Chromosomal context dependence of a eukaryotic recombinational hot spot

(Schizosaceharomyces pombe/M26 hot spot/meiosis)

ALFRED S. PONTICELLI^{*} AND GERALD R. SMITH[†]

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, ¹¹²⁴ Columbia Street, Seattle, WA 98104; and Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA ⁹⁸¹⁹⁵

Communicated by David D. Perkins, October 8, 1991 (received for review June 17, 1991)

ABSTRACT The single base-pair mutation M26 in the ade6 gene of the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe creates a hot spot for meiotic homologous recombination. When DNA fragments containing M26 and up to 3.0 kilobases of surrounding DNA were moved to the ura4 gene or to a multicopy plasmid, M26 had no detectable hot spot activity. Our results indicate that nucleotide sequences at least ¹ kilobase away from M26 are required for M26 hot spot activity and suggest that, as for transcriptional promoters, a second site or proper chromatin structure is required for activation of this eukaryotic recombinational hot spot. We discuss the implications of these results for studies of other meiotic recombinational hot spots and for gene targeting.

Special sites enhance homologous recombination within their vicinity on the chromosomes of prokaryotes and eukaryotes (1) . These sites, called hot spots, are thought to be recognition sites for recombination-promoting enzymes, which act at high frequency at or near the hot spot. Recombinational hot spots are thus analogous to promoters of transcription and origins of DNA replication, in that they define discrete chromosomal sites that stimulate chromosomal activity in their vicinity.

The M26 mutation in the ade6 gene of Schizosaccharomyces pombe creates a recombinational hot spot (2) that enhances gene conversion and crossing-over at the *ade6* locus but not at other loci; the M26 hot spot is active during meiosis but not during mitosis (3, 4). In some respects the M26 hot spot appears simple: it is a single base-pair change $G-C \rightarrow T-A$ (3, 5) creating the sequence 5'-ATGACGT-3', which is required for hot spot activity (6). M26 acts when it is present on one or both of the recombining chromosomes (3). These results are consistent with the view that M26 is the recognition site for a sequence-specific recombination-promoting protein. Indeed, an M26-specific binding protein has recently been detected (E. Käslin and J. Kohli, personal communication; W. Wahis and G.R.S., unpublished observations). We report here, however, that the requirements for M26 action are complex: when the M26 site with up to 3.0 kilobases (kb) of surrounding DNA was moved to other locations in the genome, it failed to enhance recombination. These results imply that the action of M26 requires another chromosomal site or proper surrounding chromatin structure. This complexity urges caution in the interpretation of large deletions that appear to locate other chromosomal sites, including recombinational hot spots.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

S. pombe Strains and Culture Procedures. The S. pombe strains and their genotypes are given in Table 1. Strains were constructed by standard meiotic crosses (7) or by transfor-

*T, transformation of the indicated strain (see text). Strains GP401 to GP414 were derived by transformation of GP18 or related strains plus additional meiotic crosses with strains in our collection. Complete genealogies of these strains, as well as that of GP341, are available upon request.

tGP402, an independently constructed strain, has the same genotype but is h^-

tGP407, GP408, and GP410, independently constructed strains, have the same genotype, but GP407 and GP408 are h^+ .

§GP412, GP413, and GP414, independently constructed strains, have the same genotype, but GP413 and GP414 are h^- .

mation of spheroplasts (8) or LiOAc-treated cells (9) with appropriate DNA.

For culturing S. pombe strains liquid media were minimal EMM2 (10) and rich broth YEL (7), and solid media were minimal MMA (7), minimal NBA (11), and rich broth YEA (7). Strains to be crossed were grown in YEL, mixed, concentrated by centrifugation, and spotted on solid sporulation (SPA) medium (7); after 2 days at 25°C meiotic spores were harvested and analyzed for total viable spores and for recombinant spores by differential plating as described (11).

