Current Biology

The Hippo Pathway Regulates Caveolae Expression and Mediates Flow Response via Caveolae

Graphical Abstract

Highlights

 \bullet

- YAP/TAZ are critical for CAVIN1 and CAVEOLIN1 expression and caveolae formation
- The essential caveolar genes CAVIN1 and CAVEOLIN1 are direct YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes
- YAP/TAZ are hyperactivated in caveolae-deficient cells
- Caveolae facilitate YAP/TAZ-mediated shear stress response

Authors

Valentina Rausch, Jonathan R. Bostrom, Jiwon Park, ..., David C. Hay, Brian A. Link, Carsten G. Hansen

Correspondence

carsten.g.hansen@ed.ac.uk

In Brief

Rausch et al. identifies that the Hippo pathway regulates caveolae abundance via YAP/TAZ-TEAD-mediated transcriptional regulation of the two essential caveolar genes CAVEOLIN1 and CAVIN1. In essence, without YAP/TAZ, the cell loses an entire cellular organelle. In addition, caveolae facilitate shearstress-mediated signaling via the Hippo pathway.

The Hippo Pathway Regulates Caveolae Expression and Mediates Flow Response via Caveolae

Valentina Rausch,^{[1](#page-1-0)} Jonathan R. Bostrom,^{[2](#page-1-1)} Jiwon Park,¹ Isabel R. Bravo,¹ Yi Feng,¹ David C. Hay,^{[3](#page-1-2)} Brian A. Link,² and Carsten G. Hansen^{[1,](#page-1-0)[4,](#page-1-3)[*](#page-1-4)}

1University of Edinburgh Centre for Inflammation Research, Queen's Medical Research Institute, Edinburgh bioQuarter, 47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4TJ, UK

2Department of Cell Biology, Neurobiology and Anatomy, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

3MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, Institute for Regeneration and Repair, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh bioQuarter, 5 Little France Drive, Edinburgh EH16 4UU, UK

4Lead Contact

*Correspondence: carsten.g.hansen@ed.ac.uk <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.066>

SUMMARY

The Hippo pathway plays major roles in development, regeneration, and cancer. Its activity is tightly regulated by both diffusible chemical ligands and mechanical stimuli. The pathway consists of a series of kinases that can control the sub-cellular localization and stability of YAP or TAZ, homologous transcriptional co-factors. Caveolae, small (60–100 nm) bulb-like invaginations of the plasma membrane, are comprised predominantly of caveolin and cavin proteins and can respond to mechanical stimuli. Here, we show that YAP/TAZ, the major transcriptional mediators of the Hippo pathway, are critical for expression of caveolae components and therefore caveolae formation in both mammalian cells and zebrafish. In essence, without YAP/TAZ, the cell loses an entire organelle. CAVEOLIN1 and CAVIN1, the two essential caveolar genes, are direct target genes of YAP/TAZ, regulated via TEA domain (TEAD) transcription factors. Notably, YAP/TAZ become nuclear enriched and facilitate target gene transcription in cells with diminished levels of caveolae. Furthermore, caveolar-mediated shear stress response activates YAP/TAZ. These data link caveolae to Hippo signaling in the context of cellular responses to mechanical stimuli and suggest activity-based feedback regulation between components of caveolae and the outputs of the Hippo pathway.

INTRODUCTION

The Hippo pathway is involved in multiple developmental and regenerative processes, such as tissue renewal of the liver, heart, kidney, intestine, and lung. The Hippo pathway needs to be tightly and dynamically regulated, as otherwise hyperactive yes-associated protein/transcriptional co-activator with PDZbinding motif (YAP/TAZ) cause disease, most notably cancer [[1–5\]](#page-12-0). The pathway comprises an upstream inhibitory serinethreonine kinase cascade that ultimately activates large tumor suppressor kinase (LATS)1/2, which in turn phosphorylates and thereby inhibits the co-transcriptional regulators YAP/TAZ through cytoplasmic sequestration as well as protein degradation [[6, 7](#page-12-1)]. The pathway is regulated by both soluble ligands and mechanical stimuli, where the cytoskeleton and Rho-guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases) play central roles in mediating these responses [[7, 8\]](#page-12-2). Importantly, how mechanical signals are sensed at the plasma membrane and transduced via the Hippo pathway is currently not well understood.

Caveolae, meaning little caves, are multifunctional organelles localized in the plasma membrane and have mechanotransductive and protective roles [\[9–15](#page-12-3)]. They are generated by CAVEOLIN1–3 (CAV1–3) and CAVIN1–4 (also known as PTRF, SDPR, PRKCDBP, and MURC) as well as Eps15 homology domain (EHD) and PACSIN2 proteins [[16, 17\]](#page-13-0). CAV3 and CAVIN4 are muscle-specific caveolar proteins [[16, 17\]](#page-13-0). CAV1 is essential for caveolae formation in non-muscle cells, whereas CAVIN1 is critical for caveolae formation in all cells [\[18–21](#page-13-1)].

As both the Hippo pathway and caveolae are regulated by mechanical stimuli, such as shear stress, we sought to investigate whether the Hippo pathway and caveolae are functionally integrated in this process.

RESULTS

YAP/TAZ Drive Caveolar Protein Expression

We utilized genome-edited YAP/TAZ double knockout (Y/T KO) and LATS1/2 double knockout (L1/L2 KO) HEK293A cells [\[22\]](#page-13-2) and compared these to wild-type (WT) HEK293A cells to examine whether the activity state of YAP/TAZ regulates caveolar protein expression. There was a dramatic reduction in CAVEOLIN and CAVIN protein expression in the Y/T KO cells [\(Figures 1A](#page-2-0), 1B, 1D–1F, and [S1](#page-12-4)A–S1C). This effect was mirrored in an additional Y/T KO clone ([Figures S2](#page-12-4)A, S2B, and S2E). Moreover, caveolar proteins were markedly increased in L1/L2 KO cells ([Figures 1](#page-2-0)A and 1C–1F), where YAP/TAZ are hyperactive, as evident from increased YAP/TAZ nuclear localization [\(Figures](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0)A, 1C, [S1](#page-12-4)B, and S1F) and dephosphorylated YAP status [\(Fig](#page-2-0)[ures 1](#page-2-0)F, 1G, and [S2E](#page-12-4)). Re-introduction of LATS1 into L1/L2 KO cells, but not a kinase dead version, increased YAP phosphorylation and decreased CAVIN1, CAV1, and CYR61 expression

(legend on next page)

([Figures S2](#page-12-4)G and S2H). The essential proteins for caveolae biogenesis, CAV1 and CAVIN1, therefore mirrored the expression of the well-characterized YAP/TAZ target gene *CYR61* [[7\]](#page-12-2) ([Figures 1](#page-2-0)F, [S2E](#page-12-4), and S2G). To determine whether the ability for caveolar protein expression was due to a cell-intrinsic dependence on YAP/TAZ and not merely mediated by paracrine effects, we utilized a mixed cell population immunofluorescence (IF)-based assay. Due to the specificity of the antibodies used ([Figure S1](#page-12-4)A), the assay allowed for direct comparison between Y/T KO cells and WT cells in terms of the CAV1 or CAVIN1 protein levels [\(Figures 1H](#page-2-0)–1L, [S1D](#page-12-4), S1E, [S2C](#page-12-4), and S2D). CAV1 and CAVIN1 protein expression, as well as CAV2 [\(Figure 1M](#page-2-0)), was directly dependent on YAP/TAZ cell-intrinsic expression ([Figures](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0)H–1L). Importantly, upon exogenous plasmid-based re-expression in Y/T KO cells, CAV1 and CAVIN1 could be found co-localizing within plasma membrane domains ([Figure S3](#page-12-4)A). This localization is comparable to that of endogenous CAV1 and CAVIN1 in WT cells [\(Figure S3](#page-12-4)B). These data show that YAP/ TAZ are required for the expression of the essential caveolar proteins CAVIN1 and CAV1.

CAV1 and CAVIN1 Are Direct YAP/TAZ-TEAD Target Genes

As YAP/TAZ are transcriptional co-activators, we explored the possibility that the essential role of YAP/TAZ in caveolar protein expression was due to transcriptional regulation. We compared mRNA levels from HEK293A Y/T KO and L1/L2 KO to WT cells ([Figures 2A](#page-4-0) and 2B). In L1/L2 KO cells, with hyperactive YAP/ TAZ, there was an increase in the well-established YAP/TAZ target genes *CYR61* and *CTGF* [[23, 24\]](#page-13-3) as well as of *CAVIN1* [\(Fig](#page-4-0)[ures 2A](#page-4-0) and 2B), an effect that was paralleled by exogenously expressing hyperactive YAP ([Figure S2J](#page-12-4)). Re-introduction of LATS1, but not a kinase dead version of LATS1, in L1/L2 KO cells lowered the expression of *CYR61*, *CTGF*, *CAV1*, and *CAVIN1* ([Figure S2I](#page-12-4)). In addition, there was a striking absence of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* mRNA expression as well as of *CYR61* and *CTGF* in Y/T KO cells [\(Figures 2A](#page-4-0), 2B, and [S2F](#page-12-4)). As YAP/TAZ bind TEAD transcription factors [\[24–28\]](#page-13-4), we treated cells with verteporfin, an inhibitor of the YAP-TEAD interaction [\[29\]](#page-13-5). This resulted in a diminished expression of *CYR61*, *CTGF*, *CAV1*, and *CAVIN1* ([Figure 2C](#page-4-0)). The binding of YAP to TEAD is partly formed

via critical hydrogen bonds to serine94 in YAP [\[24–26\]](#page-13-4). We stably expressed either vector, WT, or S94A YAP into Y/T KO cells and assessed caveolar protein expression in these cells ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)D). Only WT YAP was able to induce expression of CYR61, CAV1, and CAVIN1 ([Figure 2D](#page-4-0)). We again utilized the IF-based mixed culture assay, which revealed that CAV1 and CAVIN1 expression was restored in Y/T KO cells in a cell-intrinsic manner by the re-expression of WT YAP, but not by expression of the TEADbinding-deficient S94A YAP [\(Figures 2E](#page-4-0)–2J). To establish whether this was indeed due to transcriptional regulation, we performed qPCR analysis of samples prepared from Y/T KO cells expressing WT or S94A YAP. There was a significant upregulation of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* expression upon re-expression of WT YAP ([Figure 2L](#page-4-0)). To assess whether TAZ was similarly capable of inducing CAV1 and CAVIN1 expression in Y/T KO cells, we introduced either WT TAZ or a TEAD-binding-deficient TAZ mutant (S51A). Similar to YAP, exogenous TAZ expression induced CAV1 and CAVIN1 expression in the Y/T KO background in a cell-intrinsic and TEAD-binding-dependent manner [\(Figures 2](#page-4-0)K, 2M, [S3](#page-12-4)E, and S3F). This apparent dependence on YAP/TAZ-TEAD interaction prompted us to analyze the role of TEADs in the expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1. We therefore established cells with short hairpin (sh)-mediated knockdown of TEADs. A clear TEAD dependence was evident for the expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1 [\(Figures 3](#page-6-0)A–3F and [S4A](#page-12-4)–S4F).

To determine whether TEAD proteins can localize to *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* promoters, we examined their proximal genomic regions for TEAD recognition motifs ([Figure S4](#page-12-4)G). When a genomic region of CAV1 (-1,907 to +200 bp) or CAVIN1 $(-1,250$ to $+150$ bp) was inserted into a plasmid upstream of a luciferase open reading frame, expression of YAP increased luciferase activity ([Figure 3G](#page-6-0)). In contrast, a short *CAV1* genomic region that does not harbor TEAD recognition motifs [\(Figure S4](#page-12-4)G) had no effect on the luciferase activity [\(Figure 3G](#page-6-0)).

To examine whether this interaction also takes place *in vivo*, we carried out chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays with antibodies against TEAD1. Regions of both *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* proximal promoters, which contain the consensus binding sequence for the YAP/TAZ-TEAD transcriptional complex, were enriched by antibodies targeting TEAD1 ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)H). To test whether YAP also localized to these regions, we carried out

(A) Confocal images of wild-type (WT) HEK293A cells labeled for DAPI (blue), YAP/TAZ (red), and CAVEOLIN1 (CAV1) (green).

