SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supporting Methods

Generation of stable cell lines

Cell lines that stably overexpress or knock down LRRK1 or LRRK2 were generated via lentiviral (LV) vector transduction. LV vectors were produced by the Leuven Viral Vector core as previously described (Geraerts et al., 2005; Civiero et al., 2012). For transduction in cell culture, 50 000 cells (HEK293T or SH-SY5Y) were plated in a 24-well plate and grown in DMEM with 8% (HEK293T) or 15% (SH-SY5Y) fetal bovine serum and for SH-SY5Y cells additionally with 100X MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution (Life technologies). The next day, about $10⁶$ transducing units (TU) of vector were diluted into the cell supernatant and incubated for 1-3 days. When cells reached 80-100% confluence, cells were trypsinized and transferred to a 6-well plate. In case a selection marker was co-expressed, cells were further cultured with normal culture medium containing selection antibiotics (blasticidin selection was performed with 10 µg/ml of blasticidin, hygromycin selection with 250 µg/ml hygromycin). Cells were then further expanded for use in the experiments. For cells transduced with 2 different LV vectors, such as cells transduced with a short hairpin construct as well as a LRRK1 or LRRK2 overexpression construct, transductions were performed serially.

Metabolic labeling

Metabolic labeling was performed as described by Taymans *et al.* (Taymans et al., 2013)*.* Cells expressing LRRK1 or LRRK2 were rinsed two times in DMEM without phosphates and then metabolically labeled with 5 μ Ci/cm² orthophosphate-P³² (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in DMEM without phosphates at 37°C. Following 4-8 hours labeling, cells were lysed and LRRK1 or LRRK2 proteins were immunoprecipitated using anti-Flag M2 agarose beads. In experiments with compound treatment, a compound treatment step was included between labeling and lysis in which compound or solvent were diluted into the labeling medium at the desired concentration and for the desired contact time. Immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved on 3-8% tris acetate SDS-PAGE gels and blotted to PVDF membranes. Incorporated P^{32} was detected by autoradiography using a Storm 840 phosphorescence scanner (GE Healthcare). The same membranes were stained with Ponceau S (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) to correct for protein loading and probed with anti-Flag antibody to confirm the presence of LRRK1 or LRRK2. Densitometric analysis of the bands on the blot autoradiograms and immunoreactivity were performed using Aida analyzer v1.0 (Raytest, Straubenhardt, Germany) or ImageJ software (NIH, USA). Levels of phosphorylation incorporation were calculated as the ratio of the autoradiographic signal over the immunoreactivity level and normalized to levels of the control sample. All conditions were tested in triplicate.

Immunocytochemistry

For immunocytochemistry, cells were plated out and grown on 12 mm coverslips in a 24-well plate or in 8-well chamber slides. After washing with PBS, cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, 1xPBS for 15 min, followed by 2 wash steps with PBS. After permeabilization for 5 min with PBS $+ 0.1\%$ Triton X-100, a 30 min blocking step with 10% goat serum (Dako cytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) in PBS was performed. This was followed by 2 h incubation with primary antibody(ies) in PBS. After three washes with PBS for 5 min, cells were incubated for 1 h with appropriate secondary antibody(ies) (Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 555- conjugated anti IgG antibody, 1/500, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) and again 3 times washed for 5 min with PBS. The coverslips were mounted on a microscope slide with Mowiol (Sigma) supplemented with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, Roche) to visualize nuclei. Visualization was performed by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Fluoview 1000, Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan).

Phosphosite analysis by mass spectrometry

Phosphosite mapping was performed on purified Flag-tagged LRRK1 and LRRK2. In brief, cells expressing 3xFlag-LRRK1 or 3xFlag-LRRK2 were solubilized in buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Tween 20 or 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1mM beta-glycerophosphate, 1 mM NaVO4, protease inhibitor mixture) and lysates were centrifuged for 30 minutes at 14000xg. Afterwards, lysates containing 3xFlag-tagged protein were incubated with anti-Flag M2 agarose beads for 2 hours at 4°C on a rotator. After extensive washings of the affinity beads, proteins were eluted using SDS sample buffer and were separated by SDS-PAGE. The protein band of interest was excised and subjected to an overnight digestion at 37°C with 250 ng trypsin in 200 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The resulting peptide mixture was either analyzed by nano LC-MS/MS on a 4000 QTRAP mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) or subjected to phosphopeptide enrichment as described in Venerando A. *et al.* (Venerando et al., 2013) and analyzed by nano LC-MS/MS on a LTQ-Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific). In the latter case, the sample was loaded into a 10 cm pico-frit column (75µm internal diameter, 15 µm tip, New Objectives) packed in house with C18

material (Aeris Peptide 3.6 µm XB-C18, Phenomenex) and peptides were separated using a linear gradient of acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid from 3% to 40% in 20 min on a nano HPLC Ultimate 3000 system (Dionex – Thermo Fisher Scientific). In the former case, nano LC was performed on a PepMap C18 column developed with a linear gradient of acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid from 6% to 40% in 30 min on a similar capillary liquid chromatography system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