In the experiment described in Table 2, group D , and Fig. 1 D we used the *ade6-M375-M26* double mutation (6) rather than ade6-M26 on the multicopy plasmid for the following reasons. Multicopy plasmids containing the 3.0-kb fragment with ade6- $M26$ render ade6-469 cells, but not ade6- $M26$ cells, Ade⁺ (unpublished observations). This $Ade⁺$ phenotype presumably stems from the combination of high-level expression of the ade6-M26 gene on the plasmid, low-level suppression of the nonsense mutation created by $M26$ (2, 5), and intragenic

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

^{*}Present address: Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115. tTo whom reprint requests should be addressed at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center.

complementation frequently observed for ade6 alleles (2). The ade6-M375-M26 gene inserted into the plasmid does not confer the Ade' phenotype to ade6469 cells (unpublished observations); this result is expected, since the M375 mutation, like M26, creates a nonsense mutation (5) and suppression of the double nonsense allele should be very rare.

Plasmids. Plasmids pade6-M375, pade6-M26, and pade6- 469 contain a 3.0-kb S. pombe fragment including the entire ade6 gene with the indicated mutations, the Saccharomyces cerevisiae URA3 gene (which complements S. pombe ura4 mutations), the S. pombe ars and stb elements (which increase plasmid stability), and the amp gene of plasmid pBR322; these plasmids differ from plasmid pAS1 by the indicated *ade6* mutations and the loss of an *Xho* I site in *stb* (5). Plasmid pade6-M375-M26 is identical but contains the double mutation ade6-M375-M26. It was constructed as follows. A 1.9-kb *Xho* I fragment from plasmid pRF111, which contains ^a 2.4-kb insertion of ade6-M375-M26 DNA (6) in plasmid M13mp18 (J. Kohli, personal communication), and a 7.2-kb Xho ^I fragment from pade6-M26, which lacks the major part of the ade6 gene, were isolated and ligated. DNA clones isolated in Escherichia coli were verified by restriction enzyme digestions to have the ade6-M375-M26 insertion in the proper orientation and to contain M375 and M26 [lack of Fok I and Mnl I sites (5).

Plasmid pSPa6 contains ^a 6.4-kb partial Sau3A DNA fragment with the S. pombe $ade6⁺$ region inserted into the BamHI site of plasmid pFL20 (5). Plasmid pASP1 contains a 3.9-kb BamHI DNA fragment with the major part of the S.

pombe ade6-M26 region inserted into the BamHI site of plasmid pUC19 (3). Plasmid pura4-Sph, also called pUC19SU4, contains ^a 1.8-kb HindIII DNA fragment with the $ura4^+$ gene (12) inserted, after the addition of linker oligonucleotides, into the Sph ^I site of pUC19 (30). Plasmid pDB248' is a composite of pBR322, the S. cerevisiae $2-\mu m$ plasmid, and the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene (8).

S. pombe Strains with Chromosomal Rearrangements. Strains with 354-base-pair (bp) fragments of ade6 DNA inserted into the $ura4$ gene (Fig. 1B) were constructed as follows. A 354-bp Hae III fragment from the ade6 gene of plasmid pade6-M26 or pade6-M375 was inserted into the EcoRV site in the ura4 gene of plasmid pura4-Sph; both orientations were obtained for each fragment. The 2.2-kb Sph ^I DNA fragments of these plasmids were isolated and used with plasmid pDB248' to transform spheroplasts of strain GP18. The population was enriched for $Leu⁺$ (pDB248'containing) transformants by growth in liquid EMM2 medium containing 1.2 M sorbitol and uracil (100 μ g/ml). Ura⁻ transformants were selected on MMA medium containing uracil (50 μ g/ml) and 5-fluoroorotic acid (1 mg/ml). Clones were purified on YEA medium to obtain Leu⁻ segregants and examined by Southern hybridization analysis to verify the correct insertions into the ura4 gene. Insertions 101 and 103 are in one orientation, and $10\overline{2}$ and $10\overline{4}$ are in the other orientation.

