#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

#### Web Appendix

## Details of random effects statistical modelling to determine gestational weight gain parameters

The sample was limited to mothers of offspring born at term (at least 37 weeks gestation) and alive. We divided the gestational period into 2-week stages, from 4 weeks onwards. Where an individual woman had more than one measurement in one of these two-week periods, one was chosen randomly for inclusion in the sample.

Thus, each woman could contribute a maximum of 20 weight measures to the model. Deletion of obvious errors in weights and dates, and elimination of repeat measures within the two week period, gave a sample for model development of 12,484 women with a total of 114,988 weight measures.

There was little evidence that patterns of gestational weight gain (GWG) differed markedly between mothers of female and male offspring; predicted mean pre-pregnancy weight was 0.479 (0.05, 0.91) kg greater for mothers of male compared to female offspring (p = 0.025) but otherwise there were no differences. One model was constructed for mothers of both female and male offspring, and interactions between sex of offspring and gestational weight gain included. Multilevel models (with two levels: antenatal visit, within mother) were used to relate weight at each visit to gestational age of the child at that visit. Fractional polynomials were used to derive the best-fitting function to describe the pattern of weight gain with gestational age. However, although fractional polynomials provide a flexible way to examine such relationships, they do not provide parameters that are clinically relevant or easily interpreted. For example, here the best-fitting polynomial had powers of 2 and 3, indicating that weight was related to gestational age squared and gestational age cubed. We therefore used the best-fitting fractional polynomial to derive a piecewise linear spline model. Here, the best approximation to the fractional polynomial was provided by a spline model with three linear portions: from 0 to 18 weeks gestation; from 19 to 28 weeks gestation; and, from 29 weeks gestation to birth.

The positioning of the knots was chosen by varying the positions of the knots (in whole gestational weeks) around the approximate times and selecting the model with the smallest residuals throughout the range of gestational age. This linear spline multilevel model enabled estimation of the individual pre-pregnancy weight and weight gain during each period, for each woman. In addition, the model allowed variation in measurement between occasions and within subjects, thereby capturing the change in the variance of measurements with age. The model was estimated using maximum likelihood estimation within MLWiN.1. The final multilevel spline model is shown below.

Multilevel spline model:

weightij= $\beta$ 0i+ $\beta$ 1iage0to18ij+ $\beta$ 2iage18to28ij+ $\beta$ 3iage29plusij+eij where, for mother i (i=1 to 12,484) at measurement occasion j (j=1 to 18):

 $\beta$ 0i=individual estimate of weight at gestational age=0 for the ith mother

 $\beta$ 1i= individual estimate of rate of weight gain during the first 18 weeks for the ith mother

β2i=individual estimate of rate of weight gain during weeks 18-28 for the ith mother

 $\beta$ 3i=individual estimate of rate of weight gain after week 28 for the ith mother

ageOto18ij= the value of the first linear spline at the gestational age of the jth observation for the ith mother

age18to28ij= the value of the second linear spline at the gestational age of the jth observation for the ith mother

age29tomaxij= the value of the third linear spline at the gestational age of the jth observation for the ith mother

eij = measurement error

Table 1 shows the fit of this model when compared to the measured weights at each time point. It shows high level of agreement between predicted and actual weight, demonstrating the goodness of fit of the model.

With analyses restricted to births occurring between 37-44 weeks there were between 1 and 18 measures of weight per woman, with average 11.2 (median 10, sd 3.7, IQR 8, 11). In the first period (0-18 weeks) there were between 0 and 7 measures per woman, with an average of 2.0 (median 2, sd 1.01, IQR 1, 3). In the second period (18-28 weeks) there were between 0 and 5 measures per woman, with an average of 2.2 (median 2, sd 1.0, IOR 2, 3). In the third period (29+ weeks) there were between 0 and 8 measures per woman, with an average of 4.9 (median 5, sd 1.6, IQR 4,6). All mother-offspring pairs are included in the analyses provided the mother has at least one measure of gestational weight. This approach to modelling repeat measurements provides estimated coefficients in each gestational age period even if the woman has no measurements in that particular period. This is because the overall model uses all data and will use the woman's values in other periods to give a predicted coefficient for the period where she has no data based on the overall model using data from all women. If women with few weight measurements differed from those with more measurements (in particular those who had measurements in all periods) in such a way that associations with outcomes differed between the two groups then our results would be biased. In order to explore this possibility we conducted sensitivity analyses in which predicted GWG derived from multilevel models were repeated with only those women who had at least 2, 4 and 3 measures in each time period respectively (i.e. total of at least 9 per woman across pregnancy).

