Controlled Expression of the Transcriptional Activator Gene virG in Agrobacterium tumefaciens by Using the Escherichia coli lac Promoter

CHIN-YI CHEN AND STEPHEN C. WINANS*

Section of Microbiology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

Received 24 September 1990/Accepted 4 December 1990

The Agrobacterium VirG protein is normally expressed from two promoters in response to multiple stimuli, including plant-released phenolics (at promoter P1) and acidic growth media (at promoter P2). To simplify the analysis of vir gene induction, we sought to create Agrobacterium strains in which virG could be expressed in a controllable fashion. To study the possibility of using the lac promoter and repressor, we constructed a plasmid containing the *lac* promoter fused to the *lacZ* structural gene. A derivative of this plasmid containing the *lacl*^q gene was also constructed. The plasmid not containing *lacl*^q expressed high levels of β -galactosidase. The plasmid containing lacl^q expressed β -galactosidase at very low levels in the absence of o-nitrophenyl- β p-galactoside (IPTG) and at moderate levels in the presence of IPTG. We also fused the lac promoter to a virG:: lacZ translational fusion and found that IPTG elevated expression of this translational fusion to moderate levels, though not to levels as high as from the stronger of the two native virG promoters. Finally, the lac promoter was used to express the native virG gene in strains containing a virB:: lacZ translational fusion. virB expression in this strain depended on addition of IPTG as well as the vir gene inducer acetosyringone. In a similar strain lacking $lacI^{q}$, virB expression was greater than in a strain in which virG was expressed from its native promoters. Expression of virG from the lac promoter did not alter the acidic pH optimum for vir gene induction, indicating that the previously observed requirement for acidic media was not due solely to the need to induce P2.

Models to describe the transcriptional regulation of Agrobacterium virulence genes have become steadily more complicated since the phenomenon was first documented just 5 years ago. In 1985, the pioneering work of Stachel and colleagues indicated that the two dozen Agrobacterium vir genes required for plant transformation were indeed transcriptionally induced during infection and that virA and virG were required for this induction (16, 19, 21, 22). Induction depended absolutely on acidic growth media (21). Subsequent studies indicated that VirA and VirG were members of the family of homologous two-component regulatory systems (11, 26). Since that time, it has come to light that virCand virD, unlike other vir genes, are additionally controlled by the chromosomal locus ros (6). More recently, it was shown that vir gene expression is potentiated by a variety of opines (24). Finally, a variety of monosaccharides and related compounds have been shown to stimulate vir gene induction in concert with VirA and a sugar-binding periplasmic protein encoded by the chromosomal chvE gene (1, 3).

The transcription of the two regulatory genes virA and virG is also regulated. virA is inducible by acetosyringone in a VirA-, VirG-dependent manner (27). virG is expressed from two promoters, one of which (P1) is inducible both by acetosyringone and by phosphate starvation, and the other of which (P2) is inducible by various forms of environmental stress, including acidic media (11a, 22, 23, 25, 27). The second of these promoters resembles the family of *Escherichia coli* heat shock promoters (8). Induction of these promoters during infection results in a several hundred-fold increase in the pool size of VirG. Since the intracellular concentration of VirG (and possibly of VirA as well) limits

the efficiency of induction of other vir genes (28), these changes in the concentration of VirG are of central importance in the efficiency of vir gene expression. These changes also complicate studies of induction. We therefore sought to simplify our analysis of vir gene induction by controlling the production of VirG, using the regulatable *lac* promoter.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids. Agrobacterium tumefaciens A348 is a derivative of A136 containing pTiA6NC (18). A136 is a derivative of strain C58 lacking the nopaline Ti plasmid pTiC58. pSM243, a Tn3HoHo1 insertion derivative of cosmid pVK219, contains virA and a virB::lacZ fusion (20). pTZ18R was purchased from U.S. Biochemical. The broadhost-range plasmid pUCD2 was obtained from C. Kado (7). Strain DH5a was purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories. The lac deletion strain MC4100 was obtained from C. Manoil. pMC1403 (4) was obtained from S. Lory. Plasmid pTJS75a (10) was obtained from M. Yanofsky. Plasmid pIC20R (12) was obtained from U. Storb. Plasmid pPC401 (9) was obtained from P. Christie. Plasmid pSW219 was constructed by ligating the large BamHI fragment of plasmid pSM243 (which contains virA and a virB::lacZ translational fusion) to the large BamHI fragment of pUCD2. Antibiotics, 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-B-D-galactoside (X-Gal), o-nitrophenyl-B-D-galactoside (ONPG), and morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES) were purchased from Sigma. Isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was purchased from U.S. Biochemical. Restriction endonucleases and T4 DNA ligase, were purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories. Acetosyringone was purchased from Aldrich Co.