Strains with 3.0-kb fragments of ade6 DNA inserted into the *ura4* gene (Fig. $1C$) were constructed as follows. The 3.2-kb Pvu II-EcoRV DNA fragments (which include the

FIG. 1. Structure of the S. pombe chromosomes and plasmids tested for M26 recombinational hot spot activity. The top line shows (not to scale) a part of chromosome III of S. pombe with the ura4 gene (filled box) and the ade6 gene (open box) separated by the centromere (open circle). These genes are $\overline{469}$ transcribed from left to right, as drawn here, but the orientation of ura4 on the chromosome is not known. (A) Chromosomes with point mutations (M375, M26, or 469) in ade6 at its endogenous location. (B) Chromosomes with insertions, into ura4, of the 354-bp Hae III fragment of ade6 containing either M26 or M375 located 89 or 86 bp from one end. These fragments were inserted, in each orientation, into the $EcoRV$ site of the ura4⁺ gene 620 bp from the site of the ura4-595 mutation, a "fill-in" mutation of a Sty ^I site. (See refs. 5 and 12 for details of the ade6 and ura4 genes, respectively, and the text for details of the constructions used here.) (C) Chromosomes with insertions, into ura4, of the 3.2-kb Pvu II-EcoRV fragment. This fragment contains the 190-bp BamHI-EcoRV fragment of pBR322 and 3.0 kb of ade6 DNA bearing M375, M26, or 469, located 1007, 1010, and 2342 bp from the Pvu II end. These fragments were inserted into the $EcoRV$ site of the $ura4$ gene such that $ura4$ and ade6 would be transcribed in the same direction (left to right as drawn here). These chromosomes also contain a 3.7-kb deletion (hatched box), designated ade6-DI, extending from the Pvu II site defining one end of the ade6 insertion to the Sac I site about 0.7 kb beyond the other end of the 4 6 9 insertion. (D) Plasmid pade6 containing the 3.0 kb of ade6 DNA used in C. pade6 contains the URA3 gene of S. cerevisiae (which complements S. pombe ura4 mutations), the S. pombe ars DNA and stb DNA (which increase plasmid stability in S. pombe), and the amp gene of plasmid pBR322. The plasmid-borne *ade*6 gene, containing either $M375$ or pombe), and the *amp* gene of plasmid pBR322. The
plasmid-borne *ade6* gene, containing either M375 or
 $M26-M375$, can recombine with the endogenous
ade6-469 gene during meiosis following self-mating $URA3$ de6-469 gene during meiosis following self-mating of the homothallic (h^{90}) strain.

190-bp BamHI-EcoRV fragment of pBR322) from plasmids pade6-M26, pade6-M375, and pade6-469 were inserted into the EcoRV site in the ura4 gene of plasmid pura4-Sph such that the ade6 and ura4 genes were transcribed in the same direction. The 5.0-kb Sph ^I fragments containing the ura4::ade6 insertions were isolated and used to transform ade6 leul strains to $Leu⁺ Ura⁻$ by the cotransformation scheme described above. In some cases crosses with strains carrying the ade6-DI deletion mutation, described below, were needed to generate the desired ura4::ade6 ade6-DI strains, whose insertions and deletions were verified by Southern hybridization analysis.

The *ade6-D1* mutation, a 3.7-kb deletion of the *ade6* gene and flanking DNA (Fig. 1C), was generated by ligating the 3.9-kb Sac ^I fragment of plasmid pASPi and the 1.4-kb Pvu II-EcoRV fragment of plasmid pSPa6 to generate plasmid pade6-D1. The 2.6-kb BamHI-EcoRI fragment was isolated and used to transform strain GP18 to Leu⁺ Ade⁻ by the cotransformation scheme described above.

The $ura4-595$ mutation (Fig. 1B) was generated by "filling" in" the cut Sty I site at position 595 (as numbered in ref. 12) in the ura4 gene of plasmid pura4-Sph and ligating the ends. The mutation was transferred to the chromosome by isolating the 1.8-kb Sph ^I fragment and cotransforming strain GP18 to Leu⁺ Ura⁻ as described above.