Scale bars (A–C) represent 30 μ m. (A)–(C) are related to [Figures S1A](#page-12-4)–S1C, S1F, and [S2](#page-12-4)A–S2D.

- (F) Western blots from Y/T KO, WT, and L1/L2 KO HEK293A cells [\(Figures S2](#page-12-4)E and S2G). GAPDH and HSP90 serve as loading controls.
- (G) PhosTag gel-based western blots probed against YAP from cell lysates as in (F) ([Figure S2H](#page-12-4)).

(I) Close up of cells from red box in (H).

(L) Dot plot of CAVIN1 levels in mixed populations of Y/T KO and WT cells carried out on images as shown in (K). Means ± SEM.

(M) Cells as in (H), labeled for YAP (green), CAVEOLIN2 (CAV2) (red), and DAPI (blue). Zoomed-out image is in [Figure S1](#page-12-4)E. Arrows: examples of Y/T KO cells. Scale bars in (I), (K), and (M) are 15 μ m. Further related is [Figures S7N](#page-12-4)-S7R.

⁽B and C) YAP/TAZ KO cells (Y/T KO) (B) and LATS1/2 KO (L1/L2 KO) (C) labeled and imaged as cells in (A).

⁽D) Dot plot of quantified CAV1 levels from images, as shown in (A)–(C). In Y/T KO (red), WT (black), and L1/L2 KO (blue) cells, each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM.

⁽E) Dot plot of CAVIN1 levels from images as shown in [Figure S1](#page-12-4)B. Means \pm SEM.

⁽H) Mixed cell culture of Y/T KO and WT HEK293A cells were fixed and labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAV1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: examples of Y/T KO cells. Note, cells with no YAP/TAZ signal have low CAV1 signal. Scale bar represents 30 μ m.

⁽J) Dot plot of CAV1 levels in mixed cell populations of Y/T KO and WT cells analyzed in images as shown in (H). Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM. (K) Mixed cell population as in (H) labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: examples of Y/T KO cells. Zoomed-out image is in [Figure S1D](#page-12-4).

Figure 2. CAV1 and CAVIN1 Expression Depend on YAP/TAZ-TEAD Interaction

(A) qPCR analysis of established YAP/TAZ targets. mRNA from Y/T KO and L1/L2 KO HEK293A cells compared to WT HEK293A cells ([Figures S2](#page-12-4)F and S2I). Means \pm SD.

(B) qPCR data as in (A), analyzed for the expression of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* [\(Figures S2](#page-12-4)F and S2I). Means ± SD.

(C) Verteporfin-treated WT HEK293A cells analyzed by qPCR. Inhibition of the interaction between YAP-TEAD reduces expression of caveolar genes. Means \pm SD.

(legend continued on next page)

YAP-ChIP assays in both WT and shTEAD cells. In a TEADdependent manner, regions in both the *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* promoters were enriched by antibodies targeting YAP ([Figures](#page-6-0) [3](#page-6-0)I and 3J). These experiments indicate that the TEAD-YAP complex physically binds to promoters of both essential caveolar genes, *CAVIN1* and *CAV1*.

To explore whether regulation of *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* expression by YAP/TAZ-TEAD is a general phenomenon, we used data from cancer cell line encyclopedia (CCLE) [[30](#page-13-6)] and conducted pairwise bioinformatic analysis of *CYR61* and *CTGF*, *CAVIN1*, or *CAV1* expression, respectively [\(Figure 4A](#page-7-0)). A strong positive correlation between the well-established YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes *CTGF*/*CYR61* as well as for *CAV1*/*CYR61* and *CAVIN1*/*CYR61* was apparent. However, there was no correlation between expression of *CYR61* and *FLOTILLIN2* (*FLO2*), another plasma membrane protein [\[31\]](#page-13-7), or *HPRT1*, a housekeeping gene [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)A).

These data show that YAP/TAZ regulate caveolar genes and induce TEAD-mediated *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* gene expression and that *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* are direct transcriptional targets of this complex.

YAP/TAZ Activity Dictates Caveolar Protein Levels

To investigate whether the YAP/TAZ-TEAD transcriptional complex is essential for the expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1 in other cells, we chose the osteosarcoma cell line U2OS. U2OS cells express high levels of the YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes *CTGF* and *CYR61* as well as high levels of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* [\(Fig](#page-12-4)[ure S5](#page-12-4)A). Importantly, although YAP and TAZ are predominantly nuclear in unstimulated U2OS cells, they do have a functional Hippo pathway [\[23\]](#page-13-3). We attempted generating YAP/TAZ KO U2OS cells but were unsuccessful, potentially due to essential YAP/TAZ roles for cell survival in this cancer cell line. We therefore generated genome-edited single YAP and TAZ KO cell lines ([Figure S5D](#page-12-4)) with either knockdown of TAZ (shTAZ) or of YAP (shYAP) [\(Figures 4B](#page-7-0) and 4C). In U2OS cells, as in HEK293A cells, loss of YAP and TAZ resulted in loss of CAV1 and CAVIN1 expression ([Figures 4B](#page-7-0), 4C, and [S5](#page-12-4)B).

Neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) (also known as merlin) [[1–4\]](#page-12-0) is the most common mutated Hippo pathway tumor suppressor gene. NF2 acts as an upstream activator of the kinase cascade and therefore as an inhibitor of YAP/TAZ [\[6, 7](#page-12-1)]. We deleted NF2 by CRISPR genome editing in U2OS cells to investigate whether this would increase CAVIN1 and CAV1 expression. As

predicted, this was indeed the case [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)D). Analyzing NF2 KO cells by IF, it was evident that YAP/TAZ localization was increased in the nucleus compared to WT U2OS cells [\(Figures](#page-7-0) [4](#page-7-0)E–4G). Re-introduction of NF2 into NF2-deficient U2OS cells rescued the phosphorylation state and thus activity of YAP [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ures 4](#page-7-0)H and 4I), as well as the mRNA expression and protein levels of CYR61, CAVIN1, and CAV1 ([Figures 4](#page-7-0)I and 4J). To examine whether this transcriptional regulation was mediated via TEADs, we generated shTEAD, shYAP, and shTAZ NF2 KO U2OS cell lines and compared those to controls ([Figures 4](#page-7-0)K and [S5B](#page-12-4)). Consistent with previous results, the expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1 was also dependent on TEADs in U2OS WT cells ([Figures S5B](#page-12-4) and S5C). These results demonstrate that TEADs are required for expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1 in U2OS as in HEK293A cells.

YAP/TAZ double KO is embryonic lethal in both mice [\[32, 33\]](#page-13-8) and zebrafish [\[34\]](#page-13-9). To explore whether YAP/TAZ regulation of caveolar proteins is conserved across species and within cells of a living organism, we analyzed 12-somite-stage Y/T KO zebrafish as well as age- and background-matched WT embryos. The samples were processed for electron microscopy (EM), and we quantified the abundance of epidermal caveolae. There was a striking decrease in the abundance of epidermal caveolae in Y/T KO embryos ([Figures 4](#page-7-0)L–4N). To examine whether this lack of caveolae was due to decreased transcriptional activity of YAP/TAZ target genes, we examined the expression levels of *cavin1* and *cav1* as well as of *cyr61* and *ctgf* in whole fish embryos [\(Figure 4O](#page-7-0)) and observed a substantial downregulation of all four genes in Y/T mutant embryos. Cumulatively, these data suggest that YAP/TAZ-TEAD activity is broadly critical for caveolae expression within vertebrates.

CAVIN1 and CAV1 Regulate YAP/TAZ Activity

Although understanding of the external inputs and cell surface components for the Hippo pathway is incomplete, in many contexts, Hippo signaling and its effectors are under feedback control [[7, 35\]](#page-12-2). With this premise and having established that Hippo signaling directly regulates caveolar gene expression, we investigated whether caveolae modulate the Hippo pathway. We initially generated CAV1 knockdown U2OS cells. These cells showed an upregulation of CTGF and CYR61 ([Figures 5](#page-9-0)A, 5D, and 5E) protein expression as well as a decrease in the inhibitory pYAP-S127-phosphorylation [\(Figure 5](#page-9-0)A). The lowered protein levels of the cavin proteins (CAVIN1 and CAVIN2), upon

⁽D) Western blots of lysates from Y/T KO cells stably re-expressing either control plasmid, myc-tagged WT, or S94A mutant YAP. l.e., long exposure; s.e., short exposure. Note, re-expression of WT YAP, but not S94A mutant, deficient in TEAD binding, restores expression of caveolar as well as established YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes, *CYR61* and *LATS2*.

⁽E and F) Mixed population of Y/T KO cells expressing myc-tagged YAP or empty vector [\(Figure S3](#page-12-4)C) or mixed population of Y/T KO cells expressing myc-tagged S94A YAP or empty vector and labeled for myc (red), CAV1 (green), and DAPI (blue; F).

⁽G and H) Mixed cell culture of Y/T KO cells expressing either vector control or myc-tagged YAP [\(Figure S3D](#page-12-4)) or mixed cell culture of Y/T KO cells expressing either vector control or myc-tagged S94A YAP (H). Myc (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue) are shown.

Arrows in (E)–(H) highlight examples of myc-YAP-expressing cells. Scale bars (E)–(H) represent 15 µm. Note, only YAP WT induces expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1.

⁽I) Dot plot of CAV1 levels from images, as shown in (E) and (F). Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM.

⁽J) Dot plot of CAVIN1 levels from images, as shown in (G) and (H). Each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM.

⁽K) Dot plot of CAV1 levels from mixed Y/T KO cell population expressing vector control and TAZ (WT) or TAZ (S51A) labeled for CAV1 and TAZ from images as shown in [Figures S3E](#page-12-4) and S3F.

⁽L and M) qPCR data from myc-tagged WT or S94A YAP compared to vector control expressing Y/T KO cells (L) and WT or S51A TAZ compared to vector control expressing Y/T KO cells levels (M). Means \pm SD.

Figure 3. CAV1 and CAVIN1 Are Direct YAP/TAZ-TEAD Target Genes

(A) Western blots of lysates from shTEADs and shCon HEK293A cells.

(B) Mixed cell population of shTEADs and shCon HEK293A cells labeled for DAPI (blue), CAV1 (red), and TEAD1 (green). Discrete cell populations are shown in [Figure S4A](#page-12-4) (see also [Figure S4B](#page-12-4)).

(C) Mixed cell population of shTEADs and shCon HEK293A cells labeled for DAPI (blue), TEAD1 (red), and CAVIN1 (green). Discrete cell populations are shown in [Figure S4D](#page-12-4).

Arrows in (B) and (C): examples of shTEADs cells. Scale bars in (B) and (C) represent 15 μ m.

(D and E) Dot plot of CAV1 levels from images, as shown in (B) [\(Figure S4](#page-12-4)C; D) and dot plot of CAVIN1 levels from images, as shown in (C) ([Figure S4E](#page-12-4); E). Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM.

(F) qPCR data from cells as in (A) (related to [Figure S4](#page-12-4)F). Means \pm SD.

(G) YAP drives CAVIN1 and CAV1 promoter activity. Luciferase reporters were generated carrying either a short (-500 to +200 bp), or long (-1,907 to +200 bp) fragment of the CAV1 promoter region or a fragment (-1,250 to +150 bp) of the CAVIN1 promoter region (related to [Figure S4G](#page-12-4)). The reporters were introduced into Y/T KO HEK293A cells together with either a YAP or a vector control plasmid. Note that only the long *CAV1* form contains the predicted TEAD recognition motifs. Means \pm SD.

(H) Real-time PCR analysis of TEAD1 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) in HEK293A cells. The precipitated DNA was quantitated using primers specific for a promoter region or a control in-gene (Ing) region. Data are means ± SD of triplicates from a representative experiment. Endogenous TEAD1 binds to both *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* promoters.

(I and J) YAP ChIP for *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* in shCon HEK293A cells (I) and in shTEADs HEK293A cells (J). Endogenous YAP binds to both *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* promoters in a TEAD-dependent manner.