Construction of a broad-host-range plasmid containing Plac and lacl⁴. pTJS75a, a 7.5-kb Tc^r plasmid of the IncP incom-

^{*} Corresponding author.



FIG. 1. Physical maps of two broad-host-range plasmids containing the lac α complementation group. pSW213 also contains lacI^q. Restriction sites found at the multiple cloning site (MCS) are EcoRI, ClaI, EcoRV, XbaI, BglII, XhoI, SacI, NruI, HindIII, SphI, PstI, SalI, XbaI, BamHI, SmaI, KpnI, SacI, and EcoRI.

patibility group, replicates in E. coli and in Agrobacterium spp. (17). This plasmid was subjected to partial digestion with HaeII and ligated to HaeII fragments of plasmid pIC20R, a plasmid similar to pUC18 but containing 18 restriction sites at the multiple cloning site (12). From this ligation was isolated plasmid pSW172, which is a derivative of pTJS75a containing the multiple cloning site and α complementation group of pIC20R cloned into the tetR gene and oriented such that transcription from the lac promoter is the same direction as that of the tetA promoter (Fig. 1). pSW172 was designed to allow high-level, unregulated expression of the lac promoter in Agrobacterium strains. To permit controlled expression, a HindIII fragment containing the lacl^q gene from pMMB22 (2) was converted to a blunt-ended form by treatment with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and introduced into pSW172 digested with StuI, thus giving rise to plasmid pSW213 (Fig. 1).

Reconstruction of the *lac* operon on broad-host-range plasmids. To create plasmids that permitted assay of the *lac* promoter activity in *Agrobacterium* strains, we cut plasmids pSW172 and pSW213 with *Bam*HI and introduced *Bam*HIdigested pMC1403. pMC1403 contains the intact *lac* operon beginning at the eighth codon of *lacZ* but does not contain *lacI*, the *lac* promoter, or the *lacZ* translation start site. The resulting plasmids, pCC101 and pCC102, contained the first 27 amino acids of the *lac* α peptide of pSW172 or pSW213 fused in the correct reading frame to the eighth codon of the *lacZ* gene of pMC1403 (Fig. 2).

Construction of broad-host-range plasmids expressing virG. pSW99 is a derivative of pRK404 cut with BamHI and PstI into which the native virG gene was introduced as a BglII-PstI fragment (Fig. 2). pSW207 and pSW217 were constructed by cutting pSW172 and pSW213, respectively, with HindIII and treatment with Sequenase and by introducing a PvuII fragment from pPC401. pPC401 is a pTZ18R derivative in which the lac promoter strongly transcribes virG (9). Both the lac promoter and virG are on the same PvuII fragment. In both pSW207 and pSW217, the lac promoters which express virG are oriented in the same direction as the lac promoters of the vectors (Fig. 2). To construct a virG:: lacZ translational fusion expressed from the lac promoter, pSW217 was cut with BamHI and ligated to BamHIdigested pMC1403, resulting in pCC103 (Fig. 2). pSW174 contains the same virG:: lacZ translational fusion, but expression of this gene fusion is driven from the native virG promoters (27).