RESULTS

M26 hot spot activity is conveniently measured in comparison crosses using the *ade*6-M375 mutation, a G·C \rightarrow T·A single base-pair change located ³ bp ⁵' of M26 (5). M375 converts at about the same low frequency as most other ade6 mutations, whereas M26 converts at about 10-fold higher frequency (2). M26 also recombines with other *ade6* mutations, such as ade6469, at about 10-fold higher frequency than does M375 (2). The ratio of recombinant frequencies in the two crosses, $M26 \times 469$ and $M375 \times 469$, is thus a measure of M26 hot spot activity. When M26 was at its endogenous location in the *ade6* gene on chromosome III, it had, by this measure, a hot spot activity of 16 (Table 2, group A). Similar results have been reported previously (2, 3).

To test whether the M26 hot spot was active when moved to another location in the genome, we inserted 354-bp fragments of *ade6* into the *ura4* gene, which is located about 250 centimorgans from ade6 on the other arm of chromosome III (13). These fragments contained either M26 or M375 about 90 bp from one end of the fragments (Fig. 1B). As expected, these insertions rendered the cells Ura⁻ regardless of their orientation in the ura4 gene. When these ura4::ade6 mutations were crossed with ura4-595, located 620 bp from the insertions $(12, 14)$, Ura⁺ recombinants were generated at nearly the same frequencies regardless of the presence of M26 or M375 on the insertions, and regardless of the orientation of the insertions (Table 2, group B). The M26:M375 ratio of the recombinant frequencies (1.1) indicates that $M26$ had no significant hot spot activity in these crosses. Moreover, the frequency of recombinants between ura4-294 and ura4-595 (450 Ura⁺ per 10⁶ viable spores) was comparable to that between ade6-M375 and ade6-L52 at the endogenous ade6 locus (310 Ade⁺ per 10⁶ viable spores; ref. 2); these pairs of mutations are about the same distance apart (14). Therefore, the lack of M26 hot spot activity cannot be attributed to the basal frequency of recombination being significantly different at the ura4 locus than at the ade6 locus.

Table 2. Test of M26 recombination hot spot activity at endogenous and transplaced locations

Group	Mutations (GP strain numbers) crossed	n^*	Recombinants per 10 ⁶ viable spores			
			Selected type	Range	Mean	M26 hot spot activity [†]
\mathbf{A}	$M26$ at the endogenous <i>ade6</i> locus [‡]					
	ade6-M26 \times ade6-469 (24 \times 205)	2	Ade^+	10,400-10,900	10,600	16
	ade6-M375 \times ade6-469 (6 \times 205)	$\overline{2}$	$Ade+$	550-800	680	
B	M26 on a 354-bp insertion into ura4					
	$ura4-101::M26 \times ura4-595$ (185 \times 191)	1	Ura^+	540	610	
	$ura4$ -102::M26 \times ura4-595 (186 \times 191)		$Ura+$	680		1.1
	$ura4-103::M375 \times ura4-595$ (187 \times 191)	1	Ura^+	520	580	
	$ura4-104::M375 \times ura4-595 (188 \times 191)$	1	$Ura+$	640		
$\mathbf C$	M26 on a 3.0-kb insertion into ura4 $ura4-105$: ade6-M26 \times					
	$ura4-106::ade6-469(411-414 \times 407-410)$ ura4-107:: $ade6-M375 \times$	8	$Ade+$	1,400-2,200	1,900	0.54
	$ura4-106::ade6-469(401-402 \times 407-410)$	4	Ade^+	3,000-3,900	3,500	
D	$M26$ on a 3.0-kb insertion into a multicopy plasmid [§] (plasmid-by-chromosome recombination)					
	pade6-M375-M26 \times ade6-469	9	$Ade+$	$220 - 480$	310	0.84
				$(240 - 440)$	(300)	(0.83)
	pade6-M375 \times ade6-469	3	Ade^+	340-390	370	
				$(310 - 420)$	(360)	
	pade6-469 \times <i>ade6-M26</i> ¹ (66)	7	Ade ⁺	12,000-18,000	14,000	14
	pade6-469 \times ade6-M375 ¹ (67)	7	Ade^+	$1,000 - 1,400$	1,100	

