Interaction of the Operator of the Tryptophan Operon with Repressor

(repressor-operator interactions/trp repressor/in vitro transcription/Escherichia coli)

JOHN K. ROSE AND CHARLES YANOFSKY

Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Contributed by Charles Yanofsky, April 23, 1974

ABSTRACT Transcription studies in vitro on repression of the tryptophan operon of Escherichia coli show that partially purified trp repressor binds specifically to DNA containing the trp operator with a repressor-operator dissociation constant of about 0.2 nM in 0.12 M salt at 370, a value consistent with the extent of trp operon regulation in vivo. The half-life of the trp repressor-trp operator complex is less than 2 min in vitro in $\overline{0.12}$ M salt.

Partially purified trp aporepressor (1) represses transcription in vitro of the tryptophan (trp) operon of Escherichia coli in the presence of L-tryptophan or appropriate tryptophan analogues (2-4). Neither tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (3, 5) nor tryptophanyl-tRNA (5-7) appears to participate in repression. Repression in vitro involves interaction between the trp repressor and the trp operator, since an operator constitutive mutation eliminates repression (2). On the basis of these findings it may be tentatively concluded that the protein product of $trpR$, the aporepressor, when complexed with the corepressor, L-tryptophan, acts at the trp operator to present transcription of the operon.

Attempts to assay trp repressor-trp operator interaction by conventional DNA-protein binding assays (8) have been unsuccessful. Therefore, we have used the in vitro transcription system to study this interaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteriophage Isolation. The transducing phages ϕ 80 trp O^+ $\Delta trpED102$ trpCB1 and $\lambda trpCB1$ have been described (2). Phage ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 carries trpE and the operator proximal portion of $trpD$ and was isolated after induction of an E. coli ϕ 80h⁻ lysogen carrying the deletion tonB trpAD32. This deletion removes the operator distal genes $trpA$, $trpB$, and $trpC$, and about 80% of trpD. Phage ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 carries the trp operator and promoter, as shown by enzyme formation analyses in infection experiments similar to those described by Franklin (9). The gene order in the operon is trp operator (0) - $E-D-C-B-A$.

Reaction Conditions In Vitro and Repressor Preparation. In vitro reactions (2) were for 15 min at 37°. The final volume of each reaction mixture was 30 μ l, containing 0.3 mM Ltryptophan, 12 mM Tris \cdot HCl (pH 7.9), 1.3 mM MgCl₂, 97 mM KCl, 0.13 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.09 mM ATP, CTP, and GTP, 0.017 mM [³H]UTP (10 Ci/mmole), 10 μ g/ml (0.33 nM) to 150 μ g/ml (5 nM) of DNA and 10 μ g/ ml to 153 μ g/ml of RNA polymerase holoenzyme (EC 2.7.7.6; nucleosidetriphosphate: RNA nucleotidyltransferase). Except

where stated otherwise, the ratio of polymerase to DNA was maintained at 1:1 (w/w) . Each reaction mixture also contained 6 μ l of buffer IV (1) with the indicated amounts of partially purified repressor. Buffer IV added final concentrations of ² mM Tris-OAc (pH 8.0), ¹² mM KOAc, 2.5 mM $Mg(OAc)_2$, 0.14 mM dithiothreitol, and 1% glycerol. RNA synthesis, RNA purification, and DNA template preparation were as described (2). The initial steps of aporepressor purification were as described (1), and then the aporepressor was taken through an additional DEAE-cellulose column step which gave 10-fold further purification (5) . The final preparation of aporepressor was estimated to be 550-fold purified over that in crude extracts. This preparation contains about ¹ nM aporepressor at a protein concentration of 5.2 μ g/ml (Fig. 2). Assuming a molecular weight of 58,000 (1, 5), the active aporepressor would constitute about 1.0% of the protein in the preparation.