⁽legend on next page)

knockdown of CAV1, is most likely due to diminished stability of cavin proteins in CAV1-deficient cells ([Figures 5](#page-9-0)A, [S6](#page-12-4)A, and S6B), consistent with previous reports [[18](#page-13-1)]. To investigate whether the hyperactivity of YAP/TAZ was due to paracrine effects, we generated mixed cell cultures of shCAV1 and control cells and processed them in parallel for IF. Using antibodies against both CAV1 and YAP/TAZ allowed us to discriminate between these populations of cells [\(Figures 5](#page-9-0)B and [S6B](#page-12-4)). YAP/TAZ nuclear localization was more pronounced in cells deficient of CAV1 ([Figures 5](#page-9-0)B and 5C). This shows that the shCAV1-mediated YAP/TAZ hyperactivity is due to cell-intrinsic events.

To investigate whether the upregulation of *CYR61* and *CTGF* in caveolae-deficient cells was caused by increased YAP/TAZ-TEAD transcriptional activity, we prepared qPCR samples of both shCAV1 and shCAVIN1 cells and compared those to control cells [\(Figures 5D](#page-9-0) and 5G). In both shCAV1 and shCAVIN1 cells, an upregulation of YAP/TAZ-TEAD transcriptional activity was evident. Importantly, nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio of YAP/ TAZ, as well as their transcriptional activity, was restored to WT levels upon re-expression of CAV1 ([Figures 5H](#page-9-0)-5J and [S5](#page-12-4)E). Together, these data indicate caveolar impact on YAP/ TAZ-TEAD activity.

To probe further the concept of caveolae as regulators of YAP/ TAZ activity, we examined HEK293A cells with knockdown of *CAVIN1* and *CAV1*. Lowering either CAV1 or CAVIN1 expression caused markedly increased levels of CYR61 expression [\(Fig](#page-12-4)[ure S5F](#page-12-4)). We explored whether this increase was due to transcriptional upregulation and identified that YAP/TAZ target genes indeed were increased in cells with lowered CAV1 expression ([Figure S5](#page-12-4)G), as was the case for U2OS cells ([Figures 5](#page-9-0)D and 5F). This suggests caveolae as an upstream negative regulator of YAP/TAZ. To examine whether this function is conserved *in vivo*, we utilized *cav1/;cav3/* mutant zebrafish, which lack caveolae [[12](#page-12-5)]. We developed a labeling procedure that allowed us to examine YAP localization in the epidermis of 48 hr postfertilization (hpf) embryos [\(Figure 6](#page-10-0)A). There was a clear increase in the YAP nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio in *cav1^{-/-};cav3^{-/-}* mutant embryos compared to WT ([Figures 6A](#page-10-0) and 6B). As YAP/TAZ mutant embryos do not survive until 48 hpf [\[34\]](#page-13-9) and we therefore could not fully verify our YAP antibody specificity at this developmental stage, we furthermore utilized a GFPtagged, TEAD-binding-deficient YAP construct (GFP-YAP-S54A), expressed from an epithelial promoter, and introduced it into *cav1/;cav3/* mutant and WT embryos. This YAP mutant construct was co-expressed with H2A-mCherrry to allow for visualization of the nucleus ([Figure 6C](#page-10-0)). In the fish embryos, we measured a significant increase in YAP nuclear to cytoplasmic localization in *cav1/;cav3/* compared to WT [\(Figures 6](#page-10-0)C and 6D). Moreover, we examined whether the human TEAD-binding-deficient YAP counterpart (YAP-S94A) was sensitive to well-known YAP stimuli, such as serum stimulation [\[23\]](#page-13-3), which was indeed the case ([Figure S5](#page-12-4)H). The co-expression of GFP-YAP-S54A and H2A-mCherry in the embryos therefore allowed us to validate our endogenous embryonic YAP labeling data ([Figures 6A](#page-10-0)–6D). In addition, embryos from *cav1/;cav3/* mutants were compared to WT and we observed a marked increase in *cyr61* and *ctgf* expression [\(Fig](#page-10-0)[ure 6E](#page-10-0)). Cumulatively, these data demonstrate YAP/TAZ-TEAD activity is augmented upon caveolae deficiency, and this effect is conserved across species and *in vivo*.

Caveolae Facilitate YAP/TAZ-Mediated Flow Response

As both caveolae [\[9\]](#page-12-3) and the Hippo pathway [\[36–38](#page-13-10)] mediate cellular responses from shear stress, we set out to examine whether caveolae and the Hippo pathway are mechanistically linked in this process. We prepared cell lysates from confluent WT HEK293A cells grown under a range of constant flow rates (0–4.7 \times 10^{–5} Dyn/cm²) for 18 hr and analyzed YAP/TAZ activity. Specifically, we measured the phosphorylation status of YAP and the expression of CYR61 and compared these cells to Y/T KO cells grown under shear stress. We identified a flow-dependent increase in YAP/TAZ activity, as noted by the dephosphorylation of YAP ([Figure 7A](#page-11-0)), and increased protein expression of TAZ and CYR61 ([Figure 7](#page-11-0)B). As 2.1 \times 10⁻⁵ Dyn/cm² is sufficient to activate YAP/TAZ ([Figures 7A](#page-11-0) and 7B), and well within the range of physiological shear stress [[39, 40](#page-13-11)], we chose this level for the remaining shear stress experiments. As expected, YAP nuclear localization [\(Figures 7](#page-11-0)C and 7D) and transcription of *CYR61* and *CTGF* were increased in cells that had experienced

Figure 4. YAP/TAZ Activity Dictates Caveolar Protein Levels

- (B) Western blots from YAP KO U2OS cells with stable shRNA-induced knockdown of *TAZ* or control ([Figure S5D](#page-12-4)).
- (C) Western blots from TAZ KO U2OS cells with stable knockdown of *YAP* or control ([Figure S5](#page-12-4)D).

- (H) Cell lysates from WT, NF2 KO cells exogenously re-expressing NF2, or NF2 KO control cells were separated in a PhosTag gel and probed for YAP.
- (I) Lysates, as in (H), separated on a conventional SDS-gel and processed for western blotting.

- (N) Quantification of caveolae from micrographs; each dot represents data from one fish embryo. Means \pm SEM.
- (O) qPCR analysis of zebrafish from 5 embryos of each genotype. Means \pm SD.

⁽A) Dot plots of *in silico* bioinformatics analysis of data obtained from cancer cell line encyclopedia (CCLE) in cell lines from 967 subjects. The analysis revealed strong positive correlation between established YAP/TAZ target genes (*CYR61* and *CTGF*) as well as between *CYR61* and *CAV1* or *CAVIN1*. However, there was no correlation for *HPRT1* or for another endolysosomal gene, such as *FLOTILLIN2* (*FLO2*), serving as negative controls ([Figure S5](#page-12-4)A). R values were calculated for each correlation; p < 0.05; Pearson's correlation coefficient.

⁽D) Western blots from NF2 KO and WT U2OS cells ([Figure S5](#page-12-4)B).

⁽E and F) Confocal image of U2OS WT (E) and NF2 KO cells labeled for YAP/TAZ (green) and DAPI (blue; F). Scale bars represent 20 mm.

⁽G) Dot blots of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio of YAP/TAZ, quantified of images as in (E) and (F). Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM.

⁽J) qPCR data from NF2 re-expressors, relative to NF2 KO U2OS control cells. NF2 re-expression reduces expression of *CYR61*, *CAV1*, and *CAVIN1*. Means ± SD. (K) qPCR data from NF2 KO U2OS shTEADs relative to control cells. *TEAD* knockdown reduces expression of *CYR61*, *CAV1*, and *CAVIN1*. Means ± SD ([Figures S5](#page-12-4)B and S5C).

⁽L) Micrographs from epidermis cells from WT zebrafish embryos. Arrows: examples of caveolae at the plasma membrane.

⁽M) Micrographs from epidermis cells from mutant (*yap^{-/-}:taz^{-/-}*) zebrafish embryos show no caveolae. Scale bars in (L) and (M) represent 500 nm.

Figure 5. CAV1 Is a Negative Regulator of YAP/TAZ

(A) Western blot analysis of shRNA-induced *CAV1* knockdown or control U2OS cells. Note higher expression of CTGF and CYR61 in *CAV1* knockdown cells. (B) Confocal image of mixed cell population of shCAV1 and shCon cells labeled for YAP/TAZ (green), CAV1 (red), and DAPI (blue; [Figures S6](#page-12-4)A and S6B). Arrows: examples of shCAV1 cells. Scale bar represents 20 μ m.

(C) Dot plots of quantification of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio of YAP/TAZ from images as in (B). Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM.

(D) qPCR analysis of shCAV1 cells. Means \pm SD.

(E) Western blots from U2OS shCon and shCAV1 cell lysates from three independent experiments (nos. 1–3).

(F) Quantification from blots in (E) of normalized CYR61 values in U2OS shCAV1 cells compared to shCon cells. Student's t test. Means ± SEM; shCAV1 cells have higher levels of CYR61 than control cells.

(G) qPCR analysis of shCAVIN1 U2OS cells compared to control. Means \pm SD.

(H) Confocal image of mixed cell population of shCAV1 (empty vector) and shCAV1 (CAV1-GFP re-expressor) cells, labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), GFP (green), and DAPI (blue). Re-expression of CAV1 in shCAV1 cells rescues hyperactivation of YAP (related to [Figures S5](#page-12-4)E, [S6D](#page-12-4), S6E, S6I, and [S7](#page-12-4)D–S7K). Scale bar represents 10 μ m.

(I) Dot plot of quantification of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio of YAP/TAZ from images as in (E). Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM.

(J) qPCR analysis of CAV1-GFP-expressing shCAV1 cell line compared to control shCAV1. Means ± SD. Further related to this figure are [Figures S5](#page-12-4)G and S5F.

Figure 6. CAVEOLINs Are Negative Regulators of YAP/TAZ In Vivo

(A) Images of epithelial cells from WT and *cav1//cav3/* 48 hpf zebrafish embryos labeled for Hoechst (blue) and YAP (red). Note the increased nuclear YAP localization in $cav1^{-/-}/cav3^{-/-}$ cells. Scale bars represent 25 μ m.

(B) Quantification of cellular nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio from *cav1//cav3/* superficial epidermal cells compared to WT. Each dot represents one cell. $n > 100$. Means + SFM.

(C) Images from *cav1//cav3/* and WT zebrafish embryos expressing epidermal EGFP-yap S54A (Tead-binding-deficient zebrafish yap mutant) and H2AmCherry (nuclear marker). Note the predominantly nuclear YAP localization in the *cav1^{-/-}/cav3^{-/-}* epidermal cells compared to WT. Scale bars represent 20 µm. (D) Quantification of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio from $cav1^{-/-}/cav3^{-/-}$ epidermal cells compared to WT. Each dot represents one cell. n > 50 from each genotype. Means \pm SEM (related to [Figure S5H](#page-12-4)).

(E) qPCR analysis from four-day-old *cav1//cav3/* and WT zebrafish embryos. Means ± SD.

shear stress ([Figure 7](#page-11-0)E). The induction of the YAP/TAZ target *CYR61* upon flow is therefore a suitable assay to study the shear-stress-mediated regulation of the Hippo pathway. To examine whether the activation of YAP/TAZ upon flow is mediated via caveolae, we asked whether the flow-mediated induction was different between WT cells and cells with reduced CAV1 expression levels. It was apparent that the fold induction of CYR61 protein expression upon flow was markedly reduced (by 40%) in shCAV1 cells [\(Figures 7](#page-11-0)F, 7G, and [S6](#page-12-4)F). Indeed, in cells with efficient reduction of CAV1, the shear-stress-mediated induction of YAP/TAZ activity was diminished, as determined by the mRNA levels of *CTGF* and *CYR61* ([Figure 7H](#page-11-0)). To further gain insights into the underlying mechanisms and establish whether this process was mediated via paracrine factors, we generated CAV1 KO cells [\(Figures S6](#page-12-4)G, S6H, and [S7](#page-12-4)A–S7C). By a mixed cell culture assay, we determined the localization of YAP in CAV1 KO and WT cells. YAP was more nuclear at steady state in CAV1 KO cells [\(Figure 7](#page-11-0)I), and the flow-induced nuclear translocation of YAP was decreased in CAV1 KO cells compared to WT cells [\(Figure 7J](#page-11-0)). Furthermore, shear stress induced an increase of the YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes *CTGF* and *CYR61* to a higher extent in WT compared to the CAV1 KO cells [\(Fig](#page-11-0)[ure 7](#page-11-0)G). Importantly, the re-introduction of CAV1 into CAV1 KO cells rescued this phenotype ([Figures S6](#page-12-4)I and [S7D](#page-12-4)–S7M). CAV1 deficiency in L1/L2 KO did not further increase YAP/TAZ activity levels [\(Figures S7](#page-12-4)N–S7R), consistent with saturated YAP/TAZ activity in L1/L2 KO cells [\(Figures 1](#page-2-0)C-1G, [S1](#page-12-4)F, and [S2](#page-12-4)E) [[6, 7](#page-12-1)]. These data show that YAP/TAZ are activated upon shear stress, that YAP/TAZ are hyperactivated in cells without caveolae, and that shear-stress-mediated activation of YAP/ TAZ is facilitated by caveolae.

