nicorandil		
	potential (mV)	$\tau_o$ (ms)	$\tau_c$ (ms)	$\tau_o$ (ms)	$\tau_c$ (ms)	
SUR <sub>2A</sub> /Kir6.2	+40	$9.1 \pm 1.2$	$0.23 \pm 0.04$	$8.4 \pm 1.4$	$0.20 \pm 0.05$	
	-60	$2.4 \pm 0.8$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	$2.2 \pm 0.7$	$0.19 \pm 0.04$	
	-100	$1.3 \pm 0.6$	$0.17 \pm 0.02$	$1.3 \pm 0.6$	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	
SUR <sub>2B</sub> /Kir6.2	+40	$8.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.22 \pm 0.06$	$8.6 \pm 1.4$	$0.21 \pm 0.04$	
	-60	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	$2.3 \pm 0.7$	$0.21 \pm 0.03$	
	-100	$1.2 \pm 0.7$	$0.14 \pm 0.03$	$1.3 \pm 0.8$	$0.18 \pm 0.04$	

All these data were estimated from the dwell time histograms for open and closed times in bursts constructed from the traces shown in Figure 1a. Both the open and closed time histograms were satisfactorily fitted with a single exponential function under each condition. The values indicate the mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean. The number of observations is 3–5 for each of the conditions.

values of A, K and  $n_H$  were 1.05, 9.8  $\mu$ M and 1.24 for the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel; and 1.03, 1.4  $\mu$ M and 1.42 for the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels.

Both relationships were very similar but significantly different at 1, 3 and 10  $\mu$ M pinacidil (P=0.0013, 0.0017 and 0.027, respectively). Therefore, pinacidil may activate the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel slightly more potently than the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel. However, there was a tendency for the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel to become desensitized to some extent by high concentrations (i.e., 10 and 100  $\mu$ M) of pinacidil more frequently than the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel (Figure 2a and b). Thus, if desensitization had not occurred, the maximum value of SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel activity induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil would have been larger than that actually measured. To avoid the influence of desensitization as far as possible, the current values obtained at each concentration of pinacidil were normalized to the peak current value induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil in each patch. Nevertheless, the data shown in Figure 2c could have been biased to some extent by such desensitization. However, in such a case the relative current values observed in the presence of lower concentrations of pinacidil may have been overestimated. Therefore the real difference in the sensitivity to pinacidil between the  $SUR_{2A}$ Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels might be even smaller than that shown in Figure 2c.

## Effects of nicorandil on the $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$ and $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$ channels in the whole-cell configuration

Figure 3 shows the effect of nicorandil on the whole-cell  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  (Figure 3a) and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channel currents (Figure 3b). In the cells expressing the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$ 

Kir6.2 channels, nicorandil induced an outward current at concentrations  $>100 \ \mu$ M. The current induced by 1 mM nicorandil was only  $\sim 30\%$  of that induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil. Glibenclamide  $(2 \mu M)$  completely inhibited the pinacidil-current. On the other hand, the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel was effectively activated by  $1-30 \ \mu M$  nicorandil in a concentration-dependent manner. Nicorandil  $(30 \ \mu M)$ activated the channel approximately to the same extent as 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil. Figure 3c shows the relationships between the concentration of nicorandil and the whole-cell  $SUR_{2A}$ Kir6.2 or SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel currents. In this graph, the current induced by each concentration of nicorandil was normalized to that induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil in each cell. The threshold concentration of nicorandil to activate the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel was 10  $\mu$ M. The current evoked by 1 mM nicorandil was only  $\sim 37 \pm 14$  (n = 3) % of that induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil. On the other hand, nicorandil (1-100  $\mu$ M) effectively activated the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel. The maximum current of SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel induced by nicorandil was approximately the same as that by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil. At concentrations higher than 100  $\mu$ M, nicorandil showed some inhibitory effect on the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel. The concentration-response relationship for the nicorandilactivation of SUR2B/Kir6.2 channel was fitted with the following modified Hill equation:

Relative current = 
$$A/\{1 + (K/[Nicorandil])^{n_H}\}$$
 (2)

where the relative current is the current normalized to that induced by 100  $\mu$ M pinacidil in the same cells; A is the maximum relative current induced by nicorandil; and [Nicorandil], the concentration of nicorandil. The values of A, K and n<sub>H</sub> were 1.05, 9.2  $\mu$ M and 1.30, respectively.