RESULTS

The template DNA used in most of the transcription experiments in vitro described here was isolated from a specialized transducing phage, ϕ 80 trpO⁺ Δ trpED102 trpCB1, which carries the trp operator, trpC, trpB, and a portion of trpA, and has a particular deletion of $trpE$ and D. This deletion results in relatively high rates of $trpCB$ mRNA synthesis in vivo and in vitro $(10, 2)$. trpCB mRNA is detected by hybridization of synthesized labeled mRNA to the separated strands of the DNA of $\lambda trpCB1$. In agreement with previous results (2), we find that approximately 8% of the RNA transcribed from the ϕ 80 trpO⁺ \triangle trpED102 trpCB1 template by purified RNA polymerase hybridizes to the correct or c strand of $\lambda trpCB1$ DNA, and less than 1% hybridizes to the incorrect or i strand of the latter DNA. A blank value of 0.3-0.4% hybridization to λ DNA has been subtracted from these percentages. In all experiments described below, tryptophan was present in excess at ^a concentration of 0.3 mM, 30-fold above that required for half-maximal repression (2).

Determination of the dissociation constant

Fig. ¹ shows the percent repression of correct strand trpCB mRNA synthesis at various repressor concentrations. Each curve presents results obtained at ^a different template DNA concentration with the ratio of polymerase to DNA held constant. Note that as the DNA concentration is increased (at repressor preparation levels of less than 8 μ g/ml), there is a decrease in percent repression of trp operon transcription. Note also that at low DNA concentrations ^a change in DNA concentration has only a relatively small effect on repression, while at higher DNA concentrations $(>1 \text{ nM})$ the effect is large. For example, a repressor concentration that is just

Abbreviations: trp , tryptophan gene or operon; c strand and i strand, correct and incorrect strand, respectively, of $\lambda trpCB1$ DNA.

sufficient to give 50% repression with 0.33 nM DNA shows only a 1.3-fold reduction in percent repression (to 38%) when the DNA concentration is increased 3-fold to ¹ nM. A much larger (3.8-fold) reduction in repression (to 10%) is seen when the DNA concentration is increased another 5-fold to ⁵ nM. These results suggest that at the low DNA concentrations tested there are significant levels of free repressor and free operator. Thus, if we assume that percent repression indicates the percent of operators present that are bound to repressor, 10% repression of ⁵ nM DNA would be equivalent to ^a repressor concentration of at least 0.5 nM. This same repressor concentration, however, gives only 50% repression of 0.33 nM DNA, although the repressor concentration must be higher than the DNA concentration. Therefore, in this case, we conclude that more than half of the repressor is not bound to trp operators. Similar reasoning leads to the conclusion that the majority of the trp repressor molecules present are bound to trp operators at the higher DNA concentrations used in these experiments. The above observations suggest an equilibrium between trp repressor, trp operator, and the trp repressor- trp operator complex, with the value for the dissociation constant of the complex near the concentrations of repressor and operator.

We shall show below that these data are consistent with ^a simple equilibrium situation described as follows:*

$$
R + 0 \rightleftarrows R0 \tag{1}
$$

The dissociation constant at equilibrium for this reaction is

$$
K = \frac{[\text{R} - \text{RO}][\text{O} - \text{RO}]}{[\text{RO}]}
$$
 [2]

where $R =$ total repressor, $Q =$ total operator, $[R - RQ] =$ free repressor, $[0 - RO] =$ free operator, and $[RO] =$ repressor-operator complex. Equation [2] can be expressed as

$$
K = \frac{1 - X}{X} [0] - (1 - X) [R]
$$
 [3]

where $[0] =$ the operator concentration that saturates any given fraction X of repressor $[X = (RO/R)]$. For example, when the operator concentration gives one-half saturation of R, i.e., when $RO = \frac{1}{2}R$ and $X = \frac{1}{2}$, equation [3] becomes

$$
K = [0]_{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}[R]
$$
 [4]

To determine if the trp repressor- trp operator interaction conforms to the equilibrium described above we must know the following values: (i) the total operator concentration, (ii) the concentration of repressor-operator complex, and (iii) the total repressor concentration. The operator concentration is known accurately from the A_{260} of the template DNA, and from its molecular weight (3×10^7) , assuming one repressor binding site (trp operator) per DNA molecule. The percent repression measured in transcription experiments in vitro is

$$
T + AR \stackrel{1}{\rightleftharpoons} T \cdot AR + O \stackrel{2}{\rightleftharpoons} T \cdot AR \cdot O \stackrel{3}{\rightleftharpoons} T + AR \cdot O \stackrel{4}{\rightleftharpoons} AR + O
$$

We assume here that in the presence of excess tryptophan, only the dissociation constant for the second reaction is being measured.