DISCUSSION

The Hippo pathway is recognized as critical for multiple cellular, developmental, and homeostatic processes [\[1–5\]](#page-12-0). Due to its central role in many biological contexts, tight regulation of this pathway is required and a variety of feedback mechanisms have evolved [\[7, 35\]](#page-12-2). In this study, we find reciprocal relationships between caveolar function and Hippo signaling. Specifically, we show that YAP/TAZ-TEAD activity directly regulates transcription of components that comprise caveolae. Conversely, loss of caveolae results in Hippo kinase cascade inactivation and thus increased YAP/TAZ-TEAD activity.

Flow sensing plays major roles in multiple cell types, especially during development [[39, 40](#page-13-11)], in the cardiovascular system [\[40\]](#page-13-12), in the kidney $[39]$, and elsewhere $[41]$. The transduction of shear stress into cells has previously been shown to depend, at least

Figure 7. Caveolae Facilitate YAP/TAZ-Mediated Flow Response

(A) Confluent HEK293A cells were kept in chambers with different flow speeds for 18 hr. YAP/TAZ KO cells were kept at high flow only. Western blot of cell lysates separated on a PhosTag gel and probed for YAP is shown. Note the dephosphorylation (downshift of YAP) and therefore predicted activation of YAP upon flow.

(B) Western blots of cell lysates as in (A). Note the increase in CYR61 protein upon flow in WT cells. (C) Confocal images of cells grown without $(-)$ or with flow at 2.1 \times 10⁻⁵ Dyn/cm² (+). Cells labeled for YAP (green) and CAV1 (red) are shown. Scale bar represents $20 \mu m$.

(D) Dot plot representing quantification of nuclearto-cytoplasmic ratio of YAP/TAZ localization from images as in (C). Each dot represents one cell. Means + SFM.

(E) qPCR analysis of HEK293A WT cells kept at either 0 or 2.1×10^{-5} Dyn/cm². *CTGF* and *CYR61* mRNA were increased upon flow. Means \pm SD.

(F) Western blot of cell lysates from either control or shCAV1 cells kept at either 0 ($-$ flow) or at 2.1 \times 10^{-5} Dyn/cm² (+ flow). Note induction of CYR61 upon flow in WT cells (related to [Figures S5F](#page-12-4), S5G, and [S6](#page-12-4)F).

(G) Quantification of CYR61 levels from western blots as in (F), normalized to HSP90 levels, $n = 3$; means \pm SD.

(H) Relative fold induction of target gene expression in shCAV1 upon flow compared to control cells. Means ± SD.

(I) Dot plots of YAP localization from images of cells at steady state. Each dot represents one cell. Means ± SEM.

(J) Dot plots of normalized values of flow-induced $(2.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Dyn/cm}^2)$ YAP nuclear localization. Each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM.

(K) Relative fold induction of target gene expression in two separate CAV1 KO clones upon flow was compared to control cells. Means ± SD. Flowmediated induction of *CTGF* and *CYR61* is CAV1 dependent.

Further related to this figure are [Figures S6G](#page-12-4), S6H, and [S7](#page-12-4)A–S7C.

in part, on caveolae [[9, 11](#page-12-3)]. Still, hitherto how caveolae mediate flow sensing and other types of mechanotransduction to the interior of the cell is not well understood [\[9–14](#page-12-3)]. Related, shear stress and other mechanical perturbations can affect Hippo signaling [8, 36-38]. Mechanistic insights into how the Hippo pathway is regulated by shear stress and how this cellular response is conferred has been limited [[36–38\]](#page-13-10). We observed an upregulation of the matricellular remodeling factors CTGF and CYR61 with increased fluid flow. Notably, we identified that the induction of these YAP/TAZ-TEAD-dependent target genes [[24\]](#page-13-4) by shear stress is partly caveolae dependent. Although a complete understanding of this process remains to be determined, we show that shear stress transduction mediated by caveolae is regulated via LATS-mediated inhibitory

phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ. Upon shear stress, the caveolaefacilitated activation of the Hippo kinase cascade is blunted. Consequently, caveolae-depleted cells are less sensitive to shear-stress-mediated YAP/TAZ activation. As caveolae are known to buffer cells from mechanical stress [9-14, 42], the feedforward upregulation of caveolar components by YAP/TAZ may represent a protective response.

The connection between caveolar function and Hippo signaling may extend beyond transduction of shear stress. For example, both caveolae and the Hippo pathway also play central roles in metabolic signaling [\[19, 22, 43, 44\]](#page-13-14), regeneration [[45, 46](#page-13-15)], and cancers [\[1, 17](#page-12-0)]. One aspect that merits highlighting is that loss-of-function mutations within the muscle-specific caveolin isoform CAV3 [\[47\]](#page-13-16) or CAVIN1 [\[48](#page-13-17)] causes muscular dystrophy.

The Hippo pathway is a potent regulator of muscle cells [[49–51\]](#page-13-18), and intriguingly, there are overlapping gene sets between those upregulated in muscular dystrophy, caused by *CAVIN1* or *CAV3* mutations, and those driven by deregulation of muscular YAP/ TAZ-TEAD transcription [[48–51\]](#page-13-17). It might therefore be worth pursuing to examine the state of the Hippo pathway within caveolae-deficient muscular dystrophy patients. Targeting the Hippo pathway within these patients may allow for therapeutic intervention [[1–5](#page-12-0)]. It is noteworthy that the decrease of caveolar proteins is very dramatic upon YAP/TAZ loss of function, whereas overexpression of YAP/TAZ only modestly increases *CAV1* and *CAVEOLIN1*. It thus appears that YAP/TAZ are essential but might not be sufficient to drive expression of caveolar genes in all scenarios. We anticipate that additional factors regulating nuclear YAP/TAZ-TEAD activity, such as VGLL4 [\[52\]](#page-14-0), MRTF-SRF [\[53, 54](#page-14-1)], AP1 [\[55, 56\]](#page-14-2), and SWI/SNF [[57, 58\]](#page-14-3), might also play a role in regulating caveolar gene expression. The direct and reciprocal link between caveolae and the Hippo pathway provided here paves the way for further explorations into the biology of these little caves and this potent signaling pathway.

STAR+METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **EXECUTE AND [KEY RESOURCES TABLE](#page-15-0)**
- **. [CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING](#page-17-0)**
- d [EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS](#page-17-1)
	- B *In vitro* studies: cell lines
	- B *In vivo* studies: zebrafish
- **O** [METHOD DETAILS](#page-17-2)
	- \circ Generation of knockdown (KD), knockout (KO), and reexpression cell lines
	- \circ Transformation
	- \circ Western blotting
	- \circ RT-qPCR and Primers
	- \circ Chromatin immunoprecipitation qPCR (ChIP-qPCR)
	- \circ Immunofluorescence (IF) Microscopy
	- \circ Verteporfin treatment
	- \circ Proximal promoter cloning and luciferase assay
	- \circ Flow studies
	- \circ Zebrafish Yap localization reporter
	- \circ Transmission Electron Microscopy (EM)
- **. [QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS](#page-20-0)**

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes seven figures, three tables, and one data file and can be found with this article online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.066) [2018.11.066.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.066)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work on-going in the Gram Hansen lab is supported by a University of Edinburgh Chancellor's Fellowship and start-up fund as well as by the Wellcome Trust-University of Edinburgh Institutional Strategic Support Fund (ISSF3). J.P. is funded by a MRC Precision Medicine DTP Studentship. The Link lab is supported by NIH grant R01EY14167. Y.F. is supported by a Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale Fellowship grant WT100104/Z/12/Z. We furthermore acknowledge lab members, especially Omar Salem, for helping with cell culturing and for insightful comments on this study. We acknowledge the technical support and guidance provided by the Centre for Reproductive Health SuRF Histology, Imaging and qPCR Facility staff as well as the QMRI Flow Cytometry and Cell Sorting Facility staff. Jørgen Vinten (Panum, Copenhagen) is acknowledged for sharing the CAV1 antibody. The Zhang lab is acknowledged for sharing CRISPR vectors. Sonja Vermeren (University of Edinburgh) is thanked for sharing *E. coli* used for tranformations. Hassan Rashidi (UCL) is acknowledged for expert advice in setting up the flow system. Michel Bagnat (Duke University Medical Center) is acknowledged for generously providing *cav1;cav3* double homozygous mutant fish.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, C.G.H.; Methodology, C.G.H., V.R., Y.F., D.C.H., and B.A.L.; Investigation, C.G.H., V.R., Y.F., J.R.B., J.P., I.R.B., and B.A.L.; Writing – Original Draft, C.G.H., V.R., and B.A.L.; Writing – Review & Editing, C.G.H., V.R., Y.F., J.P., I.R.B., and B.A.L.; Funding Acquisition, C.G.H., Y.F., and B.A.L.; Resources, C.G.H. and B.A.L.; Supervision, C.G.H., Y.F., D.C.H., and B.A.L.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: September 11, 2017 Revised: September 27, 2018 Accepted: November 28, 2018 Published: December 27, 2018

REFERENCES

- 1. [Moroishi, T., Hansen, C.G., and Guan, K.L. \(2015\). The emerging roles of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref1) [YAP and TAZ in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref1) *15*, 73–79.
- 2. [Johnson, R., and Halder, G. \(2014\). The two faces of Hippo: targeting the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref2) [Hippo pathway for regenerative medicine and cancer treatment. Nat. Rev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref2) [Drug Discov.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref2) *13*, 63–79.
- 3. [Yu, F.X., Zhao, B., and Guan, K.L. \(2015\). Hippo pathway in organ size](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref3) [control, tissue homeostasis, and cancer. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref3) *163*, 811–828.
- 4. [Gomez, M., Gomez, V., and Hergovich, A. \(2014\). The Hippo pathway in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref4) [disease and therapy: cancer and beyond. Clin. Transl. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref4) *3*, 22.
- 5. [Park, J.H., Shin, J.E., and Park, H.W. \(2018\). The role of Hippo pathway in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref5) [cancer stem cell biology. Mol. Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref5) *41*, 83–92.
- 6. [Meng, Z., Moroishi, T., and Guan, K.L. \(2016\). Mechanisms of Hippo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref6) [pathway regulation. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref6) *30*, 1–17.
- 7. [Hansen, C.G., Moroishi, T., and Guan, K.L. \(2015\). YAP and TAZ: a nexus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref7) [for Hippo signaling and beyond. Trends Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref7) *25*, 499–513.
- 8. [Panciera, T., Azzolin, L., Cordenonsi, M., and Piccolo, S. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref8) [Mechanobiology of YAP and TAZ in physiology and disease. Nat. Rev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref8) [Mol. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref8) *18*, 758–770.
- 9. [Yu, J., Bergaya, S., Murata, T., Alp, I.F., Bauer, M.P., Lin, M.I., Drab, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref9) [Kurzchalia, T.V., Stan, R.V., and Sessa, W.C. \(2006\). Direct evidence for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref9) [the role of caveolin-1 and caveolae in mechanotransduction and remodel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref9)[ing of blood vessels. J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref9) *116*, 1284–1291.
- 10. [Corrotte, M., Almeida, P.E., Tam, C., Castro-Gomes, T., Fernandes, M.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref10) [Millis, B.A., Cortez, M., Miller, H., Song, W., Maugel, T.K., and Andrews,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref10) [N.W. \(2013\). Caveolae internalization repairs wounded cells and muscle fi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref10)[bers. eLife](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref10) *2*, e00926.
- 11. [Cheng, J.P., Mendoza-Topaz, C., Howard, G., Chadwick, J., Shvets, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref11) [Cowburn, A.S., Dunmore, B.J., Crosby, A., Morrell, N.W., and Nichols,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref11) [B.J. \(2015\). Caveolae protect endothelial cells from membrane rupture](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref11) [during increased cardiac output. J. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref11) *211*, 53–61.
- 12. [Garcia, J., Bagwell, J., Njaine, B., Norman, J., Levic, D.S., Wopat, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref12) [Miller, S.E., Liu, X., Locasale, J.W., Stainier, D.Y.R., et al. \(2017\). Sheath](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref12) [cell invasion and trans-differentiation repair mechanical damage caused](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref12)

[by loss of caveolae in the zebrafish notochord. Curr. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref12) *27*, 1982– [1989.e3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref12).