Assay of β -galactosidase. Agrobacterium strains were grown in 25 ml of AB medium (5) supplemented with 40 mM

MES (pH 7.0) to an optical density of 0.4 at 600 nm, centrifuged, resuspended in 1.0 ml of water containing 15% glycerol, and frozen at -70° C. As needed, cells were thawed, diluted to an optical density of 0.05 at 600 nm into 5.0 ml of induction medium (AB salts, 25 mM phosphate, 40 mM MES [pH 5.25], 0.5% glucose) and cultured at 30°C in culture tubes (20 by 150 mm) in a rotary aerator. After the indicated time intervals, they were assayed for β -galactosidase activity (14).

RESULTS

To create a broad-host-range plasmid that contained the *lac* promoter and α complementation group, plasmids pSW172 and pSW213 were constructed as described above. Both plasmids contain IncP replication origins, Tc^r determinants, and α complementation groups. pSW213 in addition contains the *lacI*^q gene. DH5 α (pSW172) formed blue colonies on solid media containing X-Gal whether or not the gratuitous *lac* inducer IPTG was present, while DH5 α



FIG. 2. Schematic representations of plasmids used in this study.

TABLE 1. Activity of the *lac* promoter and *lac* repressor in $E. \ coli$ and $A. \ tumefaciens^a$

Plasmid ^b	Enzyme activity (Miller units)			
	E. coli MC4100		A. tumefaciens A136	
	0 mM ^c	1 mM	0 mM	1 mM
pCC101 pCC102	2,150 2	2,200 1,710	2,890 3	3,160 730

 a E. coli cells containing the indicated plasmids were cultured in AB medium at 37°C for 3.5 h prior to β -galactosidase assay, while Agrobacterium cells were cultured at 30°C for 12 h prior to assay.

^b pCC101 and pCC102 contain identical Plac::lacZ translational fusions. pCC102 in addition contains the lacI^q gene.

^c IPTG concentration.

(pSW213) formed white colonies in the absence of IPTG and formed blue colonies only if IPTG was added. This result indicated that the *lac* promoter and the *lacI*^q gene of these plasmids functioned in *E. coli*.

Measuring lac promoter activity in E. coli and Agrobacterium strains. Testing of the lac promoter of pSW172 or pSW213 depended on the use of a strain that supports α complementation. To test this promoter in other strains of E. coli and in Agrobacterium strains, the lac operon was introduced into these plasmids as described in Materials and Methods, forming plasmids pCC101 and pCC102, respectively. Derivatives of strain MC4100 (which has a lac deletion) containing pCC101 or pCC102 were tested for β -galactosidase activity in the presence or absence of IPTG. After culturing logarithmically growing cells for 3.5 h with or without 1 mM IPTG, we obtained the results shown in Table 1. We observed strong expression of the *lac* promoter in pCC101 with or without IPTG, strong repression by lac repressor in pCC102, and virtually complete derepression of expression by IPTG. These results confirm that the lac promoter and lacI^q gene of these plasmids are fully functional in E. coli and indicate that these plasmids should be useful to assay quantitatively the activity of these genetic elements in Agrobacterium spp.

Accordingly, these plasmids were introduced into A. tumefaciens A136 by transformation and assayed for β -galactosidase activity. A136(pCC101) showed approximately 3,000 U of β -galactosidase activity in the presence or absence of IPTG (Table 1). This result indicates that the activity of the lac promoter in Agrobacterium strains is approximately the same as or slightly higher than in E. coli. The fact that IPTG is not required indicates that Agrobacterium strains do not contain an endogenous IPTG-sensitive lac repressor. Similar assays using A136(pCC102) were undertaken (Table 1). In the absence of IPTG, β -galactosidase was expressed at extremely low levels, indicating that product of the *lacI*^q gene did indeed effectively repress the lac promoter. When IPTG was added, β-galactosidase expression was partially but not fully derepressed. We tested derepression of Plac by a broad range of IPTG concentrations and found that maximal derepression was achieved if a concentration equal to or greater than 0.1 mM was used (Fig. 3). These data indicate that IPTG is unable to strongly derepress the lac promoter in Agrobacterium strains even though it does so in an E. coli strain containing the same plasmid. We also tried using lactose in place of IPTG as an inducer. Addition of 1 mM lactose to complete AB medium or addition of 0.5% lactose in place of glucose resulted in β -galactosidase levels approximately half as high as with



Time (Hours)

FIG. 3. Expression of Plac::lacZ in an Agrobacterium strain containing lacI^q. Symbols: \bigcirc , 0 mM IPTG; \triangle , 0.01 mM IPTG; \bigtriangledown , 0.05 mM IPTG; +, 0.1 mM IPTG; \blacktriangle , 1 mM IPTG; \bigcirc , 10 mM IPTG.