Web Figure 1 shows the pattern predicted by the multilevel fractional polynomial model. This shows that the final spline model used in the analyses fits closed to the fractional polynomial fitted to the data in the multilevel model. Web-figure 2 shows the difference between predicted and observed weight plotted against the average of predicted and observed weight .The difference between predicted and actual weight gets very slightly smaller as weight increases – an average difference of 0.004kg for women of weight 70kg, with an average difference of -0.31kg for women of weight 168kg (the maximum weight in our dataset, indicating that for these women predicted weight is on average 0.31kg lower than measured weight) and 0.12kg for women of weight 36kg (the minimum weight in our dataset, indicating that for these women predicted weight is on average 0.12kg higher than measured weight).

## Web Table 1: Fit of the model (predicted weight) to actual weight at each time Period, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK.

Gestational	Number of	Weight (mean	Predicted	Difference	90% limits
age	measurements	(SD)) kg	weight	(actual-	of agreement
(weeks)			(mean(SD))	predicted)	$(kg)^{b}$
			kg	(median) kg	
<=4	23	65.89 (12.95)	65.59 (12.94)	0.10	-0.55, 1.25
5-6	178	64.55 (13.54)	64.30 (13.53)	0.29	-0.88, 1.53
7-8	1,206	64.53 (12.30)	64.25 (12.27)	0.29	-0.73, 1.28
9-10	3,154	64.36 (12.20)	64.27 (12.15)	0.11	-0.91, 0.99
11-12	4,810	64.37 (12.16)	64.41 (12.09)	-0.01	-1.11, 0.91
13-14	5,457	64.69 (11.84)	64.84 (11.78)	-0.12	-1.30, 0.94
15-16	4,646	65.39 (12.15)	65.53 (12.11)	-0.15	-1.32, 1.06
17-18	6,587	66.02 (11.87)	66.02 (11.82)	-0.01	-1.11, 1.14
19-20	4,722	67.26 (12.18)	67.19 (12.14)	0.06	-0.99, 1.19
21-22	5,790	68.03 (11.94)	67.98 (11.90)	0.06	-1.20, 1.26
23-24	5,061	69.35 (12.24)	69.28 (12.18)	0.09	-1.30, 1.37
25-26	5,770	70.07 (11.96)	69.96 (11.91)	0.11	-1.18, 1.42
27-28	6,035	71.46 (11.98)	71.46 (11.91)	-0.002	-1.14, 1.15
29-30	8,307	72.26 (12.04)	72.30 (11.97)	-0.03	-1.14, 1.08
31-32	8,907	73.17 (12.16)	73.24 (12.13)	-0.08	-1.30, 1.17
33-34	9,769	74.00 (12.05)	74.08 (12.03)	-0.08	-1.39, 1.23
35-36	10,286	74.99 (12.31)	75.00 (12.30)	-0.004	-1.26, 1.25
37-38	10,927	75.95 (12.29)	75.90 (12.24)	0.05	-1.11, 1.20
39-40	9,215	76.97 (12.35)	76.96 (12.30)	0.003	-1.11, 1.17
41-42	3,991	78.28 (12.46)	78.33 (12.35)	-0.9	-1.20, 1.14
>42	147	80.69 (15.04)	80.82 (14.76)	-0.20	-1.51, 1.59

Abbreviations: SD: Standard Deviation

a Total number of measurements in each strata of gestational age (i.e. number of women\*number of measurements that woman had)

b These indicate the range within which 90% of the differences lie in this sample

### Web Table 2. Associations of Institute Of Medicine Categories of Maternal Gestational Weight Gain With Offspring Cognition, adjustment for birthweight and SEA (IQ and late school results) in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK.