The structures of the chromosomes and plasmids analyzed for recombination are diagramed in Fig. 1. Strains with M26 or M375 were constructed and mated on supplemented SPA medium, and meiotic spores were harvested and analyzed (see text). Ade+ or Ura+ recombinants were determined by plating spore suspensions on NBA minimal medium, supplemented with uracil (100 μ g/ml) for the crosses in group C and some in group D; total viable spores were determined on YEA rich medium or supplemented NBA medium. From ¹⁰⁰ to ¹²⁰⁰ colonies were counted for each determination.

*Number of crosses analyzed.

[†]Frequency of recombinants with $M26$ divided by that with $M375$.

[‡]Similar results have been reported previously $(2, 3)$.

§Independent transformants of strain GP341, nine with pade6-M26 and three with pade6-M375, were self-mated. Recombinant frequencies in parentheses are values for Ura⁺-i.e., plasmid-containing-spores; other values are for total viable spores. IData from ref. 3.

We next inserted into the *ura4* gene DNA fragments containing 3.0 kb of S. pombe DNA with the entire ade6 gene, marked with M26, M375, or 469 (Fig. 1B). The inserted ade6 genes were transcribed in the same direction as ura4. Crosses between ura4::ade6-M26 (or M375) and ura4::ade6-469 therefore had complete homology, except for the single base-pair *ade6* mutations, along the recombining chromosomes. The strains crossed contained the ade6-DJ deletion of the endogenous ade6 locus; ade6-D1 deletes the DNA corresponding to the 3.0-kb insertion into ura4 plus an additional 0.7 kb (ref. 14; Fig. 1C). Thus, in these strains Ade' recombinants could be generated only by recombination between the *ade6* alleles inserted into ura4. Four independent insertions of M26, two of M375, and four of 469 were made and verified by Southern hybridization analysis (data not shown). Eight crosses of 469 with M26 and four with M375 showed that the M26 hot spot had no detectable activity when the recombining ade6 genes were remote from their endogenous location (Table 2, group C). [The 2-fold lower frequency with $M26$ than with $M375$ is within the range previously reported (2) for apparent "marker effects", possibly due to differential correction of mismatches, in ade6.]

Although the frequency of recombinants in the crosses between M375 and 469 at the ura4 locus was higher (3500 per $10⁶$ viable spores; Table 2, group C) than that between these alleles at the endogenous locus (680 per 10⁶ viable spores; Table 2, group A), the frequency was not so high as to preclude observing a stimulation by $M26$. Higher Ade⁺ recombinant frequencies are readily measured: 10,600 per 106 viable spores with M26 at the endogenous ade6 locus (Table 2, group A); 14,000 per $10⁶$ viable spores with $M26$ recombining with a multicopy plasmid bearing *ade6-469* (see below and Table 2, group D); and 16,000 per $10⁶$ viable spores with M26 and 469 coupled to the *adhl* promoter (15). In our assays for M26 hot spot activity at ura4 the measured recombinant frequencies were lower than these values: 610 vs. 580 per 106 viable spores for M26 and M375, respectively, on the 0.35-kb insertions and 1900 vs. 3500 for M26 and M375, respectively, on the 3.0-kb insertions (Table 2, group B and group C). We conclude that M26 has no significant recombinational hot spot activity when it is inserted on ^a 0.35-kb or 3.0-kb DNA fragment into the ura4 locus.