- 13. Sinha, B., Kö[ster, D., Ruez, R., Gonnord, P., Bastiani, M., Abankwa, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref13) [Stan, R.V., Butler-Browne, G., Vedie, B., Johannes, L., et al. \(2011\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref13) [Cells respond to mechanical stress by rapid disassembly of caveolae.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref13) Cell *144*[, 402–413](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref13).
- 14. Gervá[sio, O.L., Phillips, W.D., Cole, L., and Allen, D.G. \(2011\). Caveolae](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref14) [respond to cell stretch and contribute to stretch-induced signaling.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref14) J. Cell Sci. *124*[, 3581–3590](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref14).
- 15. [Joshi, B., Bastiani, M., Strugnell, S.S., Boscher, C., Parton, R.G., and Nabi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref15) [I.R. \(2012\). Phosphocaveolin-1 is a mechanotransducer that induces cav](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref15)[eola biogenesis via Egr1 transcriptional regulation. J. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref15) *199*, [425–435](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref15).
- 16. [Hansen, C.G., and Nichols, B.J. \(2010\). Exploring the caves: cavins, cav](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref16)[eolins and caveolae. Trends Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref16) *20*, 177–186.
- 17. [Parton, R.G. \(2018\). Caveolae: structure, function, and relationship to dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref17)[ease. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref17) *34*, 111–136.
- 18. [Hansen, C.G., Shvets, E., Howard, G., Riento, K., and Nichols, B.J. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref18) [Deletion of cavin genes reveals tissue-specific mechanisms for morpho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref18)[genesis of endothelial caveolae. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref18) *4*, 1831.
- 19. [Liu, L., Brown, D., McKee, M., Lebrasseur, N.K., Yang, D., Albrecht, K.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref19) [Ravid, K., and Pilch, P.F. \(2008\). Deletion of Cavin/PTRF causes global](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref19) [loss of caveolae, dyslipidemia, and glucose intolerance. Cell Metab.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref19) *8*, [310–317](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref19).
- 20. [Hill, M.M., Bastiani, M., Luetterforst, R., Kirkham, M., Kirkham, A., Nixon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref20) [S.J., Walser, P., Abankwa, D., Oorschot, V.M., Martin, S., et al. \(2008\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref20) [PTRF-Cavin, a conserved cytoplasmic protein required for caveola forma](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref20)[tion and function. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref20) *132*, 113–124.
- 21. [Hansen, C.G., Bright, N.A., Howard, G., and Nichols, B.J. \(2009\). SDPR in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref21)[duces membrane curvature and functions in the formation of caveolae.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref21) [Nat. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref21) *11*, 807–814.
- 22. [Hansen, C.G., Ng, Y.L., Lam, W.L., Plouffe, S.W., and Guan, K.L. \(2015\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref22) [The Hippo pathway effectors YAP and TAZ promote cell growth by modu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref22)[lating amino acid signaling to mTORC1. Cell Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref22) *25*, 1299–1313.
- 23. [Yu, F.X., Zhao, B., Panupinthu, N., Jewell, J.L., Lian, I., Wang, L.H., Zhao,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref23) [J., Yuan, H., Tumaneng, K., Li, H., et al. \(2012\). Regulation of the Hippo-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref23)[YAP pathway by G-protein-coupled receptor signaling. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref23) *150*, 780–791.
- 24. [Zhao, B., Ye, X., Yu, J., Li, L., Li, W., Li, S., Yu, J., Lin, J.D., Wang, C.Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref24) [Chinnaiyan, A.M., et al. \(2008\). TEAD mediates YAP-dependent gene in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref24)[duction and growth control. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref24) *22*, 1962–1971.
- 25. [Li, Z., Zhao, B., Wang, P., Chen, F., Dong, Z., Yang, H., Guan, K.L., and Xu,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref25) [Y. \(2010\). Structural insights into the YAP and TEAD complex. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref25) *24*[, 235–240.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref25)
- 26. [Vassilev, A., Kaneko, K.J., Shu, H., Zhao, Y., and DePamphilis, M.L.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref26) [\(2001\). TEAD/TEF transcription factors utilize the activation domain of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref26) [YAP65, a Src/Yes-associated protein localized in the cytoplasm. Genes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref26) Dev. *15*[, 1229–1241.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref26)
- 27. [Zhang, H., Liu, C.Y., Zha, Z.Y., Zhao, B., Yao, J., Zhao, S., Xiong, Y., Lei,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref27) [Q.Y., and Guan, K.L. \(2009\). TEAD transcription factors mediate the func](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref27)[tion of TAZ in cell growth and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref27) Chem. *284*[, 13355–13362.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref27)
- 28. [Ota, M., and Sasaki, H. \(2008\). Mammalian Tead proteins regulate cell pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref28)[liferation and contact inhibition as transcriptional mediators of Hippo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref28) [signaling. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref28) *135*, 4059–4069.
- 29. [Liu-Chittenden, Y., Huang, B., Shim, J.S., Chen, Q., Lee, S.J., Anders,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref29) [R.A., Liu, J.O., and Pan, D. \(2012\). Genetic and pharmacological disrup](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref29)[tion of the TEAD-YAP complex suppresses the oncogenic activity of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref29) [YAP. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref29) *26*, 1300–1305.
- 30. [Barretina, J., Caponigro, G., Stransky, N., Venkatesan, K., Margolin, A.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref30) Kim, S., Wilson, C.J., Lehár, J., Kryukov, G.V., Sonkin, D., et al. (2012). The [Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref30) [drug sensitivity. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref30) *483*, 603–607.
- 31. [Hansen, C.G., and Nichols, B.J. \(2009\). Molecular mechanisms of clathrin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref31)[independent endocytosis. J. Cell Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref31) *122*, 1713–1721.
- 32. [Xin, M., Kim, Y., Sutherland, L.B., Murakami, M., Qi, X., McAnally, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref32) [Porrello, E.R., Mahmoud, A.I., Tan, W., Shelton, J.M., et al. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref32) [Hippo pathway effector Yap promotes cardiac regeneration. Proc. Natl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref32) [Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref32) *110*, 13839–13844.
- 33. [Morin-Kensicki, E.M., Boone, B.N., Howell, M., Stonebraker, J.R., Teed,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref33) [J., Alb, J.G., Magnuson, T.R., O'Neal, W., and Milgram, S.L. \(2006\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref33) [Defects in yolk sac vasculogenesis, chorioallantoic fusion, and embryonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref33) [axis elongation in mice with targeted disruption of Yap65. Mol. Cell. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref33) *26*[, 77–87](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref33).
- 34. [Miesfeld, J.B., Gestri, G., Clark, B.S., Flinn, M.A., Poole, R.J., Bader, J.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref34) [Besharse, J.C., Wilson, S.W., and Link, B.A. \(2015\). Yap and Taz regulate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref34) [retinal pigment epithelial cell fate. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref34) *142*, 3021–3032.
- 35. [Moroishi, T., Park, H.W., Qin, B., Chen, Q., Meng, Z., Plouffe, S.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref35) [Taniguchi, K., Yu, F.X., Karin, M., Pan, D., and Guan, K.L. \(2015\). A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref35) [YAP/TAZ-induced feedback mechanism regulates Hippo pathway ho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref35)[meostasis. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref35) *29*, 1271–1284.
- 36. [Lee, H.J., Diaz, M.F., Price, K.M., Ozuna, J.A., Zhang, S., Sevick-Muraca,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref36) [E.M., Hagan, J.P., and Wenzel, P.L. \(2017\). Fluid shear stress activates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref36) [YAP1 to promote cancer cell motility. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref36) *8*, 14122.
- 37. [Nakajima, H., Yamamoto, K., Agarwala, S., Terai, K., Fukui, H., Fukuhara,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref37) [S., Ando, K., Miyazaki, T., Yokota, Y., Schmelzer, E., et al. \(2017\). Flow-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref37)[Dependent Endothelial YAP Regulation Contributes to Vessel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref37) [Maintenance. Dev. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref37) *40*, 523–536.e6.
- 38. [Wang, L., Luo, J.Y., Li, B., Tian, X.Y., Chen, L.J., Huang, Y., Liu, J., Deng,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref38) [D., Lau, C.W., Wan, S., et al. \(2016\). Integrin-YAP/TAZ-JNK cascade me](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref38)[diates atheroprotective effect of unidirectional shear flow. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref38) *540*, [579–582](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref38).
- 39. [Mammoto, T., Mammoto, A., and Ingber, D.E. \(2013\). Mechanobiology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref39) [and developmental control. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref39) *29*, 27–61.
- 40. Potente, M., and Mäkinen, T. (2017). Vascular heterogeneity and special[ization in development and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref40) *18*, 477–494.
- 41. [Follain, G., Osmani, N., Azevedo, A.S., Allio, G., Mercier, L., Karreman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref41) M.A., Solecki, G., Garcia Leò[n, M.J., Lefebvre, O., Fekonja, N., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref41) [\(2018\). Hemodynamic forces tune the arrest, adhesion, and extravasation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref41) [of circulating tumor cells. Dev. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref41) *45*, 33–52.e12.
- 42. [Lim, Y.W., Lo, H.P., Ferguson, C., Martel, N., Giacomotto, J., Gomez,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref42) [G.A., Yap, A.S., Hall, T.E., and Parton, R.G. \(2017\). Caveolae protect noto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref42)[chord cells against catastrophic mechanical failure during development.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref42) Curr. Biol. *27*[, 1968–1981.e7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref42).
- 43. [Mo, J.S., Meng, Z., Kim, Y.C., Park, H.W., Hansen, C.G., Kim, S., Lim,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref43) [D.S., and Guan, K.L. \(2015\). Cellular energy stress induces AMPK-medi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref43)[ated regulation of YAP and the Hippo pathway. Nat. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref43) *17*, 500–510.
- 44. [Sorrentino, G., Ruggeri, N., Specchia, V., Cordenonsi, M., Mano, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref44) [Dupont, S., Manfrin, A., Ingallina, E., Sommaggio, R., Piazza, S., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref44) [\(2014\). Metabolic control of YAP and TAZ by the mevalonate pathway.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref44) [Nat. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref44) *16*, 357–366.
- 45. Ferná[ndez, M.A., Albor, C., Ingelmo-Torres, M., Nixon, S.J., Ferguson, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref45) [Kurzchalia, T., Tebar, F., Enrich, C., Parton, R.G., and Pol, A. \(2006\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref45) [Caveolin-1 is essential for liver regeneration. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref45) *313*, 1628–1632.
- 46. [Yimlamai, D., Christodoulou, C., Galli, G.G., Yanger, K., Pepe-Mooney, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref46) [Gurung, B., Shrestha, K., Cahan, P., Stanger, B.Z., and Camargo, F.D.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref46) [\(2014\). Hippo pathway activity influences liver cell fate. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref46) *157*, 1324– [1338.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref46)
- 47. [Minetti, C., Sotgia, F., Bruno, C., Scartezzini, P., Broda, P., Bado, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref47) [Masetti, E., Mazzocco, M., Egeo, A., Donati, M.A., et al. \(1998\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref47) [Mutations in the caveolin-3 gene cause autosomal dominant limb-girdle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref47) [muscular dystrophy. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref47) *18*, 365–368.
- 48. [Hayashi, Y.K., Matsuda, C., Ogawa, M., Goto, K., Tominaga, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref48) [Mitsuhashi, S., Park, Y.E., Nonaka, I., Hino-Fukuyo, N., Haginoya, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref48) [et al. \(2009\). Human PTRF mutations cause secondary deficiency of cav](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref48)[eolins resulting in muscular dystrophy with generalized lipodystrophy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref48) [J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref48) *119*, 2623–2633.
- 49. [Joshi, S., Davidson, G., Le Gras, S., Watanabe, S., Braun, T., Mengus, G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref49) [and Davidson, I. \(2017\). TEAD transcription factors are required for normal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref49)

[primary myoblast differentiation in vitro and muscle regeneration in vivo.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref49) [PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref49) *13*, e1006600.