Outcome		Less than rec	ommend	ed GWG	As rec.	More that	n recomm	nended
					GWG		GWG	
	Model	Mean SD	Odds	95% CI	Ref.	Mean SD	Odds	95% CI
		difference	Ratio			difference	Ratio	
SEA <sup>c</sup>	1 <sup>a</sup>	-0.054		-0.107,	0	-0.006		-0.062,
N=,5832				-0.002				0.050
IQ at 8 <sup>c</sup>	1 <sup>a</sup>	-0.002		-0.062,	0	0.047		-0.018,
N=5,191				0.057				0.112
	2 <sup>b</sup>	-0.002		-0.065,	0	0.060		0.021,
				0.062				0.159
Final-	1 <sup>a</sup>		0.90	0.79,	1		0.98	0.86,
exam				1.01				1.12
results	2 <sup>b</sup>		0.88	0.75,	1		1.00	0.86,
N=7,339				1.02				1.17

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index, CI: Confidence Interval, GWG: Gestational Weight Gain, IOM: Institute of Medicine, IQ: Intelligence Quotient, rec.: Recommended, Ref.: Reference category, SEA: School Entry Assessment, SD: Standard Deviation.

a Model 1 - adjusted for gestational age, maternal age, age at outcome assessment, gender, prepregnancy BMI, parity, maternal smoking, maternal education, mode of delivery and birthweight

b Model 2 - as model 2 plus additional adjustment for standardised School Entry Assessment c Standardised. SEA SD=3.26, IQ SD=16.47

		Prepr	egnancy	weight		0-18	weeks			18-28 v	weeks			28+ v	weeks	
Outcome	Mod	Mean	Odds	95%CI	Mea	n SD	95%	CI	Mean S	SD diff	95%	6CI	Mea	n SD	95%	6CI
	-el	SD diff	Ratio		diff	per			per 400g/wk				diff per			
		per 1			400g	g/wk							400g/wk			
		kg														
SEA <sup>c</sup>	$1^{a}$	-0.004		-0.006, -	0.048		-0.006,	0.124	0.0	56	-0.0	)05,	0.0	)17	-0.044,	
				0.003							0.1	16			0.0	78
IQ at 8 <sup>c</sup>	$1^{a}$	-0.005		-0.008, -	0.0	)38	-0.024,	0.100	0.0	36	-0.0	)33,	0.0	)64	-0.0	006,
N=5,191				0.003							0.105				0.133	
	2 <sup>b</sup>	-0.002		-0.004,	0.0	0.069		0.135	0.037		-0.0	)36,	0.069		-0.0	)07,
				0.000							0.1	11			0.1	45
					BM	I<25	BMI	<u>≥</u> 25	BMI	<25	BM	I≥25	BM	[<25	BMI≥25	
					OR	95%	OR	95%	OR	95%	OR	95%	OR	95%	OR	95%
						CI		CI		CI		CI		CI		CI
Adequate	$1^{a}$		0.98	0.980.99	0.87	0.75,	1.32	1.06,	1.01	0.86,	1.12	0.87,	1.00	0.85,	1.48	1.14,
late school						1.01		1.65		1.18		1.44		1.17		1.92
results	2 <sup>b</sup>		0.99	0.98,0.99	0.82	0.69,	1.34	1.04,	1.06	0.97,	1.20	0.88,	1.00	0.82,	1.42	1.03,
N=7,339						0.99		1.74		1.29		1.63		1.23		1.96

Web Table 3. Multivariable Associations of Prepregnancy Weight and Gestational Weight Gain With Offspring Cognition, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK – adjustment for birthweight and SEA (IQ and late school results)

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index, CI: confidence interval, diff: difference, IQ: Intelligence Quotient, prepreg: prepregnancy, SD: Standard deviation, SEA: School Entry Assessment.

a Model 1 - adjusted for gestational age, maternal age, age at outcome assessment, gender, prepregnancy weight, GWG in previous period, parity, maternal smoking, maternal education, mode of delivery and birthweight

b Model 2 - as model 1 plus additional adjustment for SEA

c Standardised. SEA SD=3.26, IQ SD=16.47

**Included in analyses Excluded from** Total *P*-value excluded \* *n*=8650 analyses n Mean % Mean % n п (SD) (SD) 28.6 Maternal age 26.9 2250 < 0.001 at birth (4.8)(5.1)(years) 78 6758 1307 1979 Did not 66 < 0.001 smoke at all during pregnancy 7410 91 1706 Maternal 86 1557 < 0.001 education no higher education 18 1523 280 1085 < 0.001 Manual 26 social class No previous 47 4044 40 723 1827 < 0.001 pregnancies 11 909 9 0.03 Caesarean 164 1855 section Pre 60.8 60.8 2250 0.83 (12.3)pregnancy (13.2)weight (kg) Weight gain 0.31 0.30 2250 < 0.001 0-18 weeks (0.18)(0.17)Weight gain 0.54 0.53 2250 0.007 18-28 weeks (0.17)(0.18)Weight gain 0.47 0.46 2250 0.10 after 28 (0.20)(0.20)weeks Males 51 4403 52 1161 2250 0.56 39.8 39.8 Gestational 2250 0.51 (1.3)age (weeks) (1.3)Birth weight 3486.2 3422.3 1415 < 0.001 (496.9)(471.3)(g) IOM 38 3323 34 764 2250 < 0.001 adequate 12.0 13.1 1551 < 0.001 SEA (3.1)(3.3)

Web Table 4. Characteristics of participants included and excluded from analyses, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol UK.