**Figure 2** Concentration-dependent effect of pinacidil on the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels. Concentration-dependent effect of pinacidil on the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  (a) and the  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels (b) were measured at -30 mV with 5.4 mM external K<sup>+</sup> in the whole-cell configuration. Above each trace, the perfusion protocol is indicated. Arrow heads indicate the zero current level. (c). The relationship between the concentration of pinacidil and the whole-cell current of the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  (open circles) and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels (solid circles). The current amplitude induced by each concentration of pinacidil was normalized to the pinacidil (100  $\mu$ M)-induced current in the same cell. Each symbol and vertical lines indicate the mean and s.e.mean, respectively. The number of observations at each point was 5. The lines are the fit of the data with Eq.1 (see text) at concentrations lower than 100  $\mu$ M.



Figure 3 Concentration-dependent effect of nicorandil on the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels. Concentrationdependent effect of nicorandil on the whole-cell current of the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  (a) and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channels (b) at -30 mV with 5.4 mM external K<sup>+</sup>. Arrow heads indicate the zero current level. The perfusion protocol is indicated above each trace. (c) Relationship between the concentration of nicorandil and the whole-cell current of the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  (open circles) and  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  (open circles). The current amplitude induced by each concentration of nicorandil was normalized to the pinacidil (100  $\mu$ M)-induced current in the same cell. Each symbol and vertical lines indicate the mean and s.e.mean, respectively. The number of the observations at each point was 5. The line is the fit of the data with Eq.2 (see text) at concentrations lower than 100  $\mu$ M.

Therefore, nicorandil showed almost the same potency and efficacy as pinacidil in activating the  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$ , but was more than 100 times less potent than pinacidil in activating the  $SUR_{2A}/Kir6.2$  channels.

#### **Discussion and conclusions**

We showed for the first time that nicorandil, but not pinacidil, activates the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel >100 times more potently than the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel. The partition coefficient of pinacidil in octanol/phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) is 0.99 (from Dr Erling N. Petersen, Leo Pharmaceutical Products Ltd.), while that of nicorandil in octanol/phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) is 0.78 (from Chugai Pharmaceutical Company). Therefore, pinacidil is more hydrophobic than nicorandil at neutral pH, raising the possibility that the two agents may achieve different concentrations at the receptor sites of the channels if applied to the bathing solution at the same concentration. However, this does not affect our conclusion because we compared the sensitivities of the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels to each drug, but not the effects of pinacidil and nicorandil on each type of channel.

SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> are the splicing variants of the same gene, both of which are composed of 1546 amino acids and differ only in the last 42 amino acid residues in their carboxyl-terminal ends (i.e. aa. 1505-1546) (Isomoto *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, the carboxyl-terminal regions of SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> seem to play a critical role in the different sensitivity of the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels to nicorandil.

We previously found that diazoxide, a potent activator of the SUR<sub>1</sub>/Kir6.2 channel (Inagaki et al., 1995b), activates the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2, but not SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2, channel (Isomoto et al., 1996; Inagaki et al., 1996). Because the amino acid sequence within the alternative region of mouse  $SUR_{2B}$  is 74% and 33% identical to those of the corresponding regions of rat  $SUR_1$  and mouse  $SUR_{2A}$ , respectively, it was postulated that the carboxyl-terminal region is involved in differential activation of SUR/Kir6.2 complex channels by diazoxide (Isomoto et al., 1996). Diazoxide does not activate the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel, while neither nicorandil nor pinacidil can activate SUR<sub>1</sub>/Kir6.2 channel (Inagaki et al., 1995b; data not shown). Therefore, the region shared by  $SUR_{2A}$  and  $SUR_{2B}$  (i.e. aa. 1–1504) appears to be crucial for the action of both pinacidil and nicorandil. Taken together, the whole sequence of SUR<sub>2B</sub> is necessary for nicorandilaction, while the carboxyl-terminal region of SUR<sub>2B</sub> may enhance the sensitivity of the SUR/Kir6.2 complex channels to nicorandil. Alternatively, it is also possible that the divergent part of SUR<sub>2A</sub> suppresses the nicorandil action. The carboxyl-terminal regions of SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> may not be involved in the action of pinacidil.