- 50. [Sun, C., De Mello, V., Mohamed, A., Ortuste Quiroga, H.P., Garcia-Munoz,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref50) [A., Al Bloshi, A., Tremblay, A.M., von Kriegsheim, A., Collie-Duguid, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref50) [Vargesson, N., et al. \(2017\). Common and distinctive functions of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref50) [Hippo effectors Taz and Yap in skeletal muscle stem cell function. Stem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref50) Cells *35*[, 1958–1972](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref50).
- 51. [Wackerhage, H., Del Re, D.P., Judson, R.N., Sudol, M., and Sadoshima, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref51) [\(2014\). The Hippo signal transduction network in skeletal and cardiac mus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref51)[cle. Sci. Signal.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref51) *7*, re4.
- 52. [Zhang, W., Gao, Y., Li, P., Shi, Z., Guo, T., Li, F., Han, X., Feng, Y., Zheng,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref52) [C., Wang, Z., et al. \(2014\). VGLL4 functions as a new tumor suppressor in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref52) [lung cancer by negatively regulating the YAP-TEAD transcriptional com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref52)[plex. Cell Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref52) *24*, 331–343.
- 53. [Kim, T., Hwang, D., Lee, D., Kim, J.H., Kim, S.Y., and Lim, D.S. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref53) [MRTF potentiates TEAD-YAP transcriptional activity causing metastasis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref53) EMBO J. *36*[, 520–535](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref53).
- 54. [Krawczyk, K.K., Yao Mattisson, I., Ekman, M., Oskolkov, N., Grantinge, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref54) [Kotowska, D., Olde, B., Hansson, O., Albinsson, S., Miano, J.M., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref54) [\(2015\). Myocardin family members drive formation of caveolae. PLoS](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref54) ONE *10*[, e0133931.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref54)
- 55. [Obier, N., Cauchy, P., Assi, S.A., Gilmour, J., Lie-A-Ling, M., Lichtinger, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref55) [Hoogenkamp, M., Noailles, L., Cockerill, P.N., Lacaud, G., et al. \(2016\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref55) [Cooperative binding of AP-1 and TEAD4 modulates the balance between](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref55)

[vascular smooth muscle and hemogenic cell fate. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref55) *143*, [4324–4340](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref55).

- 56. [Liu, X., Li, H., Rajurkar, M., Li, Q., Cotton, J.L., Ou, J., Zhu, L.J., Goel, H.L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref56) [Mercurio, A.M., Park, J.S., et al. \(2016\). Tead and AP1 coordinate tran](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref56)[scription and motility. Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref56) *14*, 1169–1180.
- 57. Skibinski, A., Breindel, J.L., Prat, A., Galván, P., Smith, E., Rolfs, A., Gupta, [P.B., LaBaer, J., and Kuperwasser, C. \(2014\). The Hippo transducer TAZ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref57) [interacts with the SWI/SNF complex to regulate breast epithelial lineage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref57) [commitment. Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref57) *6*, 1059–1072.
- 58. [Song, S., Herranz, H., and Cohen, S.M. \(2017\). The chromatin remodeling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref58) [BAP complex limits tumor-promoting activity of the Hippo pathway](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref58) [effector Yki to prevent neoplastic transformation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref58) *Drosophila* epithelia. [Dis. Model. Mech.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref58) *10*, 1201–1209.
- 59. [Vinten, J., Voldstedlund, M., Clausen, H., Christiansen, K., Carlsen, J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref59) [Tranum-Jensen, J. \(2001\). A 60-kDa protein abundant in adipocyte caveo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref59)[lae. Cell Tissue Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref59) *305*, 99–106.
- 60. [Wang, X., Spandidos, A., Wang, H., and Seed, B. \(2012\). PrimerBank: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref60) [PCR primer database for quantitative gene expression analysis, 2012 up](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref60)[date. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref60) *40*, D1144–D1149.
- 61. [Rausch, V., and Hansen, C.G. \(2019\). Immunofluorescence study of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref61) [endogenous YAP in mammalian cells. Methods Mol. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref61) *1893*, 97–104.
- 62. [Soules, K.A., and Link, B.A. \(2005\). Morphogenesis of the anterior](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref62) [segment in the zebrafish eye. BMC Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-9822(18)31596-3/sref62) *5*, 12.

STAR+METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

(*Continued on next page*)

(*Continued on next page*)

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Carsten G. Hansen [\(Carsten.g.hansen@ed.ac.uk\)](mailto:Carsten.g.hansen@ed.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

In vitro studies: cell lines

All cell lines were cultivated at 37°C in a humidified, 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere. HEK293A, HEK293T, and U2OS cells were cultivated in high glucose DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine, and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) if nothing else stated.

The HEK293A and HEK293T cell line are human epithelial cells originating from embryonic kidney of a female. The wild-types (WT) as well as the HEK293A YAP/TAZ, and LATS1/2 double knockout cell lines [[22, 35](#page-13-2)] were kindly provided by Professor Kun-Liang Guan lab, University of California, San Diego (UCSD). HEK293A cell lines were used to perform experiments while HEK293T cells where used for virus generation. The U2OS cell line originates from human epithelial from the bone of a female suffering from osteosarcoma. The U2OS wild-type was kindly provided to us by Professor Jack Dixon (UCSD). Cells were periodically tested for Mycoplasma contamination but were not authenticated.

In vivo studies: zebrafish

Danio rerio (ZDR strain) were raised and housed in a multi-rack Pentaire aquatics system using water purified by high capacity reverse osmosis coupled with mechanical and biological filtration. The room maintained at 28.5°C and under a 14 hr light / 10 hr dark cycle. Zebrafish were not immunized, but the colony was routinely screened for the following pathogens. All specimens were negative the pathogens assayed: Edwardsiella ictaluri, Flavobacterium columnare, Ichthyophthirius multifiliis, Infectious spleen & kidney necrosis virus (ISKNV), Mycobacterium spp., Mycobacterium abscessus, Mycobacterium chelonae, Mycobacterium fortuitum, Mycobacterium haemophilum, Mycobacterium marinum, Mycobacterium peregrinum, Myxidium streisingeri, Piscinoodinium pillulare, Pleistophora hyphessobryconis, Pseudocapillaria tomentosa, Pseudoloma neurophilia The embryos were harvested at early developmental stages (at the latest 48 hpf) and were not sex matched. Subjects were naive and not previously involved in other procedures prior to the experiments reported here.

The following lines were used to generate *yap;taz* (*wwtr1*) double homozygous mutants.

*yap1*mw48 (c. 158-161del). This allele has a 4 bp deletion within exon1 [[34\]](#page-13-9). *wwtr1*mw49 (c. 156-160del). This allele has a 5 bp deletion within exon1 [\[34\]](#page-13-9).

In addition, *cav1;cav3* double homozygous mutants have been described elsewhere [[12](#page-12-5)]. All experiments involving zebrafish were performed in compliance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Medical College of Wisconsin, protocol number AUA1378.

METHOD DETAILS

Generation of knockdown (KD), knockout (KO), and re-expression cell lines

KD cell lines were generated by retrovirus mediated shRNA knockdown. The virus was generated by co-transfecting pMD2G and pSPAX2 (from the Kun-Liang Guan lab, UCSD) with pKLO.1-vectors coding for shRNAs mediated targeting of YAP (TRCN0000300325), TAZ (TRCN0000370007), and TEADs 1/3/4 as previously described [[22, 24\]](#page-13-2), or CAV1 TRCN0000008002 (shCAV1 #1), TRCN0000007999 (shCAV1 #2), or CAVIN1 (TRCN0000430242). shRNA constructs are listed in are listed in [Table S3.](#page-12-4) The virus was harvested, filtered and added to polybrene treated cells of interest. Following 6-8 hr incubation the cell medium was changed. Stable cell lines were generated following puromycin selection. To generate U2OS CAV1 re-expressing cell lines, shCAV1 cells were transfected with CAV1-GFP plasmid (#14433, Addgene) and stable exogenous CAV1-expressing cells were established by G418-selection (SLS). Plasmids encoding either WT or mutant YAP (S94A or 5SA) as well as WT or mutant TAZ (S51A) are listed in [Table S3](#page-12-4) [[24, 27](#page-13-4)]. HEK293A CAV1 re-expressing cell lines were generated by lentiviral transduction of CAV1 KO cells with a pBabe vector carrying the open reading frame of human CAV1. Clonal YAP and TAZ (*WWTR1*) knock-out cell lines were generated by transient transfection of CrispR constructs, followed by two days of puromycin selection and one day recovery in medium without puromycin, followed by single cell sorting into 96-well plates [\[22\]](#page-13-2). To generate CrispR-mediated CAV1 KO cell lines, the following oligo nucleotides were ligated and thereafter inserted into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459 *V2.0*; Addgene plasmid #48139): CAV1crispr#1fw CACCGAGTGTACGACGCGCACACCA, CAV1crispr#1rev AAACTGGTGTGCGCGTCGTACACTC and CAV1 crispr#2fw CACCGTTTAGGGTCGCGGTTGACC, CAV1crispr#2rev AAACGGTCAACCGCGACCCTAAAC. Cells were transfected using LipoD293 or GenJet transfection reagent (SignaGen Laboratories). CrispR oligos are listed in [Table S2](#page-12-4).

Transformation

Transformation of competent bacteria was carried out by heat shock, where after bacteria were seeded onto selective Petri dishes. The following day single clones were picked and propagated for plasmid harvest.

Western blotting

Cell lysate preparation in reducing lysis buffer and western blotting was performed as described in Hansen et al., 2015 [[22](#page-13-2)] using the following antibodies against YAP/TAZ (sc101199), CYR61 (sc13100), CTGF (sc14939), and GAPDH (sc25778) all from Santa Cruz as well as CAV1 (BD610060), CAV2 (BD610684), and HSP90 (BD610418) from BD Biosciences, pan TEAD (3295), pYAP 127 (4911), pYAP 397 (13619), NF2 (Merlin, 6995), LATS1 (3477), LATS2 (5888), and CAVIN1 (PTRF, 69036) from Cell Signaling Technology, and YAP (ab52771), CAVIN1 (PTRF, ab48824) (only used for western blotting in this study), and GFP (ab6556) from Abcam. Antibodies against CAVIN3 (SRBC, A302-419A) were from Bethyl laboratories and against CAVIN2 (SDPR, HPA039325) were from Sigma. Monoclonal antibodies raised against CAV1 were provided by Jørgen Vinten [\[59](#page-14-4)] (The Panum Institute, University of Copenhagen). Furthermore, HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were used for western blotting.

Besides conventional SDS gels western blotting was performed with Phos-Tag gels. Therefore, 15 µL Phos-tag reagent (Wako chemicals) and 25 μ L of 10mM MnCl₂ were added to each 7.5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel while the subsequent steps remained the same. Blots were developed using Immuno Western ECL mix (Millipore) and X-ray films (SLS). A larger area of the scans of western blot films is shown in [Data S1](#page-12-4).