FIG. 1. Repression of trp operon transcription as a function of DNA and repressor concentrations. Reaction conditions in vitro and partially purified repressor were as described in Materials and Methods. The indicated concentrations of partially purified repressor and 0.3 mM L-tryptophan were preincubated with template DNA for 2 min at 0° in the standard reaction mixture. RNA polymerase was added and incubation was continued for an additional 2 min at 0° . Reactions were initiated by addition of nucleoside triphosphates and were run for 15 min at 37°. Template (ϕ 80 trp O^+ Δ trpED102 trpCB1 DNA) concentrations were: Δ , 0.33 nM; \blacktriangle , 0.5 nM; \Box , 1 nM; \blacksquare , 2 nM; \bigcirc , 2.5 nM; \times , ⁵ nM. The RNA polymerase:DNA ratio was constant at each DNA concentration. Total ³H cpm in RNA ranged from 18,651 (0.33 nM DNA) to 285,033 (5 nM DNA). At each DNA concentration, $trp CB c$ strand mRNA (measured by hybridization to λ trpCB1 c strand DNA) was 6-8% of the total RNA synthesized. The repressor fraction used has no activity without tryptophan and does not affect either phage transcription or i strand trp transcription. Longer preincubations of repressor with DNA (370) at the lowest repressor and DNA concentrations do not enhance repression, indicating that repressor-operator association reaches equilibrium within $2 \text{ min at } 0^{\circ}$.

assumed to indicate the percent of total operators bound to repressor molecules. The total repressor concentration is determined from the plateau values in experiments in which the operator concentration is increased until the concentration of repressed operators reaches a plateau. If we make the assumptions stated, the results in Fig. ¹ can be expressed in the form of repressor saturation curves (Fig. 2). Here the concentration of repressed operators (i.e., the percent repression multiplied by the DNA concentration) is plotted against the DNA concentration. The two sets of data are from experiments performed with 2.6 and 5.2 μ g/ml of the partially purified repressor preparation. The plateau values reached show maximal repression of 0.5 and ¹ nM operator at the respective repressor concentrations. That the plateau values are proportional to the amount of repressor added supports the assumption that the plateau values measure the total repressor concentration. Using the repressor concentrations determined in this manner, 0.5 nM and 1 nM , we have constructed theoretical repressor saturation curves using Eq. 3 to determine if the data are consistent with the equilibrium described by Eqs. 1-4. Because theoretical curves (Fig. 2) do follow the data closely, we conclude that an equilibrium is being observed. To determine K , we constructed theoretical curves, setting K at various values. The solid lines (Fig. 2) are the theoretical

^{*} Actually, however, since the aporepressor (AR) presumably must complex with the corepressor, tryptophan (T), to bind to and remain attached to the operator (0), the following equilibria obtain:

TABLE 1. Effect of potymerase concentration on trp repression

RNA polymerase $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Repressor preparation μ g/ml	$\%$ [*H]RNA hybridized to trpCB		$\%$ Re- pression of $trpCB$
		c strand	<i>i</i> strand	c strand
20	0	7.2	0.56	0
	2	5.4	0.54	25
	4	3.0	0.80	58
	8	1.6	0.66	77
40	0	6.7	0.81	0
	2	4.7	0.79	30
	4	2.6	1.04	61
	8	1.5	1.31	77
60	0	7.0	1.21	0
	2	5.2	1.05	27
	4	2.7	0.74	62
	8	2.1	1.09	70

In vitro reactions were done as described in Materials and Methods except that the template (ϕ 80 trpO⁺ Δ trpED102 trpCB1 DNA) concentration was held constant at 30 μ g/ml (1 nM). Total incorporation ranged from $14,000$ cpm $(20 \mu g)$ of RNA polymerase/ml) to 46,000 cpm (60 μ g of RNA polymerase/ml) and was reduced less than ten percent by addition of repressor.