In the last experiments (Table 2, group D) we obtained derivatives of the multicopy plasmid pFL20 with the 3.0-kb ade6 fragments containing M375 or the M375-M26 double mutation (refs. 5, 16, 17; Fig. $1D$) and introduced these plasmids into a homothallic (h^{∞}) , mating-type switching) strain containing the chromosomal ade6469 mutation. The M375-M26 double mutation retains full M26 hot spot activity when in the endogenous *ade6* gene (6) and was used in this experiment for technical reasons (see Materials and Methods). The homothallic strains were allowed to self-mate and undergo meiosis. The frequency of plasmid-by-chromosome recombinants with the hot spot allele M375-M26 was not significantly different from that with the M375 allele (Table 2, group D). In contrast, when the *ade6* alleles were reversedi.e., with 469 on the plasmid and M26 or M375 on the chromosome-the M26 hot spot showed its full activity: recombination was stimulated 14-fold. Thus, although M26 can stimulate plasmid-by-chromosome recombination, it did so only when at its endogenous location on the chromosome but not when moved to the plasmid with 3.0 kb of surrounding DNA.

DISCUSSION

The data reported here show that the M26 hot spot was active at its endogenous ade6 locus but not when moved on a 0.35 or 3.0-kb ade6 DNA fragment to the distant ura4 locus or to a multicopy plasmid. Since the M26 mutation creating the hot spot was 1.0 kb from the nearer end of the 3.0-kb fragment, we conclude that some feature of the chromosome near the endogenous ade6 gene but located at least 1.0 kb from M26 is necessary for its hot spot activity.

We consider two plausible, not mutually exclusive, explanations for these observations. (i) M26 might be part of a two-element (two site) system that promotes homologous recombination. (ii) The activity of $M26$ might depend upon the context of the surrounding DNA. The two-site system of the first explanation is exemplified by the Chi recombinational hot spot of E . coli (1). This 8-bp sequence, $5'$ -GCTGGTGG-3', is recognized by the recombinationpromoting RecBCD enzyme, which cuts one DNA strand about five nucleotides to the ³' side of Chi. Cutting occurs only as the enzyme is unwinding DNA and only when the enzyme approaches Chi from the right (as the sequence is written here) (18, 19). Chi enhances RecBCD-dependent recombination of phage λ but does so only when properly oriented with respect to a second site, the $\lambda \cos$ site (20, 21). Terminase protein of λ cuts the intracellular circular form of λ DNA at cos , to create the viral linear form (22). Terminase or the λ prohead remains bound to one side of the cut cos site, allowing RecBCD enzyme to enter λ DNA from one end but not the other. Thus, the orientation of cos dictates the active orientation of Chi (23). Like Chi, M26 might be a site at which a recombination-promoting enzyme cuts DNA, but that enzyme might enter DNA only at ^a second site. This hypothetical site might be located near, or properly oriented with respect to, the endogenous ade6 gene but not ura4 or ade6 on the plasmid used here. A search for S. pombe DNA fragments that activate the translocated, inactive M26 site might reveal this second site.

The second explanation supposes that the activity of M26 depends upon the general context of the surrounding DNA, not just a second discrete site. The structure of chromatin, the protein-bound form of DNA in chromosomes, at ^a particular site has been hypothesized to depend in a complex way upon the surrounding DNA (24, 25). For example, the sensitivity to limited DNase ^I digestion of DNA in chromatin differs from that of naked DNA, and the sensitivity of a particular DNA sequence in chromatin depends upon its location (24, 25). The rules governing this differential sensitivity are unknown. The M26 site might be differentially sensitive to the M26-recognizing protein depending upon its location in the genome and the chromatin structure at that location.

The recombinant frequency of non-hot spot alleles also was dependent upon their chromosomal context. The *ade*6-M375 and ade6469 alleles produced five times more recombinants when inserted into the *ura4* locus than when at their endogenous locus (Table 2, group A and group C). The chromosomal feature(s) responsible for this 5-fold increased recombinant frequency must lie beyond the 1.0 kb and 0.7 kb of ade6 DNA flanking the M375469 interval. These features might be either discrete sites or special chromatin structures that dictate recombinant frequencies at a distance.