RT-qPCR and Primers

For quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) mRNA was extracted from cells using the RNeasy plus mini kit (QIAGEN). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcriptase kit (Applied Biosystems). qPCR was performed on 1 ng of cDNA using the Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies) and the LightCycler 96 System (Roche) according to manufactures instruction. In mammalian cells expression levels of all genes analyzed were normalized to Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (*HPRT1*) levels [\[22\]](#page-13-2). Primer sets against caveolar components were designed using primerbank [[60](#page-14-5)]. qPCR primers, harvest of samples and preparation of cDNA from zebrafish embryos were carried by established methods [\[34, 42\]](#page-13-9). Samples were normalized to Elongation factor 1-alpha (*ef1a*) [\[34\]](#page-13-9). Primers are listed in [Table S1.](#page-12-4)

Chromatin immunoprecipitation qPCR (ChIP-qPCR)

ChIP was performed on HEK293A WT cells using the ChIP-IT Express Enzymatic kit (Active Motif Inc.). For immunoprecipitation anti-mouse IgGs (whole molecule, #12102270, Fisher Scientific), anti-rabbit IgGs (whole molecule, #11805935, Fisher Scientific), anti-TEF-1 (TEAD1, #610923, BD Bioscience), and anti-YAP (ab52771, Abcam) antibodies were used. Subsequent DNA clean up (by DNA Clean & Concentrator-5, Zymo Reseach) was followed by DNA quantification by qPCR analysis and output was normalized to the input DNA. Primer sequences are as follows 5′-TGGCATAACCTGTTGGCATA-3′ and 5′-CCCAAACGCTTCGAAATAAG-3′ (forward and reverse) *CAV1* promoter (CAV1), 5'-TTTCAGAATCTCCTGGTCCAC-3' and 5'-TTGCTCTCTGTTTCCCTCTC-3' *CAVIN1* promoter (CAVIN1), 5'-CCTCCGTGTCTCAGTGGTTT-3' and 5'-TCACCTTGCTTGCCTTTCTT-3' C*AV1* in gene (CAV1 Ing), and 5'-GCAGTTTTGAGGAGGCAAAG-3' and 5'-AAATGCTTCCTGGCCCTTAT-3' *CAVIN1* in gene (CAVIN1 lng) and *CTGF* was used as a control [\[22](#page-13-2)].

Immunofluorescence (IF) Microscopy

Cells were seeded onto poly-D-lysine (Sigma) coated coverslips, fixed with 37° C 4% formaldehyde (Sigma) (v/v in PBS), permeabilized and incubated in IF buffer (2.5% FBS, 0.3% Triton-x-100 (v/v) in PBS) with antibodies listed above, washed and subsequently incubated with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermofisher). Cells on the coverslip were mounted on glass slides using ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant with DAPI stain (Thermofisher). This procedure is described in more detail in [[61](#page-14-6)]. Image acquisition was performed by a Zeiss 780 inverted confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) utilizing a Plan-Apochromat 63 x /1.4 Oil DIC M27 objective. For quantification of IF images a polygonal region of interest (ROI) was drawn manually and used to quantify

the pixel mean of the signal in channels of interest. For quantification of nuclear to cytoplasmic (Nucl/Cyto) ratio the nucleus was identified in the DAPI channel and used to draw a ROI. Subsequently, the value of this ROI was measured in the channel of interest and tabulated. The ROI was then moved to the cytoplasm, measured and tabulated. Nucleoli were excluded from ROIs. For quantification of CAV1 or CAVIN1 levels, the confocal plane was selected to be at the base of the cells. ROI of the signal in these images was measured, and tabulated in Microsoft Excel, depending on the absence or presence of YAP/TAZ, TEAD or CAV1 signal, again by flicking between channels in Fiji (ImageJ). Cells undergoing mitosis or with multi nuclei, as determined by DAPI stain, were not included in the quantification. Five to 15 cells of each population, as determined by the presence or absence of signal in the other channel, were quantified from between 8 - 15 different images. The ratios were calculated in Microsoft Excel and plotted as a scatterplot in GraphPad Prism.

Verteporfin treatment

HEK293A WT cells were treated with 6.45 µM verteporfin (Sigma-Aldrich) with 1.87% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich) and compared to DMSO treated cells. After 18 hr, mRNA was extracted and RT-qPCR was performed [[22\]](#page-13-2).

Proximal promoter cloning and luciferase assay

The upstream promoter regions of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* were examined for M-CAT and putative TEAD binding motifs. pGL3-basic vectors carrying upstream proximal promoter regions of either *CAV1* (-1907 to +200bp) or *CAVIN1* (-1250 to +150bp) were generated by restriction cloning and insertion into the multiple cloning site using Mlu1/Xho1 (*CAV1*) or Kpn1/Mlu1 (*CAVIN1*) respectively. A short version (550 to +200bp) of the *CAV1* promoter was also generated, which does not harbor any predicted TEAD recognition sites. HEK293A YAP/TAZ KO cells were co-transfected with either one of the plasmids above and pCMV Flag-YAP. 36-48 hr after transfection cells were lysed using lysis buffer (91.5 mM K₂HPO₄, 8.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.2% Triton X-100 (v/v), 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMFS) and lysates were transferred into a white 96-well plate (Corning). Luminescence was induced by using the Dual-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega) and was detected by a Biotek HT plate reader. Luciferase activity was normalized to renilla signal.

Flow studies

Cells were cultured under laminar flow using the Kirkstall Quasi Vivo QV500 system with a Parker (PF22X0103) peristaltic pump. Cells were seeded onto Thermanox 13 mm coverslips (#174950, ThermoFisher), or (for IF) poly-D-lysine coated glass coverslips and grown to confluency in complete DMEM containing 10% FBS. The coverslips were transferred into the QV500 system and cells were cultured in DMEM (with supplements as described above) containing 0.1% FBS and a constant flux of 4.7 and 2.1*10⁻⁵ Dyn/cm², respectively. After 18 hr cells were harvested and analyzed by RT-qPCR, western blotting or IF.

Zebrafish Yap localization reporter

Yap localization was assessed specifically in zebrafish epidermal cells by expression of tol2 -*4.4kb*krt18:eGFP-YapS54A. Plasmid DNA was co-injected with Tol2 transposase as well as tol2 h2ax:H2A-mCherry plasmid DNA to mark cell nuclei. The YapS54A mutant (derived from *Danio rerio*) disrupts Tead factor binding and thus prevents deleterious gain-of-function phenotypes [\[34\]](#page-13-9). At 48 hpf, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 hr and then washed extensively in PBST. Embryos were then mounted in 1% agarose and imaged using a 40x water-immersion objective on a Nikon C1 scanning laser confocal microscope. Epidermal cells expressing both eGFP-YapS54A and H2A-mCherry were imaged. For quantifying pixel intensity, image slices with the maximum nuclear diameter were selected. Total fluorescence intensity was calculated for the entire cell and for just the nucleus by measuring the eGFP pixel intensity from the corresponding mCherry fluorescence. Cytoplasmic intensity was calculated by subtracting the nuclear from total cell measurements. Image acquisition and measurements were obtained in a genotype masked manner. Data presented are from 50 cells from at least 10 embryos for each genotype.

For whole mount immunohistochemistry fixed zebrafish embryos were washed in PBST and stored in 100% methanol at -20° C overnight. This was followed by rehydration in solution of incrementally increased PBST concentration (25%, 50%, 75% PBST in methanol) and a final wash in PBST. Subsequently, embryos were incubated in blocking buffer (10% goat serum (Sigma), 0.2% BSA (Sigma) in PBST) for several hours at room temperature. For labeling of YAP, embryos were left in blocking solution with anti-YAP antibody (#13584-1-AP, Proteintech) at 4°C overnight. After rigorous washing in PBST, embryos were incubated in blocking solution containing secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 633, A21071, Thermofisher), which was followed by another excessive washing procedure. Nuclei were labeled by Hoechst 3342 (Thermofisher). Finally, embryos were dehydrated in glycerol solutions (30%, 50%, 80% glycerol/PBS) and stored in antifadent (AF1, Citifluor). Labeled zebrafish embryos were mounted in antifadent onto glass slides and microscopic images were taken using the Leica SP8 CLSM utilizing a HC PL APO 40x oil CS2 objective. A single plane image was taken for image analysis. The nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio was quantified in epidermal cells where Hoechst signal (nuclear diameter) was the widest. The nuclear to cytoplasmic YAP ratio in individual cells from at least five separate images from each genotype was plotted in Prism (GraphPad).

Transmission Electron Microscopy (EM)

Zebrafish embryos were collected at the 12 somite stage. Briefly, the blocks were trimmed on a Leica RM2255 microtome, and ultrathin sections were cut and collected on coated grids, and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate [[62\]](#page-14-7). For each embryo, twenty non-overlapping images of epidermis were captured on a Hitachi H600 at 30,000x. Caveolae within the epidermis were quantified for each micrograph in a masked manner where the individual scoring was unaware of the sample genotype. Four embryos were analyzed for each genotype.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data is represented with significance values (p) used by "*" $p < 0.05$, "**" $p < 0.01$ and "***" $p < 0.001$. All data originated from at least three independent biological replicates if not directly stated otherwise. Data was analyzed using Fiji (ImageJ), Excel (Microsoft) and Prism (GraphPad) software. All statistical analysis and graphs were generated using Prism software. No optimal sample-size estimation was calculated. The genotype of samples imaged in [Figures 4L](#page-7-0) and 4M were blinded for the person quantifying the number of caveolae. For IF based quantification random images of areas of the coverslips were captured. Cells that had multiple nuclei, were not optimal processed or were undergoing mitosis were not included. All IF based scatterplots were tested and analyzed by unpaired Student's t test, whereas all other data was analyzed using Mann-Whitney, if not stated otherwise. Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) or standard deviation (SD) as highlighted in figure legends. For correlation analysis, gene expression data across a panel of 967 cancer cell lines were downloaded from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) [[30](#page-13-6)] and the correlations between mRNA expressions of each pair of genes were evaluated by Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) with two tailed p values, < 0.05 considered significant.

Current Biology, Volume 29

Supplemental Information

The Hippo Pathway Regulates Caveolae Expression

and Mediates Flow Response via Caveolae

Valentina Rausch, Jonathan R. Bostrom, Jiwon Park, Isabel R. Bravo, Yi Feng, David C. Hay, Brian A. Link, and Carsten G. Hansen

Discrete HEK 293A cell cultures

Figure S1. Validation of antibody specificity allows for single cell **immunofluorescence based studies. Related to Figure 1**

(A) Confocal image of discrete HEK 293A cell populations of WT and YAP/TAZ KO cells. The cells were fixed and labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), YAP (green), and counterstained for DAPI (blue). The single channels (green and red) allow visualization of YAP/TAZ and YAP across the different genotypes, and highlight the specificity of the YAP/TAZ and YAP antibodies used. Scale bar = 15μ m.

(B) Discrete HEK 293A cell populations of WT, YAP/TAZ KO, and LATS1/2 KO cells labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Images like these, were used for quantification represented in dot plot in Figure 1E. Scale bar = 15μm **(C)** Discrete HEK 293A cell populations of WT, YAP/TAZ KO, and LATS1/2 KO cells labeled for CAVEOLIN2 (CAV2, red), CAV1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 15um. **(D)** Zoomed out image of mixed cell culture depicted in Figure 1K, labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (Blue). Red box highlights image shown in Figure 1K. Arrows: Examples of Y/T KO cells. **(E)** Zoomed out image of mixed cell culture depicted in Figure 1M. Cells were labeled for CAVEOLIN2 (CAV2) (red), YAP (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: Examples of Y/T KO cells. Note cells with no YAP/TAZ signal have low CAV2 signal. Red box highlights image shown in Figure 1M. Scale bars D and $E = 15 \mu m$. **(F)** Dot plot representation of quantification from discrete populations of YAP-labeled WT and LATS1/2 KO cells as in Figure S1B. Means \pm SEM.

nsns

70kDa

100kDa

55kDa

70kDa

55kDa

Figure S2. YAP/TAZ are essential for the expression of CAV1 and CAVIN1 in separate gene edited clones. Related to Figure 1 and 2

(A) Confocal image of discrete HEK 293A cell populations of WT and an independently generated YAP/TAZ KO clone termed YAP/TAZ KO#2. The cells were fixed and labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAV1 (green), and DAPI (blue). All processing of samples for immunofluorescence and imaging was done in parallel with similar microscope settings to allow for direct comparison. Scale bars $=$ 15μm. **(B)** Discrete HEK 293A cell populations of WT and YAP/TAZ KO#2 cells labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). **(C)** Mixed cell culture of YAP/TAZ KO#2 and WT HEK 293A cells labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAV1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: Examples of YAP/TAZ KO#2 cells. Note cells with no YAP/TAZ signal have low CAV1 signal. Scale bar $= 15$ um. Images are related to Figures 1I. **(D)** Mixed cell culture of YAP/TAZ KO#2 and WT HEK 293A cells labeled for YAP/TAZ (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: Examples of YAP/TAZ KO#2 cells. Scale bar = $15 \mu m$. Images are related to Figure 1K. **(E)** Western blots as in Figure 1F but from YAP/TAZ KO#2 (Y/T KO), WT, and LATS1/2 KO#2 (L1/L2 KO) HEK 293A cells. **(F)** qPCR data from YAP/TAZ KO#2 HEK 293A cells compared to WT. Means ± SD. **(G)** Western blots of LATS1/2 KO HEK 293A cells stably expressing either vector control (-), LATS1 WT, or kinase dead (K/R) LATS1; related to Figure 1F (H) PhosTag-based Western blot analysis of the same lysates as in G. **(I)** qPCR analysis of LATS1/2 KO HEK 293A cell lines expressing LATS1 WT or LATS1 K/R and compared to vector control. Means \pm SD. Note that only the expression of kinase active LATS1 decreases expression of established YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes *CYR61* and *CTGF* as well as of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* **(I)** qPCR analysis of HEK 293A cells expressing myc-tagged YAP-WT or YAP-5SA (YAP construct with all five LATS1/2 mediated phosphorylation sites mutated). Data is plotted as fold induction of *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* of YAP-5SA construct compared to YAP-WT. Means \pm SD.