curves constructed with $K = 0.2$ nM and $R = 0.5$ nM or 1 nM. The data are in best agreement with these theoretical curves and fall between the dashed curves calculated for $K =$ 0.1 nM and $K = 0.4$ nM. The data are thus consistent with a trp repressor-trp operator dissociation constant within this range and probably near 0.2 nM in 0.12 M salt at 37°. The upper dotted curve is included for comparison and is calculated for $K = 0.001$ nM, the dissociation constant for lactose (lac) repressor-lac operator (8) at the ionic strength $(0.12 M)$ used in our transcription experiments in vitro.

Following the example of Riggs et al. (8), we have not presented the data as double-reciprocal plots because a direct

FIG. 2. The concentration of repressed trp-operators as a function of DNA concentration. Points are calculated as described in the text from the data of Fig. ¹ and additional experiments. The partially purified repressor protein concentrations were 5.2 μ g/ml (O) and 2.6 μ g/ml (\bullet). All curves shown are theoretical, calculated from Eq. 3 assuming R = 0.5 nM, K = 0.2 nM (lower solid line); R = 1 nM, K = 0.2 nM (upper solid line); $R = 1$ nM, $K = 0.4$ nM (dashed and dotted line); $R = 1$ nM, $K = 0.1$ nM (dashed line); $R = 1$ nM, $K = 0.001$ nM (dotted line),

FIG. 3. (left). Effects of time of addition of L-tryptophan or indolyl-3-propionic acid on repression. Reaction conditions were as described in Materials and Methods except for the L-tryptophan or indolyl-3-propionic acid (IP) addition. Partially purified repressor (8 μ g of protein/ml) was present in all reactions. The percent repression of trp CB ^c strand transcription is plotted against time of addition of 0.1 mM L-tryptophan, 0; or against time of addition of ¹ mM indolyl-3-propionic acid to ^a reaction mixture containing 0.1 mM L-tryptophan, \bullet . Zero time is the time the reaction was started by addition of nucleoside triphosphate. Reactions were run for 15 min at 37°.

FIG. 4. (right). Competition for repressor by trp operators. Standard reactions (Materials and Methods) contained ¹ nM ϕ 80 trpO⁺ Δ trpED102 trpCB1 DNA, 0.3 mM L-tryptophan, and either 4 nM ϕ 80 DNA or 4 nM ϕ 80 trpO + trpE7 DNA were added at the times indicated below. The indicated concentration of partially purified repressor was incubated with the $\phi 80$ trpO⁺ \triangle trpED102 trpCB1 DNA (\pm additional DNA) for 2 min at 37° in ^a reaction mixture containing all components except RNA polymerase and nucleoside triphosphates. The reaction mixtures were then cooled to 0° , and ϕ 80 DNA or ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 DNA were added to reactions that had not been preincubated with either DNA. RNA polymerase was added, and the mixture was incubated for 2 min at 0°. Nucleoside triphosphates were added and reactions were run for 15 min at 37°. Percent repression of trp CB ^c strand transcription is plotted. Repressor preincubated with $\phi80\,trpO^{+}\Delta trpED102\,trpCB1$ DNA and $\phi80$ DNA (O) or with $\phi80$ trpO⁺ trpE7 DNA (\triangle). Repressor preincubated with ϕ 80 trpO⁺ $\Delta trpED102$ trpCB1 DNA; ϕ 80 DNA (0) or ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 DNA (A) added after preincubation. The addition of the 4-fold excess of ϕ 80 DNA or ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 DNA reduced trp CB mRNA synthesis to about 1.2% of the total RNA synthesized. To reduce the error due to the hybridization blank (0.4% to λ) DNA), all RNA samples were prehybridized to 5 μ g of membrane-bound ϕ 80 DNA for 16 hr at 65°. The supernatant solutions were then hybridized to the separated strands of $\lambda trpCB1$ DNA and to λ DNA as described (2). Results are expressed as percent of prehybridization to ϕ 80 DNA (about 3 \times 10⁵ cpm), before calculation of percent repression. Hybridization to λ DNA was about 0.05%

comparison of the data with several theoretical saturation curves calculated for the specific repressor concentration permits an accurate determination of K as well as the range of error. Note that K is not equivalent to the concentration of operator that saturates 50% of the repressor because the total repressor concentration is large compared to the concentration of operator (see Eq. 3).