IQ age 8,	105.1			101.4			816	< 0.001
(N=5191)	(16.4)			(16.6)				
Achieved 5		44	3212		59	1116	1883	< 0.001
GCSEs A*-								
C (N=7339)								

Abbreviations: GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education, IOM: Institute of Medicine, IQ: Intelligence Quotients, SD: Standard Deviation, SEA: School Entry Assessment

\* chi squared or regression analysis as appropriate

Web Table 5. Associations of Institute Of Medicine categories of maternal Gestational Weight Gain with high and low GCSE achievement, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK. *n*=7,339

Outcome	Model	Less than re	commended	As	More than re	ecommended
				recommended		
		Odds Ratio	95%CI	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	95%CI
9 A*/A	1 <sup>a</sup>	0.97	0.78, 1.22	1	0.91	0.71, 1.17
grades						
	2 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	0.80, 1.29	1	1.03	0.79, 1.34
	3°	1.11	0.87, 1.41	1	0.97	0.74, 1.26
	4 <sup>d</sup>	0.98	0.71, 1.34	1	1.07	0.76, 1.51
0 A*-C grades						
	1 <sup>a</sup>	1.28	1.10, 1.51	1	1.20	1.02, 1.42
	2 <sup>b</sup>	1.18	1.00, 1.40	1	1.23	1.04, 1.47
	3°	1.13	0.95, 1.34	1	1.29	1.08, 1.54
	4 <sup>d</sup>	1.12	0.91, 1.37	1	1.17	0.95, 1.44

Abbreviations: CI: Confidence Interval, GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education.

a Model 1 - adjusted for gestational age, age at exposure measurement and gender

b Model 2 - as model 1 plus additional adjustment for maternal age, parity, maternal smoking, maternal education and mode of delivery

c Model 3 as model 2 plus additional adjustment for birth weight

d Model 4 as model 2 plus additional adjustment for standardised School Entry Assessment Score

# Web Table 6. Associations of Institute Of Medicine categories of maternal Gestational Weight Gain with offspring cognition in mother-offspring pairs with complete data, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK. *n*=3,340

Outco	Mo	Less that	n recomn	nended	As	More that	n recom	mended		
me	del				recommen					
					ded		More than recommendation   Iean SD (fference) Odds (Ration)   -0.049 -0.039   -0.052 -0.039   0.039 -0.039   0.030 -0.030   0.072 0.97			
		Mean SD	Odds	95%CI	Reference	Mean SD	Odds	95%CI		
		difference	Ratio			difference	Ratio			
SEA <sup>e</sup>	1 <sup>a</sup>	-0.055		-0.124,	0	-0.049		-0.121,		
				0.013				0.023		
	2 <sup>b</sup>	-0.044		-0.011,	0	-0.039		-0.109,		
				0.022				0.030		
	3 <sup>c</sup>	-0.028		-0.095,	0	-0.052		-0.122,		
				0.039				0.176		
IQ at	1 <sup>a</sup>	-0.028		-0.106,	0	0.039		(0.043,		
8 <sup>e</sup>				0.049				0.121		
	2 <sup>b</sup>	-0.018		-0.091,	0	0.052		-0.025,		
				0.055				0.013		
	3 <sup>c</sup>	0.009		-0.065,	0	0.030		-0.048,		
				0.083				0.107		
	4 <sup>d</sup>	0.000		-0.067,	0	0.072		0.002,		
				0.066				0.142		
Final-	1 <sup>a</sup>		0.92	0.77,	1		0.97	0.82,		
exam				1.08				1.16		
results										
	2 <sup>b</sup>		0.94	0.79,	1		0.98	0.81,		
				1.13				1.17		
	3 <sup>c</sup>		0.97	0.81,	1		0.95	0.79,		
				1.16				1.15		
	4 <sup>d</sup>		0.92	0.77,	1		0.97	0.82,		
				1.08				1.16		

