# natureresearch

Experimental design

Corresponding Author:	Aaron Gitler
Date:	Jan 16, 2018

# Life Sciences Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work we publish. This form is published with all life science papers and is intended to promote consistency and transparency in reporting. All life sciences submissions use this form; while some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on the points included in this form, see Reporting Life Sciences Research. For further information on Nature Research policies, including our data availability policy, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

•	Experimental design		
1.	Sample size		
	Describe how sample size was determined.	No sample size calculation was performed.	
2.	Data exclusions		
	Describe any data exclusions.	No data exclusions.	
3.	Replication		
	$\label{thm:continuous} \mbox{Describe whether the experimental findings were reliably reproduced.}$	Yes, reliably reproduced.	
4.	Randomization		
	Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups.	No randomization.	
5. Blinding			
	Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis.	No blinding.	
Note: all studies involving animals and/or human research participants must disclose whether blinding and randomization were used.		isclose whether blinding and randomization were used.	
6. Statistical parameters			
	For all figures and tables that use statistical methods, confirm that the section if additional space is needed).	hat use statistical methods, confirm that the following items are present in relevant figure legends (or the Methods is needed).	
n/a	a Confirmed		
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement (animals, litters, cultures, etc.)		
	A description of how samples were collected, noting whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly.		
	A statement indicating how many times each experiment was replicated		
	The statistical test(s) used and whether they are one- or two-sided (note: only common tests should be described solely by name; more complex techniques should be described in the Methods section)		
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as an adjustment for multiple comparisons		
	The test results (e.g. <i>p</i> values) given as exact values whenever possible and with confidence intervals noted		
	A summary of the descriptive statistics, including central tendency (e.g. median, mean) and variation (e.g. standard deviation, interquartile range)		
	Clearly defined error bars		
	See the web collection on statistics for bi	iologists for further resources and guidance.	
•	Software		
	cy information about availability of computer code		
7. Software			
	Describe the software used to analyze the data in this study.	Source code for analyzing CRISPR screen	

data is publicly deposited on Bitbucket. See URLs section.

For all studies, we encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). Authors must make computer code available to editors and reviewers upon request. The Nature Methods guidance for providing algorithms and software for publication may be useful for any submission.

## Materials and reagents

Policy information about availability of materials

#### 8. Materials availability

Indicate whether there are restrictions on availability of unique materials or if these materials are only available for distribution by a for-profit company.

No unique materials used.

#### 9. Antibodies

Describe the antibodies used and how they were validated for use in the system under study (i.e. assay and species).

mouse monoclonal anti-

FLAG (1:1000, M2 Sigma cat.# F1804), mouse monoclonal anti-MAP2A (1:1000, Millipore cat.# MAB378), mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (1:1000, Millipore cat.# MAB377), mouse anti-GAPDH (1:5000, clone GAPDH-71.1, Sigma G8795), rabbit polyclonal anti-TMX2 (1:1000, Novus NBP1-87305), rabbit polyclonal anti-XPO5 (1:2000, Bethyl Laboratories A303-991A), mouse monoclonal anti-V5 tag (ThermoFisher R960-25), rabbit monoclonal anti-

LAMP1 (Cell Signaling Technologies R960-25)

#### 10. Eukaryotic cell lines

- a. State the source of each eukaryotic cell line used.
- b. Describe the method of cell line authentication used.
- c. Report whether the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination.
- d. If any of the cell lines used in the paper are listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC, provide a scientific rationale for their use.

See Methods: Cell Culture, Cell lines were obtained from ATCC.

No authentication performed

Yes, all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma.

None used.

## Animals and human research participants

Policy information about studies involving animals; when reporting animal research, follow the ARRIVE guidelines

#### 11. Description of research animals

Provide details on animals and/or animal-derived materials used in the study.

No animals used.

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

#### 12. Description of human research participants

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants.

No human research participants used.