IPTG. Incubation of bacteria in AB medium containing 0.5% glycerol or 0.5% succinate in place of glucose did not affect enzyme levels. Culturing bacteria in acidic broth (pH 5.25) also did not affect enzyme levels.

Expression of a *virG*:: *lacZ* gene fusion from the *lac* promoter. We wanted to be able to compare directly virG expression from the lac promoter with that obtained from its native promoters. We therefore created a plasmid (pCC103) in which the lac promoter drove the expression of a virG:: lacZ translational fusion and compared it with a plasmid (pSW174) containing the same virG:: lacZ fusion expressed from the native virG promoters. A second lac promoter in pCC103 may also have contributed to virG expression (see Fig. 1). A136(pCC103) expressed virtually no β -galactosidase activity in the absence of IPTG, while it expressed 300 U of β -galactosidase activity in the presence of IPTG, whether cultured at neutral or acidic pH (Table 2). Although a similar construct without lacI^q was not constructed, we speculate from the data presented above that such a construct might have expressed 900 to 1,200 U of β -galactosidase activity (since the absence of *lac1*^q resulted in a three- to fourfold increase of expression of lacZ expression from pCC102 compared with pCC101). By comparison, strain A348(pSW174) expressed about 70 U of β-galactosidase activity in response to culturing in acidic pH (which induces promoter P2). The same strain expressed 700 U of β-galactosidase in acidic media containing acetosyringone

TABLE 2. Expression of a virG::lacZ fusion gene from artificial and native promoters^{*a*}

Plasmid	Description	Inducing treatment	β-Galactosidase (Miller units)
pCC103	Plac::virG::lacZ,	None	2
	hu ci	1 mM IPTG	300
pSW174	PvirG::virG::lacZ	None	9
		pH 5.25	70
		pH 5.25, 100 µM acetosyringone	700

^a Bacteria were grown in AB medium (pH 7.0) unless otherwise specified. Samples were assayed after 12 h of induction.



FIG. 4. Expression of a virB:: lacZ fusion in an Agrobacterium strain containing Plac:: virG and lacI^q in the presence of 100 μ M acetosyringone and differing levels of IPTG. Symbols represent the same concentrations of IPTG as in Fig. 3.

(which induces P1 and P2). Therefore, the *lac* promoter of a plasmid containing *lacI*^q expresses a *virG*::*lacZ* gene fusion during IPTG induction somewhat less strongly than do the native *vir* promoters. Indirect evidence (comparing pCC101 with pCC102; see above) suggests that the same *lac* promoter in the absence of the *lacI*^q probably would have expressed a *virG*::*lacZ* fusion slightly more strongly than did the native *virG* promoters. This speculation is supported by data presented below.

Expression of native virG in Agrobacterium cells using the lac promoter. Finally, we used the lac promoter to express the native virG gene in Agrobacterium strains. We used a derivative of strain A136 containing pSW219, which contains virA and a virB::lacZ fusion, and pSW217, which contains Plac::virG and lacI⁴. Strain A136(pSW219)(pSW217) was tested for the efficiency of induction of the virB::lacZ fusion carried by pSW219. Acetosyringone (100 μ M) was added to all cultures. A136(pSW219)(pSW217) expressed virB only in the presence of IPTG, and increasing amounts of IPTG resulted in increased expression of virB (Fig. 4).