We suppose that moving M26 from its endogenous locus to another locus dissociates it from an element (or proper chromatin structure) that activates M26. Alternatively, there may be repressive elements at the loci to which M26 was moved. Such elements at the new loci would have to repress recombination with M26 more than that with M375. This hypothesis requires that there be a repressive element at ade6, but specific for M375, to account for the lower recombinant frequency with M375 at ade6 than at ura4 (Table 2, group A and group C). We believe that the hypothesis of locus- and site-specific repressive elements is more complicated than that of an M26-activating element.

The observations reported here bear on the interpretation of previous experiments seeking to locate meiotic recombinational hot spots. Such hot spots have been inferred from the monotonically decreasing gradients of gene conversion frequencies of alleles across numerous genes in fungi (1). For example, in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae the frequencies of conversion range from about 9% to about 1% at the ARG4 locus (26-28) and from about 15% to about 2% at the PYKJ locus (29). Such data imply the existence of a hot spot at or near the high-conversion end of the gene. The locations of these hot spots have been sought by deleting, inserting, and translocating DNA segments ranging from about 0.2 to ⁵ kb. Analysis of 10 deletions near the high-conversion end (that containing the transcriptional promoter) of ARG4 implicated a critical site in the 250-bp region defined by the endpoints of two deletions (27, 28). This region is immediately upstream of the transcriptional start site. However, an 11th deletion, which removed all of this 250-bp region plus an additional 60 bp, had no significant effect on the frequency of conversion (9%) of the allele monitored. Furthermore, 7 deletions collectively removing all parts of the implicated 250-bp critical region reduced the conversion frequency only partially, and 3 deletions increased the conversion frequency (27, 28). Similar results yielding no simple pattern have been obtained with deletions and translocations of the PYKI locus (29).

The observations discussed here indicate that eukaryotic recombinational hot spots are more complex than single sites. The spatial and orientation requirements between multiple sites or the nature of the chromosomal context necessary for hot spot activity remain to be elucidated. Such requirements could explain some of the apparently disparate results from previous studies. For example, a large deletion could inactivate a recombinational hot spot by altering the chromatin structure or the relation between the hot spot and a second site, without deleting the hot spot itself. Therefore, we believe it is important to consider these possibilities when interpreting the results of deletion studies. Single base-pair mutations may be necessary to identify recombinational hot spots (6).

These considerations also bear on attempts to increase the efficiency of gene targeting in multicellular organisms. Currently, targeting of exogenous DNA by homologous recombination into the endogenous chromosomal locus occurs at much lower frequency than integration of the DNA by nonhomologous recombination into a different chromosomal locus (31). The factors governing the ratio of homologous targeting to nonhomologous integration are unclear. Understanding the DNA sequence and chromosomal context requirements of eukaryotic recombinational hot spots may lead to improved methods for gene targeting.

We especially thank Jürg Kohli and his colleagues for sharing with us before publication the ade6-M375-M26 double mutant and their results of site-directed mutagenesis of M26. We thank Jürg Kohli and Virginia Zakian for strains; Edgar Käslin, Jürg Kohli, and Wayne Wahls for unpublished information; Paul Russell and David Beach for plasmids pura4-Sph and pDB248'; Sue Amundsen, Linda De-Veaux, Sam Ganesan, Sue Holbeck, Phil Meneely, Philippe Szankasi, Andrew Taylor, Jeff Virgin, Wayne Wahls, and Hal Weintraub for helpful comments on the manuscript; and Karen

Brighton for skillfully preparing it. This research was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM31693 to G.R.S.