Since we show below that the trp repressor-trp operator complex has a short half-life $(2 min)$ and that RNA polymerase molecules initiate transcription over the initial 4 min of the in vitro reaction, we performed the following experiments to examine the possibility that polymerase molecules might prevent rebinding of dissociated repressor and thus

interfere with the estimation of K . The percent repression at several repressor levels was independent of polymerase concentration within the range tested, $20-60 \mu g$ of polymerase /ml, at 1 nM DNA (Table 1). Total transcription and trp operon transcription increased in proportion to the polymerase concentration, yet a given level of repressor always inhibited the same fraction of trp operon transcription, indicating that polymerase does not interfere with repressoroperator binding under our conditions. Recent studies (Catherine Squires and Frank Lee, unpublished) have confirmed this observation and have shown, in addition, that preincubation of RNA polymerase with template DNA in the absence of nucleoside triphosphates at 37° reduces repression by repressor added subsequently. However, RNA polymerase does not interfere with repression in the experiments reported here, presumably because repressor is bound to operator before polymerase is added and because polymerase does not remain near the trp operator sufficiently long enough to block reassociation of any repressor that dissociates during the initial stages of the in vitro reaction.

The data presented here are consistent with ^a dissociation constant considerably larger than the value determined for other repressor-operator complexes (see Discussion). A larger dissociation constant could result from slower association and/or faster dissociation of the repressor-operator complex. Association of repressor and operator occurs so rapidly as to be immeasurable at the concentrations of repressor and operator used in the *in vitro* transcription assay (Fig. 1). However, experiments described below do suggest a rate of repressoroperator dissociation faster than that observed for lacrepressoroperator complexes. Since interpretation of these experiments requires knowledge of the time during which repressor acts in the in vitro system, we performed the following experiments to examine this parameter.

Fig. 3 shows that repression decreases progressively as tryptophan is added at later times during the first 4 min of the standard 15-min transcription reaction period. Conversely, repression increases progressively when indolyl-3-propionic acid (a tryptophan analog that reverses tryptophan-mediated repression, ref. 2), is added at later times to a reaction mixture containing repressor and tryptophan. Thus, the majority of the polymerase molecules in the reaction mixture are no longer subject to repressor action four minutes after addition of triphosphates-probably because they are bound to the template and engaged in transcription.

Specific binding and rapid repressor exchange

Fig. 4 illustrates the results of experiments in which the repressor concentration was varied in transcription reaction mixtures containing template DNA from phages ϕ 80 trpO⁺ Δ trpED102 trpCB1 and ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7, both of which carry the trp operator. Synthesis of mRNA hybridizable to $\lambda trpCB$ c strand DNA was measured. Since ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 DNA does not contain any region of the tp operon homologous to λtrp -CB, only trp mRNA synthesized on the ϕ 80 trpO⁺ Δ trpED102 trpCBl template is detected. The results show that the presence of a 4-fold excess of competing ϕ 80 trpO⁺ trpE7 DNA causes significant derepression of $tpCB$ mRNA synthesis whereas, in the control experiment in which a 4-fold excess of ⁴⁸⁰ DNA was added, no derepression was observed. More importantly, competition for repressor is also observed (Fig. 4) when repressor is preincubated with ϕ 80 trpO⁺ Δ trpED102 trpCBl DNA before the addition of the competing operator

DNA. This result suggests that the repressor exchanges rapidly among trp operators.