We used other *virG* constructs to compare *virB* induction in this strain. These *virG*-containing plasmids were pSW217; pSW207, which contains Plac::virG and does not contain lacI^q; pSW99, which contains the native virG gene; and pSW213, a negative control not containing any virG gene. The abilities of different *virG*-expressing plasmids to induce a virB:: lacZ fusion are compared in Fig. 5. Strain A136(pSW219)(pSW217) expressed virB slightly less efficiently than did strain A136(pSW219)(pSW99), even when the former strain was incubated in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. In contrast, a strain containing pSW207 expressed virB about twofold more efficiently than did a strain containing pSW99. The differences observed are representative of a large number of trials over a range of pHs and acetosyringone concentrations. Expression of virB in all strains tested was strictly dependent on inclusion of acetosyringone (data for pSW207 are shown in Fig. 6).

We also tested the following hypothesis. It is known that induction of all vir genes occurs only in acidic growth media. It is also known that virG promoter P2 is induced by



FIG. 5. Expression of a virB::lacZ fusion by 100 μ M acetosyringone in derivatives of A136(pSW219) containing different virGexpressing plasmids. pSW99 contains the native virG gene (\oplus); pSW207 contains Plac::virG; (∇); pSW217 contains Plac::virG and lacI^q (Δ); pSW213 is a vector control not containing virG (O). All incubations were carried out in acidic media containing 1 mM IPTG.

environmental stresses which include acidic media. It has been hypothesized (27) that the underlying reason for this acidic pH optimum is that an acidic medium is required to induce P2. If this were true, then the normal low pH optimum would be lost in a strain containing a plasmid such as pSW207, because *virG* expression would no longer be dependent on acidic pH. We therefore tested induction of *virB* of strain A136(pSW219)(pSW207) in nonacidic media. Under these conditions, absolutely no induction was observed (data not shown). This result indicates that the low pH optimum cannot be explained solely in terms of a requirement for expression of promoter P2.



FIG. 6. Expression of *virB* by different levels of acetosyringone in strain A136(pSW219)(pSW207). Symbols: \bigcirc , 0 μ M AS; \triangle , 5 μ M AS; ∇ , 10 μ M AS; +, 20 μ M AS; \blacktriangle , 50 μ M AS; \bigcirc , 100 μ M AS; \bigtriangledown , 200 μ M AS.

DISCUSSION

The lac and tac promoters in combination with the lac repressor and the inducer IPTG provide a time-honored means to control gene expression in E. coli but have been used relatively little in other microorganisms, although their use in Pseudomonas putida has been reported (2), and the tac promoter has been used to express virG in an unregulated fashion (15). We have explored the possibilities and limitations of these tools in Agrobacterium strains. We here have reported that a plasmid (pCC101) which expresses β-galactosidase in an uncontrolled fashion confers enzyme levels that are similar in Agrobacterium strains and E. coli. The lac promoter is therefore expressed in Agrobacterium strains at the same or slightly greater levels than in E. coli. This interpretation is subject to the caveats that we do not know whether plasmid copy number, message half-life, translation efficiency, or protein stability is the same in the two organisms. We have also shown that the lac repressor protein is an effective repressor of the lac promoter in Agrobacterium strains and that IPTG derepresses the promoter partially but not fully. The reasons for the lack of full induction are unknown. We conclude that this system is useful for controlled gene expression in situations in which strong gene expression is not required. Strong overproduction is also possible by using plasmids that do not contain lacIq, although in that case, one sacrifices the ability to control gene expression. It is possible that using the wildtype allele of *lacI* in place of *lacI*^q might also permit more complete derepression of the lac promoter.

We were able to compare the strengths of the *lac* and *virG* promoters by using each to express a *virG*::*lacZ* translational fusion. In the presence of *lac* repressor and IPTG, the *lac* promoter was about half strong as the *virG* promoters (Table 2). Although direct measurements were not made, indirect data suggests that in the absence of *lac* repressor, the *lac* promoter is about two- to threefold stronger than the *virG* promoters. Therefore, the level of expression of the native *virG* promoters is extraordinarily strong compared with those of other regulatory genes. The reasons for this strong expression are not known.