However, unknown is how this short segment of the Cterminus of SUR<sub>2B</sub> is involved in actions of nicorandil and diazoxide on the SUR/Kir6.2 channels. This region might be a part of the receptor sites for the KCOs, or might indirectly affect the function of the receptor sites for the KCOs located in other parts of SUR. In this regard, it should be noted that the  $SUR_{2B}/Kir6.2$  channel is more effectively inhibited by  $Mg^{2+}$ free than -bound forms of ATP, while the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 channel is equally sensitive to both forms of ATP (Isomoto et al., 1996). Recently, Tucker et al. (1997) showed that the inhibitory ATP-binding site may be a lysine residue (K185) locating in the proximal region of the C-terminus of Kir6.2. The SUR (SUR<sub>1</sub> in their study) interacting with Kir6.2 increases the sensitivity of the channel to intracellular ATP. Thus, SURs modulate the sensitivity of the interaction between the lysine residue in Kir6.2 and ATP. It was postulated that the 42 amino acids at the C-termini of SUR<sub>28</sub> may play important roles in differential regulation of the ATP-binding site of Kir6.2 by Mg-free and Mg-bound forms of ATP (Isomoto et al., 1996). It is also known that most of KCOs activate KATP channels by modulating the sensitivity of channels to intracellular nucleotides (Terzic et al., 1995). Therefore, there might be a similar basis for the action of some KCOs and intracellular ATP. However, further studies are necessary to elucidate the molecular mechanism by which the carboxyl-termini of SUR<sub>2A</sub> and SUR<sub>2B</sub> produce the different sensitivities to nicorandil of the SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channels.

#### References

- AGUILAR-BRYAN, L., NICHOLS, C.G., WECHSLER, S.W., CLEMENT IV, J.P., BOYD III, A.E., GONZÁLEZ, G., HERRERA-SOSA, H., NGUY, K., BRYAN, J & NELSON, D.A. (1995). Cloning of the  $\beta$  cell high-affinity sulfonylurea receptor: a regulator of insulin secretion. *Science*, **268**, 423–426.
- ASHCROFT, F.M. (1988). Adenosine 5'-triphosphate-sensitive potassium channels. Ann. Rev. Neurosci., 11, 97-118.
- ASHCROFT, S.J.H. & ASHCROFT, F.M. (1990). Properties and functions of ATP-sensitive K-channels. *Cell. Signall.*, 2, 197– 214.
- BEECH, D.J., ZHANG, H., NAKAO, K. & BOLTON, T.B. (1993). K channel activation by nucleotide diphosphates and its inhibition by glibenclamide in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **110**, 573-582.
- DASWSON, R.M.C., ELLIOTT, D.C., ELLIOTT, W.H. & JONES, K.M. (1986). Stability constants of metal complexes. In *Data for Biochemical Research*, 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press.
- EDWARDS, G. & WESTON, A.H. (1990). Structure-activity relationships of K<sup>+</sup> channel openers. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.*, **11**, 417– 422.
- ESCANDE, D. (1989). The pharmacology of ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels in the heart. *Pflügers Archiv.*, **414**, S93–S98.
- ESCANDE, D., THURINGER, D., LE GUERN, S., COURTEIX, J., LAVILLE, M. & CAVERO, I. (1989). Potassium channel openers act through an activation of ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels in guinea-pig cardiac myocytes. *Pflügers Archiv.*, **414**, 669–675.
- FAIVRE, J.F. & FINDLAY, I. (1989). Effects of tolbutamide, glibenclamide and diazoxide upon action potentials recorded from rat ventricular muscle. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 984, 1-5.
- HAMILL, O.P., MARTY, A., NEHER, E., SAKMANN, B. & SIGWORTH, F.J. (1981). Improved patch-clamp techniques for high-resolution current recording from cells and cell-free membrane patches. *Pflügers Archiv.*, **391**, 85–100.

SUR<sub>2A</sub> forms the cardiac or skeletal-muscle type classical KATP channel with Kir6.2 (Inagaki et al., 1996). On the other hand, SUR<sub>2B</sub> is likely to form a vascular K<sup>+</sup> channel in vivo by coupling with Kir6.1 (Yamada et al., 1997), another Kir subunit whose amino acid sequence is  $\sim 70\%$  identical to that of Kir6.2 (Inagaki et al., 1995a). This vascular K<sup>+</sup> channel, often referred to as the nucleotide diphosphate-dependent K<sup>+</sup>  $(K_{NDP})$  or the small conductance  $K_{ATP}$  channel, serves as the major receptor site for KCOs in vascular smooth muscle (Kajioka et al., 1991; Beech et al., 1993; Kamouchi & Kitamura, 1994; Zhang & Bolton, 1996). The SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.1 channel shows the same pharmacological properties as the SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.2 channel (Yamada et al., 1997). It is, however, difficult to compare quantitatively the tissue specificity of various KCOs using SUR<sub>2A</sub>/Kir6.2 and SUR<sub>2B</sub>/Kir6.1 channels, because they differ in the single channel characteristics and nucleotide regulations (Isomoto et al., 1996; Yamada et al., 1997). The present data show that a quantitative comparison of the effects of various KCOs can be performed in vitro by using heterologously expressed KATP channels composed of different types of SUR and Kir6.2. This method, when combined with site-directed mutagenesis, will more precisely delineate the molecular mechanism responsible for the subtype specific action of KCOs, and thus will provide a future strategy to develop novel KCOs.