Unfortunately, two limitations of the in vitro transcription assay procedure preclude an accurate determination of the repressor-operator half-life. First, after addition of the com. peting trp operator DNA to DNA that was preincubated with repressor, ^a ² min period is used for the addition of RNA polymerase and nucleoside triphosphates before the reaction is started. Repressor might exchange among operators during this period even though the temperature is held at 0° . [The half-life of the lac repressor-operator complex is constant between 0° and 30° (11).] Second, the data in Fig. 3 show that repressor must act throughout the first 4 min of the reaction to prevent all trp operon transcription. For example, addition of indolyl propionic acid as late as 1-2 min after initiation of incubation (indolyl propionic acid presumably replaces tryptophan on the repressor and inactivates it immediately) relieves repression significantly, presumably because polymerases are available in the system for initiating trp transcription. Thus, in the repressor exchange experiments there is an initial period of 1-2 min at the start of the reaction during which repressor exchange could occur and result in derepression of the template to which repressor was prebound. Beyond this initial period, repressor exchange would not result in derepression because polymerases are no longer available to initiate trp transcription. Therefore, the derepression observed must result from repressor exchange before 1-2 min.

The results of the repressor exchange experiments imply that repressor does equilibrate among trp operator sites, but considering the above two linitations on determining the time required for this equilibration, we can conclude only that complete equilibration of trp repressor among trp operators occurs in less than 3-4 min. The apparently complete equilibration (Fig. 4) in less than 4 min implies a half-life of the trp repressor-trp operator complex of less than 2 min.

DISCUSSION

In estimating the trp repressor-trp operator dissociation constant we assume that foreign proteins in the impure repressor preparation do not interfere with binding of the repressor to the operator. This appears to be true for lac repressor-lac operator interaction, since identical values for the dissociation constant are obtained in experiments with either pure repressor or crude cell extracts (12) . Since binding of tp repressor to the trp operator is tight and specific, it seems likely that this is also true of the *trp* repressor and *trp* operator.

We have made the additional assumption that repression results from interaction of repressor with a single trp operator site on the DNA. The normal Michaelean hyperbolas obtained in these experiments suggest a lack of cooperative effects of repressor binding, which might be expected if more than one molecule of repressor were binding the operator. However, a more precise assay for repressor-operator binding at low operator concentrations is required for a thorough examination of sigmoidicity in the repressor saturation curves.

The presence of inducer is known to reduce the half-life of preformed lac repressor-operator complexes (13). Tryptophan presumably acts to increase the affinity of the repressor for the $\it trp$ operator and/or increase the half-life of the $\it trp$ repressoroperator complex. We cannot decide between these alternatives since our competition assay for binding is indirect and depends on measurements of the amount of repressor available for repression in the presence of excess tryptophan.

Zubay et al. (1), using a cell-free coupled system, have calculated a trp repressor concentration of 10 molecules per cell from the estimated molecular weight of the repressor and the amount of S-30 extract required for 50% repression of 0.78 nM trp operator. In making this calculation, it was assumed that the trp repressor concentration that would give 50% repression was half of the DNA concentration. Our results show that the dissociation constant of the repressor-operator complex is relatively large and cannot be ignored in making such a calculation. At 50% repression of 0.78 nM operator ($K = 0.2$) nM), Eq. 4 predicts a repressor concentration of 1.15 nM, 3 fold higher than that estimated by Zubay et $al.$ (1). In agreement with our results, their data suggest a relatively large dissociation constant, since a repressor concentration giving an intermediate level of repression is shown to repress increasing concentrations of operator as the DNA concentration is increased from 0.78 nM to 3.12 nM (Fig. ³ of ref. 1). Thus we feel that their estimate should be revised to about 30 molecules of repressor per cell.

Using this estimate and the information that the derepression ratio for the trp operon (rate of enzyme synthesis in fully derepressed cultures divided by the rate in fully repressed cells) is about 75 (10), we can calculate a value for the repressor-operator dissociation constant. This calculation is based on the assumption that the repressed level of enzyme synthesis in vivo results only from a low level of repressoroperator dissociation. Thus, in order to obtain a basal level (fully repressed) of trp operon expression equal to $1/\tau_5$ th of the fully derepressed value, we assume that one in 75 operators in a repressed culture is not associated with repressor at any given time ($[RO] = 75 [O - RO]$). Thirty molecules of trp repressor per cell and 1.7 trp operators per cell (assuming 1.7 genomes per cell) are equivalent to intracellular concentrations of about ³⁰ nM repressor and 1.7 nM operator (14). Substituting the above values into Eq. 2, we can calculate K $= 0.38$ nM.[†] This value is close to our experimentally determined value of about 0.2 nM.