We were also able to alter the pool size of the native VirG protein and measure consequent changes in the expression of a representative vir promoter (virB). Addition of acetosyringone and increasing amounts of IPTG to a strain containing Plac: virG and lacI^q resulted in increased expression of virB. In a strain that does not contain lacIq, expression of virG from the lac promoter resulted in a moderate and reproducible enhancement of *virB* transcription. This result indicates that the intracellular concentration of VirG produced by the native virG promoters is rate limiting for induction even when expressed on a multicopy plasmid. It is possible that still higher levels of VirG protein would result in still higher levels of vir gene expression. We believe that the transcription of other vir genes may also be increased and that virulence itself may also be stimulated. Experiments to test this hypothesis are currently in progress. This overexpression of vir genes could be exploited to increase the utility of Agrobacterium strains in creating transgenic plants.

Finally, while *virG* is normally expressed only in acidic or otherwise stressful environments, these constructs allowed us to express VirG protein in nonacidic and nonstressful conditions. We found that an acidic medium is a requirement for *vir* regulon induction even in a strain containing an induced Plac::virG fusion. It appears that we must look elsewhere to understand the reasons for the acidic pH optimum for *vir* gene induction. Recently, it was reported that a deletion of the periplasmic domain of VirA made this acidic optimum considerably less severe, suggesting that the wild-type VirA protein may have an acidic optimum (13).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank N. Mantis and M. Sessler for helpful discussions. This work was supported by NIH grant 1 R29 GM2893-01. This work was also supported by a grant from the Cornell Biotechnology Program, which is sponsored by the New York State Science and Technology Foundation, a consortium of industries, the U.S. Army Research Office, and the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Ankenbauer, R. G., and E. W. Nester. 1990. Sugar-mediated induction of Agrobacterium tumefaciens virulence genes: structural specificity and activities of monosaccharides. J. Bacteriol. 172:6442-6446.
- Bagdasarian, M. M., E. Amann, R. Lurz, B. Ruckert, and M. Bagdasarian. 1983. Activity of the hybrid *trp-lac (tac)* promoter of *Escherichia coli* in *Pseudomonas putida*. Construction of broad-host-range, controlled-expression vectors. Gene 26:273– 282.
- Cangelosi, G. A., R. G. Ankenbauer, and E. W. Nester. 1990. Sugars induce the Agrobacterium virulence genes through a periplasmic binding protein and a transmembrane signal protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:6708–6712.
- 4. Casadaban, M. J., J. Chou, and S. N. Cohen. 1980. In vitro gene fusions that join an enzymatically active β-galactosidase segment to amino terminal fragments of exogenous proteins: *E. coli* plasmid vectors for the detection and cloning of translational initiation signals. J. Bacteriol. 143:971–980.
- Chilton, M.-D., T. Currier, S. Farrand, A. J. Benddich, M. P. Gordon, and E. W. Nester. 1974. Agrobacterium tumefaciens DNA and PS8 bacteriophage DNA not detected in crown gall tumors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:3672–3676.
- Close, T. J., P. M. Rogowsky, C. I. Kado, S. C. Winans, M. F. Yanofsky, and E. W. Nester. 1987. Dual control of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens Ti plasmid virulence genes. J. Bacteriol. 169:5113-5118.
- Close, T. J., D. Zaitlin, and C. I. Kado. 1984. Design and development of amplifiable broad-host-range cloning vectors: analysis of the vir region of Agrobacterium tumefaciens plasmid pTiC58. Plasmid 12:111-118.
- Cowing, D. W., J. C. A. Bardwell, E. A. Craig, C. Woolford, R. W. Hendrix, and C. A. Gross. 1985. Consensus sequence for *Escherichia coli* heat shock promoters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:2697-2683.
- Jin, S., T. Roitsch, P. J. Christie, and E. W. Nester. 1990. The regulatory VirG protein specifically binds to a *cis*-acting regulatory sequence involved in transcriptional activation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens virulence genes. J. Bacteriol. 172:531– 537.
- 10. Klee, H. J., M. F. Yanofsky, and E. W. Nester. 1985. Vectors for transformation of higher plants. Bio/Technology 3:637-642.
- Leroux, B., M. F. Yanofsky, S. C. Winans, J. E. Ward, S. F. Ziegler, and E. W. Nester. 1987. Characterization of the virA locus of Agrobacterium tumefaciens: a transcriptional regulator and host range determinant. EMBO J. 6:849–856.
- 11a.Mantis, N., M. Sessler, and S. Winans. Unpublished data.
- 12. Marsh, J. L., M. Erfle, and E. J. Wykes. 1984. The pIC plasmid and phage vectors with versatile cloning sites for recombinant selection by insertional inactivation. Gene 32:481-485.
- Melchers, L. S., T. J. G. Regensburg-Tuink, R. B. Bourret, N. J. A. Sedee, R. A. Schilperoort, and P. J. J. Hooykaas. 1989. Membrane topology and functional analysis of the sensory protein VirA of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. EMBO J. 8:1919– 1925.
- 14. Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 15. Pazour, G. J., and A. Das. 1990. virG, an Agrobacterium