In the 4000QTRAP approach, putative phosphorylated peptides were pinpointed by precursor 79 (-) ion scanning in a first LC-MS run, while in a second LC-MS run, MRM (multiple reaction monitoring) - induced product $(+)$ ion scanning was executed to determine peptide sequences and to localize phosphorylated residues. In the LTQ-Orbitrap XL approach, the instrument was operated in a data dependent mode: a full MS scan in the Orbitrap (60000 resolution) was followed by a neutral loss-triggered dependent acquisition in the linear trap on the 3 most intense ions. The same sample was analyzed again using the same chromatographic conditions but with a multi-stage activation protocol for MS analysis. Acquired spectra were analyzed with the software Proteome Discoverer 1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) interfaced with a Mascot Search engine version 2.2.4 (Matrix Science) using the human session of the Uniprot database (version 20121120). For database searching, trypsin was set as enzyme with up to 1 missed cleavage. Carboxymethyl cysteine was set as fixed modification, while methionine oxidation and phosphorylation of Ser, Thr, and Tyr were set as variable modifications. Precursor and fragment tolerance were set to 10 ppm and 0.6 Da, respectively. The software performed also a search against a randomized database, and data were filtered to keep only spectra with a False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 5% or less. In the 4000 QTRAP approach, acquired spectra were subjected to MASCOT search engine version 2.2.2 using a custom database

containing LRRK1 and LRRK2 sequences. In both approaches, spectra relative to phosphopeptides were manually inspected to confirm the identity of the peptides and the correct assignment of the phosphorylation sites.

Supporting Figures

Figure S1. LRRK1 and LRRK2 cellular phosphorylation sites map to distinct protein regions. LRRK1 and LRRK2 proteins isolated from HEK293T cells were submitted to proteolytic cleavage and phosphosites were identified by ESI-MS/MS. (A) Coomassie brilliant blue staining of SDS-PAGE gel with purified LRRK1 and LRRK2 proteins used in phosphosite analysis. (B) Alignment of LRRK1 and LRRK2 domain structure as well as alignment of sequences around LRRK1 or LRRK2 phosphosites (those LRRK2 phosphosites identified in the present study are indicated with an asterisk, while previously reported phosphosites are also given). (C) Annotated MS/MS spectra of phosphopeptides in LRRK1 and LRRK2.

Figure S2: Visualization of LRRK1 and LRRK2 subcellular localization following stimulation by rhodamine labeled epidermal growth factor. EGF induced translocation of LRRK1 or LRRK2 to endosomes was assessed in 4 different SH-SY5Y cell lines. (A) SH-SY5Y cells with stable overexpression of eGFP-LRRK1 and expression of a control knockdown construct for genetic depletion (ctrl miR). (B) SH-SY5Y cells with stable overexpression of eGFP-LRRK2 and expression of a control knockdown construct for genetic depletion. (C) SH-SY5Y cells with stable overexpression of eGFP-LRRK1 and depletion of endogenous LRRK2 (LV_miR_LRRK2_6251). (D) SH-SY5Y cells with stable overexpression of eGFP-LRRK2 and depletion of endogenous LRRK1 (LV_miR_LRRK1_6734). Cell lines were treated with EGF-rhodamine (EGF-Rh, 100 ng/ml) and endosomal EGF-Rh was imaged together with eGFP-LRRK1 or eGFP-LRRK2 at the indicated time points (0, 5, 15 and 30 minutes after addition of EGF-Rh) as described in materials and methods. Quantifications of the % of cells showing translocation of LRRK1 or LRRK2 to EGF-Rh-positive endosomes is given in Figure 4. Scale bar, 10 μ m applies to all photomicrographs.