Similar calculations made for the lac operon (14), where the induction ratio is 1000, suggested $K \leq 0.01$ nM. At a salt concentration of 0.2 M, close to that believed to exist in vivo (15) , K for lac repressor-operator dissociation is about 0.01 nM (8) . In vitro trp operon transcription is inhibited 6-fold in 0.2 M salt, making determination of K at this ionic strength difficult.

The E. coli galactose (gal) operon repressor has been shown to bind the gal operator with very high affinity $(K = 0.001)$ nM in 0.05 M salt, ref. 16). Information on the binding at

 \uparrow [RO] = 75 [O-RO], [R] = 30 nM, [RO] = 1.7 nM. Substituting the above values in Eq 2, we have $K = \frac{30 \text{ nM} - 1.7 \text{ nM}}{75}$ $= 0.38$ nM.

higher salt concentrations is not available. Trp repressoroperator binding appears to be significantly weaker than that observed for the lac repressor and operator at the same salt concentration, and is probably weaker than gal repressoroperator binding. Also, the half-life of the trp repressoroperator complex is less than 2 min in our in vitro transcription buffer (0.12 M salt), shorter than the value of ¹⁰ min estimated for the lac repressor-operator complex in 0.12 M salt $(11).$

The relatively large dissociation constant for trp repressoroperator interaction could explain the inability to detect binding of trp repressor to operator in conventional assays. With more highly purified repressor it may be possible to increase the repressor concentration sufficiently to detect this binding, making possible a detailed kinetic analysis of trp repressor-operator interaction.

We thank Catherine Squires for partially purified repressor, Catherine Squires, Terry Platt, Michael Manson, Shoei-lung Li, and Arthur Riggs for helpful suggestions, and Miriam Bonner and Virginia Horn for excellent technical assistance. These studies were supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (GB36967) and the American Heart Association. J.K.R. was a predoctoral trainee of the U.S. Public Health Service. C.Y. is a Career Investigator of the American Heart Association.

- 1. Zubay, G., Morse, D. E., Schrenk, W. J. & Miller, J. H. M. (1972) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 69, 1100-1103.
- 2. Rose, J. K., Squires, C. L., Yanofsky, C., Yang, H. & Zubay, G. (1973) Nature New Biol. 245, 133-137.
- 3. McGeough, D., McGeough, J. & Morse, D. E. (1973) Nature New Biol. 245, 137-140.
- 4. Shimizu, Y., Shimizu, N. & Hayashi, M. (1973) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 70, 1990-1994.
- 5. Squires, C. L., Rose, J. K., Yanofsky, C., Yang, H. & Zubay, G. (1973) Nature New Biol. 245, 131-133.
- 6. Doolittle, W. F. & Yanofsky, C. (1968) J. Bacteriol. 95, 1283-1293.
- 7. Mosteller, R. D. & Yanofsky, C. (1971) J. Bacteriol. 105, 268-275.
- 8. Riggs, A. D., Suzuki, H. & Bourgeois, S. (1968) J. Mol. Biol. 48, 67-83.
- 9. Franklin, N. (1971) in The Bacteriophage Lambda, ed. Hershey, A. D. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory of Quantitative Biology, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.), pp. 621-638.
- 10. Jackson, E. N. & Yanofsky, C. (1972) \ddot{J} . Mol. Biol. 69, 307-313.
- 11. Riggs, A. D., Bourgeois, S. & Cohn, M. (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 53, 401-417.
- 12. Jobe, A., Riggs, A. D. & Bourgeois, S. (1972) J. Mol. Biol. 64, 181-199.
- 13. Riggs, A. D., Newby, R. F. & Bourgeois, S. (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 51, 303-314.
- 14. Gilbert, W. & Muller-Hill, B. (1967) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 58, 2415-2421.
- 15. Lubin, M. & Ennis, H. L. (1964) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 80, 614-631.
- 16. Parks, J. S., Gottesman, M., Shimada, K.,'Weisberg, R. A., Perlman, R. L. & Pastan, I. (1971) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 68, 1891-1895.