Abbreviations: CI: Confidence Interval, GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education, IQ: Intelligence Quotient, SEA: School Entry Assessment, SD: Standard Deviation. a Models 1 - adjusted for gestational age, age at exposure measurement and gender b Model 2 - as model 1 plus additional adjustment for maternal age, parity, maternal smoking, maternal education and mode of delivery

c Model 3 as model 2 plus additional adjustment for birth weight

d Model 4 as model 2 plus additional adjustment for standardised School Entry Assessment Score e Standardised. SEA SD=3.26, IQ SD=16.47

Outcome	Prepre	gnancy v	veight	0-18	weeks	18-28	weeks	29+	29+ weeks			
	Mean	Odds	95%CI	Mean	95%CI	Mean	95%CI	Mean	95%CI			
	differenc	Ratio		difference per		difference per		difference per				
	e per 1			400 g/week		400 g/week		400 g/week				
	kg											
SEA <sup>f</sup>	-0.005		-0.007,	0.074	0.006, 0.143	0.118	0.047, 0.188	0.210	0.172, 0.248			
			-0.003									
Model 1												
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>				0.036	-0.036, 0.108	0.105	0.025, 0.185	0.230	0.188, 0.272			
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	-0.003		-0.005	0.031	-0.038 0.101	0.078	0.000.0.155	0.215	0 174 0 256			
Widder 5	0.005		-0.001	0.051	0.050, 0.101	0.070	0.000, 0.155	0.215	0.174, 0.230			
			0.001									
Model 4 <sup>d</sup>	-0.004		-0.006,	0.015	-0.055, 0.086	0.063	-0.016, 0.142	0.222	0.181, 0.262			
			-0.001									
IQ <sup>f</sup>	-0.007		-0.009,	0.145	0.069, 0.221	0.171	0.094, 0.249	0.046	0.003, 0.089			
			-0.004									
Model 1												
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>				0.099	0.019, 0.178	0.129	0.041, 0.417	0.022	-0.026, 0.069			
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	-0.004		-0.007	0.091	0.016. 0.166	0.096	0.012, 0.180	0.002	(0.043, 0.047			
			-0.001					0.00-	(2.0.12, 0.01)			

Web Table 7. Multivariable associations of prepregnancy weight and GWG with offspring cognition in mother-offspring pairs with complete data, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK. *n*=3,340

Model 4 <sup>d</sup>	-0.005		-0.008, -0.003	0.0	0.054		0.130	0.0	58	-0.027, 0.144		0.1	0.122		-0.033, 0.057	
Model 5 <sup>e</sup>	-0.004		-0.006, -0.001	0.0	0.095		0.026, 0.163		0.082		0.158	-0.067		-0.138, -0.		
Final-exam results				BMI	<25	BMI	<u>≥</u> 25	BMI	<25	BMI	<u>≥</u> 25	BMI	<25	BMI≥	25	
results		0.99	0.98,	0.82	0.66,	1.55	1.17,	0.98	0.80,	1.76	1.29,	1.78	0.98,	1.61	1.23,	
Model 1 <sup>a</sup>			0.99		1.01		2.06		1.21		2.39		1.41		2.10	
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>				0.82	0.66,	1.50	1.12,	1.08	0.86,	1.53	1.07,	1.27	1.00,	1.46	1.01,	
					1.01		2.02		1.36		2.18		1.62		2.11	
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>		0.99	0.98,	0.86	0.69,	1.43	1.05,	1.01	0.79,	1.45	0.99,	1.06	0.83,	1.45	0.98,	
			1.00		1.08		1.96		1.28		2.12		1.37		2.13	
Model 4 <sup>d</sup>		0.99	0.98,	0.83	0.66,	1.36	0.99,	0.97	0.76,	1.39	0.95,	1.06	0.82,	1.43	0.97,	
			1.00		1.05		1.87		1.25		2.05		1.36		2.11	
Model 5 <sup>e</sup>		0.99	0.98,	0.87	0.69,	1.42	1.02,	1.00	0.78,	1.44	0.96,	1.05	0.81,	1.41	0.93,	
			1.00		1.10		1.99		1.30		2.16		1.37		2.13	

Abbreviations:: Body Mass Index, CI: confidence interval, GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education, GWG: Gestational weight gain, IQ: Intelligence Quotient, SEA: School Entry Assessment.

a Model 1 - adjusted for gestational age, age at exposure measure and gender

b Model 2 - as model 1 plus additional adjustment for prepregnancy weight and GWG in previous period

c Model 3 - as model 2 plus additional adjustment for maternal age, parity, maternal smoking, maternal education and mode of delivery

d Model 4 - as model 3 with additional adjustment for birth weight

e Model 5 – as model 3 with additional adjustment for SEA

f Standardised. SEA SD=3.26, IQ SD=16.47

Web Table 8. Multivariable associations of Institute Of Medicine categories of maternal Gestational Weight Gain with GCSE results from poisson regression models, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK.