Figure S3: EGF induced translocation of LRRK1 in control cell lines and in cells with genetic depletion of LRRK2. Cell lines were treated with EGF (100 ng/ml) and eGFP-LRRK1 was imaged at the indicated time points (0, 5, 15 and 30 minutes after addition of EGF-Rh) as described in materials and methods. Representative confocal microscopy images are given in (A) of eGFP-LRRK1 30 minutes after EGF or solvent treatment, both for the control cell lines (BsdR ctrl, rows 1 and 2 of images) as well as for the knockdown cell lines: eGFP-LRRK1 with LRRK2 knockdown (construct LV miR LRRK2 6251 , rows 3 and 4 of images). (B) The % of cells displaying an endosome-like subcellular localization of eGFP-LRRK1 (minimum 5 endosome-like accumulations of eGFP-LRRK1 per cell) were determined by manual scoring. Quantifications are given for eGFP-LRRK1 cell lines expressing control for knockdown constructs (BsdR ctrl and miR ctrl) or LRRK2 knockdown constructs (LRRK2 KD1, KD2 and KD3 corresponding to constructs LV_miR_LRRK2_2384, LV miR_LRRK2_6251, LV_miR_LRRK2_7814). Scale bar, 10 µm applies to all photomicrographs.

Figure S4: Co-localisation of EGF-stimulated eGFP-LRRK1 with endosomes

EGF-stimulated eGFP-LRRK1-positive structures are endosomal as shown by costaining with the endosomal marker EEA1. Shown here are confocal microscopy images of DAPI (blue), eGFP-LRRK1 (green) and EEA1 (red) of SH-SY5Y cells with stable expression of eGFP-LRRK1 15 minutes after stimulation with EGF (100) ng/ml). In the overlay image (panel 4) co-staining is observed of eGFP-LRRK1 and EEA1 (arrows). Scale bar, 10 µm.

Description of raw data tables

Three text files are included that detail the output from Protoarray Prospector. In the first two files 3xFlag-LRRK1 and -LRRK2 are compared to 3Flag-eGFP, in the third file 3xFlag-LRRK1 and 3xFlag-LRRK2 are compared.

Supporting Tables

Supporting Table 1 : List of interacting proteins identified via protein microarray

A. Specific for LRRK1

B. Specific for LRRK2

C. Common interactors

Supporting Table 2 : List of interacting proteins identified with AP-MS approach

A. Specific for LRRK1

B. Specific for LRRK2

C. Common interactors

Supporting Table 3: List of primers used to generate lentiviral vectors expressing microRNA-based short hairpin RNA sequences.

Table S3: List of primers used to generate lentiviral vectors expressing microRNAbased short hairpin RNA sequences. Sense and antisense primers are given, sequences depicted in bold correspond to the hairpin stem sequence containing the LRRK1 or LRRK2 target sequence, sequences given in italics are the loop sequence.

References

- Civiero, L., Vancraenenbroeck, R., Belluzzi, E., Beilina, A., Lobbestael, E., Reyniers, L., Fangye, G., Micetic, I., De Maeyer, M., Bubacco, L., Baekelandt, V., Cookson, M.R., Greggio, E., Taymans, J.-M., 2012. Biochemical characterization of highly purified leucine-rich repeat kinases 1 and 2 demonstrates formation of homodimers. PLoS ONE 7, e43472.
- Geraerts, M., Michiels, M., Baekelandt, V., 2005. Upscaling of lentiviral vector production by tangential flow filtration. The journal of gene medicine.
- Taymans, J.-M., Fangye, G., Baekelandt, V., 2013. Metabolic Labeling of Leucine Rich Repeat Kinases 1 and 2 with Radioactive Phosphate. Journal of Visualized Experiments (JoVE).
- Venerando, A., Franchin, C., Cant, N., Cozza, G., Pagano, M.A., Tosoni, K., Al-Zahrani, A., Arrigoni, G., Ford, R.C., Mehta, A., Pinna, L.A., 2013. Detection of phospho-sites generated by protein kinase CK2 in CFTR: mechanistic aspects of Thr1471 phosphorylation. PLoS ONE 8, e74232.

Supporting Figures

Figure S1

 C

pS249:'YFIEASPLPSpSYPGK'

pS1074/pT1075: NRCSpTpFRVK

pS1241: LEHSpEDEGSVLGQGGSGTVIYR

22

T1287: NFANVPADTpMLR

pS910: SNSpISVGEFYR

pS973: HSDSpISSLASER

pS1058: MSpCIANLDVSR

Figure S2

26

Figure S3