YAP/TAZ KO HEK 293A cells

Figure S3. Upon exogenous expression in Y/T KO cells, CAV1 and **CAVEOLIN1 generate caveolae-like plasma membrane domains. Related to Figure 1 and 2**

(A) YAP/TAZ KO HEK 293A cells were transfected with CAVIN1-mCherry and CAV1-GFP and processed for immunofluorescence. Note that re-expressed CAVIN1 and CAV1 co-localize in plasma membrane domains. Arrows: Untransfected YAP/TAZ KO cells. Red boxes highlight inserts shown below. Scale $bar = 20 \mu m$. **(B)** HEK 293A WT cells were labeled for endogenous CAVIN1 (green), CAV1 (red), and counterstained for DAPI (blue). Red boxes highlight inserts shown below. Note CAVIN1 and CAV1 co-localize in plasma membrane domains. Scale bar = 20μm. (C) Zoomed out image of mixed cell culture depicted in Figure 2E. YAP/TAZ KO HEK 293A cells expressing either vector control or myc-tagged YAP. myc (red), CAV1 (green), and DAPI (blue). **(D)** Zoomed out image of mixed cell culture depicted in Figure 2G. YAP/TAZ KO HEK 293A cells expressing either vector control or myc-tagged YAP. myc (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Red box in D highlight images shown in Figure 2G. **(E)** Mixed cell culture of YAP/TAZ KO HEK 293A cells expressing either vector control or TAZ. TAZ (green), CAV1 (red), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: Cells expressing TAZ. Scale bar = 15um. **(F)** Mixed cell culture of YAP/TAZ KO HEK 293A cells expressing either vector control or TEAD-binding deficient TAZ S51A. TAZ (green), CAV1 (red), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: Cells expressing mutant TAZ. Scale bar = 15μ m. Images as those in E and F form the basis of the quantification of the dot plot representation in Figure 2K.

Figure S4. TEADs regulate CAV1 and CAVIN1 expression. Related to Figure **3**

(A) Discrete shCon (top) or shTEADs (bottom) HEK 293A cells were labeled for TEAD1 (red), CAV1 (green), and counterstained for DAPI (blue). Processing of samples for immunofluorescence and imaging was done in parallel with similar microscope settings to allow for direct comparison. Scale bars = 15μm**. (B)** Dot plot of TEAD1 levels from images, as shown in A. Each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM. **(C)** Dot plot of CAV1 levels from images, as shown in A. Each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM. **(D)** Discrete shCon (top) or shTEADs (bottom) HEK 293A cells were labeled for TEAD1 (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Scale bars = 30μm. Images are related to Figure 3C. (**E**) Dot plot of CAVIN1 levels from images, as shown in D. Each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM. **(F)** qPCR of *CAV1* and *CAVIN1* mRNA levels in shTEADs #2 cells. For data on shTEADs #1 please see Figure 3F. **(G)** Diagram depicting the *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* proximal promoter regions, numbering is in base pairs (bp) from TSS. TSS: transcription start site. ATG: start codon. In red, representations of the regions contained in the luciferase reporter plasmids used in Figure 3G. Predicted TEAD (including degenerate) recognition motifs are depicted with arrow heads.

GTGF

** *

0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0

rel expression rel expression
[2^ddCT]

G

Figure S5. CAV1 and CAVIN1 are YAP/TAZ-TEAD target genes across multiple cell types. Related to Figure 4,5 and 6

(A) Dot plots as shown in Figure 4A of *in silico* bioinformatics analysis of data obtained from CCLE. The localization of the U2OS cell line within the dot plot is highlighted with red circles. **(B)** Western blots from U2OS WT or NF2 KO cells with shRNA-induced knockdown of genes depicted. Short exposure (s.e.) and long exposure (l.e.). **(C)** Knockdown of *TEADs* caused reduced levels of *CAVIN1* and *CAV1* mRNA in U2OS WT cells, shown by qPCR analysis. Means \pm SD. **(D)** Western blot of CrispR-mediated knockout of *YAP* or *TAZ* in U2OS cells used to generate cell lines analyzed in Figures 4B and C. **(E)** Western blots showing increased levels of pYAP 127 and decreased levels of CYR61 in CAV1 reexpressing U2OS cells. **(F)** Stable HEK 293A knockdown cell lines were processed for Western blotting. Short exposure (s.e), long exposure (l.e.). **(G)** mRNA levels of genes denoted were compared between shCon and two separate shCAV1 knockdown cell lines (#1 and #2) revealing YAP/TAZ hyperactivation in shCAV1 cells. Means \pm SD. Cell lines in G were further analyzed in Figures 7F-H. **(H)** Scatterplot from immunofluorescence images from stable YAP/TAZ KO cell lines expressing either myc-tagged YAP, or YAP (S94A) labeled for YAP and DAPI. Cells were serum starved for two hours followed by serum feeding for two hours. Each dot represents one cell and is normalized to the average ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic YAP in 2 hour serum starved cells processed in parallel and plotted as fold induction. The YAP-S94A mutant is regulated by Hippo pathway regulatory stimuli. N>50 for each condition. Not significant (ns), students T-test.

U2OS shCon cells

MIXED U2OS cell cultures: shCon and shCAV1

MIXED U2OS cell cultures:shCon and shCAVIN1

MIXED U2OS cell cultures: shCAV1 +/- CAV1-GFP

Figure S6. Specific CAV1 and CAVIN1 antibodies allow for single cell **immunofluorescence based studies. Related to Figure 5 and 7**

(A) Confocal image of shCAV1 U2OS cells labeled for CAVIN1 (green), CAV1 (red) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). **(B)** Confocal image of mixed cell culture of shCAV1 and shCon U2OS cells. Cells were labeled for CAVIN1 (green), CAV1 (red), and DAPI (blue). Arrows: Examples of shCAV1 cells. Note shCAV1 cells. have decreased levels of CAVIN1 signal. Figures S6A, B together with Figures S7C-E validate the use of the CAV1 antibody in mixed cell assays as those shown in Figures 5B and 7I, I. **(C)** Mixed cell culture of shCAVIN1 and shCon U2OS cells. Cells labeled for CAVIN1 (green), CAV1 (red), and DAPI. Arrows: Examples of shCAVIN1 cells. Note shCAVIN1 cells have decreased levels of CAV1 signal. Scale bars A-C = 20um. **(D)** Confocal image of mixed cell populations. A shCAV1 U2OS cell re-expressing CAV1 (CAV1-GFP) is highlighted with an arrow. The cells were labeled for GFP (green), CAV2 (red) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar = $10 \mu m$. **(E)** Confocal image of mixed cell populations as in D. Cells were labeled for GFP (green), CAVIN1 (red) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar = $20 \mu m$. Figure S6D and Figure S6E illustrate rescue of functional CAV1 upon exogenous CAV1-GFP expression, as CAV2 and CAVIN1 localize to CAV1 positive plasma membrane domains. **(F)** Western blot of HEK 293A cell lysates from either control or shCAV1#2 cells were kept at either 0 (- flow) or at $2.1*10⁻⁵$ Dyn/cm² (+ flow). Red box indicates regions shown in Figure 7F. **(G)** Western blots of HEK 293A WT and CrispRmediated CAV1KO cell lines generated. #2 was generated using a separate guide sequence compared to #1 and #3. **(H)** PhosTag gel-based Western blot probed against YAP from cell lysates of HEK 293A WT and CAV1 KO#1 and #2. **(I)** Western blots of WT cells compared to CAV1 KO#1 and #2 vector control cells as well as CAV1 KO#1 and #2 cells re-expressing CAV1.

Figure S7. CAV1 rescue cells functionally rescue the observed CAV1 deficient phenotype. Related to Figures 2 and 7.

(A) Confocal image of HEK 293A WT labeled for CAV1 (red), CAVIN1 (green), and counterstained for DAPI (blue). **(B)** HEK 293A CAV1 KO#1 cells and **(C)** HEK 293A CAV1 KO#2 cells labeled and imaged as cells in C. Scale bars $A-C = 30 \mu m$. **(D)** Confocal image of HEK 293A CAV1 KO#1 expressing empty vector and **(E)** re-expressing CAV1 and labeled for CAV1 (red), CAVIN1 (green), and DAPI (blue). **(F)** Confocal image of HEK 293A CAV1 KO#2 expressing empty vector and **(G)** re-expressing CAV1 and labeled for CAV1 (red), CAVIN1 (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars $D-G = 30\mu m$. **(H)** Confocal images of mixed cell cultures of CAV1 KO#1 and **(I)** CAV1 KO#2 expressing empty vector or re-expressing CAV1 labeled for CAV1 (red), YAP (green), and DAPI (blue). Scale bars H₁I= 25_{µm}. (J) Dot plot of quantification of YAP localization from confocal images of mixed HEK 293A cell cultures. Each dot represents one cell. The cells were labeled for CAV1, YAP, and DAPI, which allowed for determination of nuclear to cytoplasmic (Nucl/Cyto) localization of YAP in CAV1 KO#1 vector control (blue) or CAV1 reexpressing cells (red). Cells were cultured at steady state. **(K)** Quantification as in I but of CAV1 KO#2 cells. **(L)** Cells as in I but of CAV1 KO#1 cultured at $2.1*10$ 5 Dyn/cm² and processed in parallel with samples in J. The nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio was normalized to average of steady state conditions. **(M)** Quantification as in L but of CAV1 KO#2. C-F: Means \pm SEM. (N) Western blot analysis of lysates from LATS1/2 KO with and without shCAV1-induced knockdown. **(O)** qPCR analysis of cell lines as in G and compared to LATS1/2 KO cells. Means \pm SD. **(P)** Confocal image of mixed cells cultures of LATS1/2 with and without shCAV1#1 or **(0)** shCAV1#2-induced *CAV1* knockdown. Labeled against CAV1 (green), YAP (red), and DAPI (blue). Arrows highlight examples of *CAV1* knockdown cells. Scale bars $P,Q = 25\mu m$. **(R)** Quantification of YAP nuclear to cytoplasmic localization from images as those in P and O. Each dot represents one cell. Means \pm SEM. No significant difference (ns) was observed between groups.

If not stated otherwise, primers are specific to human genes. All primers have been obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.

Table S3: Plasmids used. Related to STAR Methods

Table S3: Plasmids used (continued)

All shRNA constructs carry the pLKO.1 backbone (puromycin selection)

Supplemental Reference List

[S1] Zhao, B., Ye, X., Yu, J., Li, L., Li, W., Li, S., Yu, J., Lin, J.D., Wang, C.Y., Chinnaiyan, A.M., et al. (2008). TEAD mediates YAP-dependent gene induction and growth control. Genes & development *22*, 1962-1971.