Final-	Model	Less	than	As	More	than
exam		recomr	nended	recommended	recomr	nended
results		Risk Ratio	95%CI		<b>Risk Ratio</b>	95%CI
N=7,339						
	1 <sup>a</sup>	0.91	0.87, 1.00	1	0.95	0.89, 1.01
	2 <sup>b</sup>	0.94	0.89, 1.00	1	0.96	0.91, 1.01
	3 °	0.96	0.91, 1.01	1	0.97	0.91, 1.02
	4 <sup>d</sup>	0.95	0.90, 1.01	1	0.95	0.90, 1.01

Abbreviations: CI: Confidence Interval, GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education. a Models 1 - adjusted for gestational age, maternal age, age at outcome assessment and gender

b Model 2 - as model 1 plus additional adjustment for parity, maternal smoking, maternal education and mode of delivery

c Model 3 - as model 2 plus additional adjustment for birthweight

d Model 4 - as model 2 plus additional adjustment for standardised School Entry Assessment

5A*-C	Prepregnar	ncy weight		0-18 v	weeks			18-28	weeks		28+ weeks			
GCSE			BMI	<25	BMI	≥25	BMI	<25	BMI	≥25	BMI	<25	BMI	≥25
	Risk Ratio	95% CI	Risk	95%	Risk	95%	Risk	95%	Risk	95%	Risk	95%	Risk	95%
			Ratio	CI	Ratio	CI	Ratio	CI	Ratio	CI	Ratio	CI	Ratio	CI
Model 1 <sup>a</sup>	0.99	0.99, 0.99	0.96	0.91,	1.26	1.14,	1.01	0.95,	1.28	1.16,	1.05	1.00,	1.27	1.16,
				1.01		1.39		1.06		1.41		1.10		1.39
b														
Model 2 <sup>°</sup>			0.95	0.90,	1.18	1.06,	1.04	0.98,	1.15	1.01,	1.07	1.01,	1.28	1.13,
				1.01		1.31		1.10		1.30		1.14		1.45
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	0.99	0.99, 1.00	0.96	0.91,	1.17	1.06,	1.01	0.95,	1.07	0.95,	1.00	0.94,	1.21	1.07,
				1.02		1.29		1.08		1.21		1.06		1.37
Model 4 <sup>d</sup>	0.99	0.99, 0.99	0.96	0.90,	1.15	1.03,	1.01	0.95,	1.06	0.95,	1.00	0.94,	1.21	1.07,
				1.01		1.27		1.07		1.20		1.06		1.36
Model 5 <sup>e</sup>	0.99	0.99, 1.00	0.94	0.89,	DNC	DNC	1.02	0.95,	DNC	DNC	1.00	0.93,	DNC	DNC
				1.01				1.09				1.07		

Web Table 9. Multivariable associations of prepregnancy weight and GWG with offspring GCSE results from poisson regression models, in Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents And Children, Bristol, UK.

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index, CI: confidence interval, DNC: did not converge, GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education, GWG: Gestational weight gain, IQ: Intelligence Quotient, SEA: School Entry Assessment.

a Model 1 - adjusted for gestational age, age at exposure measure and gender

b Model 2 - as model 1 plus additional adjustment for prepregnancy weight and GWG in previous period

c Model 3 - as model 2 plus additional adjustment for maternal age, parity, maternal smoking, maternal education and mode of delivery

d Model 4 - as model 3 with additional adjustment for birth weight

e Model 5 – as model 3 with additional adjustment for SEA



Web Figure 1: Graph showing the pattern predicted by the fractional polynomial for the multilevel model and the spline that was fitted to the data

Web Figure 2: Bland-Altman plot showing the difference between predicted and observed weight plotted against the average of predicted and observed weight, with 95% limits of agreement