- 1. Smith, G. R. (1988) in The Recombination of Genetic Material, ed. Low, B. (Academic, New York), pp. 115-154.
- 2. Gutz, H. (1971) Genetics 69, 317–337
3. Ponticelli. A. S., Sena. E. P. & Smit
- 3. Ponticelli, A. S., Sena, E. P. & Smith, G. R. (1988) Genetics 119, 491-497.
- 4. Schuchert, P. & Kohli, J. (1988) Genetics 119, 507-515.
- 5. Szankasi, P., Heyer, W. D., Schuchert, P. & Kohli, J. (1988) J. Mol. Biol. 204, 917-925.
- 6. Schuchert, P., Langsford, M., Kaslin, E. & Kohli, J. (1991) EMBO J. 10, 2157-2163.
- 7. Gutz, H., Heslot, H., Leupold, U. & Loprieno, N. (1974) in Handbook of Genetics, ed. King, R. C. (Plenum, New York),
- Vol. 1, pp. 395-446. 8. Beach, D., Piper, M. & Nurse, P. (1982) Mol. Gen. Genet. 187, 326-329.
- 9. Ito, H., Fukuda, Y., Murata, K. & Kimura, A. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 153, 163-168.
- 10. Nurse, P. (1975) Nature (London) 256, 547-551.
- 11. Ponticelli, A. S. & Smith, G. R. (1989) Genetics 123, 45-54.
12. Grimm, C., Kohli, J., Murray, J. & Maundrell, K. (1988) Mo
- Grimm, C., Kohli, J., Murray, J. & Maundrell, K. (1988) Mol. Gen. Genet. 215, 81-86.
- 13. Kohli, J. (1987) Curr. Genet. 11, 575-589.
- 14. Ponticelli, A. S. (1988) Thesis (Univ. of Washington, Seattle).
15. Grimm. C., Schaer, P., Munz. P. & Kohli, J. (1991) Mol. Cell.
- 15. Grimm, C., Schaer, P., Munz, P. & Kohli, J. (1991) Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 289-298.
- 16. Losson, R. & Lacroute, F. (1983) Cell 32, 371–377.
17. Hever, W.-D., Sipiczki, M. & Kohli, J. (1986) Mol.
- Heyer, W.-D., Sipiczki, M. & Kohli, J. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 80-89.
- 18. Ponticelli, A. S., Schultz, D. W., Taylor, A. F. & Smith, G. R. (1985) Cell 41, 145-151.
- 19. Taylor, A. F., Schultz, D. W., Ponticelli, A. S. & Smith, G. R. (1985) Cell 41, 153-163.
- 20. Faulds, D., Dower, N., Stahl, M. M. & Stahl, F. W. (1979) J. Mol. Biol. 131, 681-695.
- 21. Kobayashi, I., Murialdo, H., Crasemann, J. M., Stahl, M. M. & Stahl, F. W. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 5981- 5985.
- 22. Feiss, M. & Becker, A. (1983) in The Bacteriophage Lambda, eds. Hendrix, R. W., Roberts, J. W., Stahl, F. W. & Weisberg, R. A. (Cold Spring Harbor Lab., Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 305-330.
- 23. Kobayashi, I., Stahl, M. M. & Stahl, F. W. (1984) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 49, 497-506.
- 24. Conklin, K. F. & Groudine, M. (1984) in DNA Methylation: Biochemistry and Biological Significance, eds. Razin, A., Cedar, H., Riggs, A. D. (Springer, New York), pp. 293-351.
- 25. Gross, D. S. & Garrad, W. T. (1988) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 57, 159-197.
- 26. Fogel, S., Mortimer, R. K. & Lusnak, K. (1981) in The Molecular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Life Cycle and Inheritance, eds. Strathern, J. N., Jones, E. W. & Broach, J. R. (Cold Spring Harbor Lab., Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 289-339.
- 27. Nicolas, A., Treco, D., Schultes, N. P. & Szostak, J. W. (1989) Nature (London) 338, 35-39.
- 28. Schultes, N. P. & Szostak, J. W. (1991) Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 322-328.
- 29. Olson, D. C. (1987) Thesis (Univ. of Washington, Seattle).
- 30. Russell, P. & Nurse, P. (1987) Cell 49, 559-567.
31. Roth, D. & Wilson, J. (1988) in Genetic Recom.
- Roth, D. & Wilson, J. (1988) in Genetic Recombination, eds. Kucherlapati, R. & Smith, G. R. (Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington), pp. 621-653.