tumefaciens transcriptional activator, initiates translation at a UUG codon and is a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein. J. Bacteriol. 172:1600–1608.

- Rogowsky, P. M., T. J. Close, J. A. Chimera, J. J. Shaw, and C. I. Kado. 1987. Regulation of the vir genes of Agrobacterium tumefaciens plasmid pTiC58. J. Bacteriol. 169:5101-5112.
- 17. Schmidhauser, T. J., and D. R. Helinski. 1985. Regions of broad-host-range plasmid RK2 involved in replication and stable maintenance in nine species of gram-negative bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 164:446-455.
- Sciaky, D., A. L. Montoya, and M.-D. Chilton. 1978. Fingerprints of Agrobacterium Ti plasmids. Plasmid 1:238-253.
- Stachel, S. E., E. Messens, M. Van Montagu, and P. Zambryski. 1985. Identification of the signal molecules produced by wounded plant cells that activate T-DNA transfer in Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Nature (London) 318:624-629.
- Stachel, S. E., and E. W. Nester. 1986. The genetic and transcriptional organization of the vir region of the A6 Ti plasmid of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. EMBO J. 5:1445-1454.
- Stachel, S. E., E. W. Nester, and P. C. Zambryski. 1986. A plant cell factor induces Agrobacterium tumefaciens vir gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:379–383.
- 22. Stachel, S. E., and P. C. Zambryski. 1986. virA and virG control the plant-induced activation of the T-DNA transfer process of

A. tumefaciens. Cell 46:325-333.

- Veluthambi, K., R. K. Jayaswal, and S. B. Gelvin. 1987. Virulence genes A, G, and D mediate the double stranded border cleavage of T-DNA from the *Agrobacterium* Ti plasmid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:1881-1885.
- Veluthambi, K., M. Krishnan, J. H. Gould, R. H. Smith, and S. B. Gelvin. 1989. Opines stimulate induction of the vir genes of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens Ti plasmid. J. Bacteriol. 171: 3696-3703.
- 25. Winans, S. C. 1990. Transcriptional induction of an Agrobacterium regulatory gene at tandem promoters by plant-released phenolics, phosphate starvation, and acidic growth media. J. Bacteriol. 172:2433-2438.
- 26. Winans, S. C., P. R. Ebert, S. E. Stachel, M. P. Gordon, and E. W. Nester. 1986. A gene essential for *Agrobacterium* virulence is homologous to a family of positive regulatory loci. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:8278–8282.
- 27. Winans, S. C., R. A. Kerstetter, and E. W. Nester. 1988. Transcriptional regulation of the virA and virG genes of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. J. Bacteriol. 170:4047-4054.
- Yanofsky, M. F., S. G. Porter, C. Young, L. A. Albright, M. P. Gordon, and E. W. Nester. 1986. The virD operon of Agrobacterium tumefaciens encodes a site-specific endonuclease. Cell 47:471-477.