We thank Dr Lutz Pott (Institute of Physiology, School of Medicine, Ruhr-University, Bochum, Germany) for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the grants from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan and the 'Research for the Future' Program from The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS-RFTF96L00302).

- INAGAKI, N., TSUURA, Y., NAMBA, N., MASUDA, K., GONOI, T., HORIE, M., SEINO, Y., MIZUTA, M. & SEINO, S. (1995a). Cloning and functional characterization of a novel ATP-sensitive potassium channel ubiquitously expressed in rat tissues, including pancreatic islets, pituitary, skeletal muscle, and heart. J. Biol. Chem., 270, 5691-5694.
- INAGAKI, N., GONOI, T., CLEMENT IV, J.P., NAMBA, N., INAZAWA, J., GONZÁLEZ, G., AGUILAR-BRYAN, L., SEINO, S. & BRYAN, J. (1995b). Reconstitution of  $I_{KATP}$ : an inward rectifier subunit plus the sulfonylurea receptor. *Science*, **270**, 1166–1170.
- INAGAKI, N., GONOI, T., CLEMENT IV, J.P., WANG, C.-Z., AGUILAR-BRYAN, L., BRYAN, J. & SEINO, S. (1996). A family of sulfonylurea receptors determines the pharmacological properties of ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels. *Neuron*, 16, 1011– 1017.
- ISOMOTO, S., KONDO, C., YAMADA, M., MATSUMOTO, S., HIGA-SHIGUCHI, O., HORIO, Y., MATSUZAWA, Y. & KURACHI, Y. (1996). A novel sulfonylurea receptor forms with BIR(Kir6.2) a smooth muscle type ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channel. J. Biol. Chem., 271, 24321–24324.
- KAJIOKA, S., KITAMURA, K. & KURIYAMA, H. (1991). Guanosine diphosphate activates an adenosine 5'-triphosphate-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channel in the rabbit portal vein. J. Physiol., **444**, 397–418.
- KAMOUCHI, M. & KITAMURA, K. (1994). Regulation of ATPsensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels by ATP nucleotide diphosphate in rabbit portal vein. Am. J. Physiol., 266, H1687–1698.
- SAKURA, H., ÄMMÄLÄ, C., SMITH, P.A., GRIBBLE, F.M. & ASHCROFT, F.M. (1995). Cloning and functional expression of the cDNA encoding a novel ATP-sensitive potassium channel subunit expressed in pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells, brain, heart and skeletal muslce. *FEBS Lett.*, **377**, 338–344.

- STANDEN, N.B., QUAYLE, J.M., DAVIES, N.W., BRAYDEN, J.E., HUANG, Y. & NELSON, M.T. (1989). Hyperpolarizing vasodilators activate ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels in arterial smooth muscle. *Science*, 245, 177-180.
- TERZIC, A., JAHANGIR, A. & KURACHI, Y. (1995). Cardiac ATPsensitive  $K^+$  channels: regulation by intracellular nucleotides and  $K^+$  channel-opening drugs. *Am. J. Physiol.*, **269**, (*Cell Physiol.*, **38**) C525-C545.
- TRUBE, G., RORSMAN, P. & OHNO-SHOSAKU, T. (1986). Opposite effects of tolbutamide and diazoxide on the ATP-dependent K<sup>+</sup> channel in mouse pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells. *Pflügers Archiv.*, **407**, 493–499.
- TUCKER, S.J., GRIBBLE, F.M., ZHAO, C., TRAPP, S. & ASHCROFT, F.M. (1997). Truncation of Kir6.2 produces ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels in the absence of the sulphonylurea receptor. *Nature*, **387**, 179–183.
- YAMADA, M., ISOMOTO, S., MATSUMOTO, S., KONDO, C., SHINDO, T., HORIO, Y. & KURACHI, Y. (1997). Sulphonylurea receptor 2B and Kir6.1 from a sulphonylurea-sensitive but ATP-insensitive  $K^+$  channel. J. Physiol., **499**, 715–720.
- ZHANG, H.L. & BOLTON, T.B. (1996). Two types of ATP-sensitive potassium channels in rat portal vein smooth muscle cells. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **118**, 105–114.

(Received August 14, 1997 Revised April 1, 1998 Accepted April 2, 1998)