## **Supplementary information**

# DeepConsensus improves the accuracy of sequences with a gap-aware sequence transformer

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

# **Supplementary Notes**

## Software commands

Generating DeepConsensus input files with pbmm2 and samtools

We started with the subreads BAM and CCS reads BAM files output by pbccs. Samtools v1.1 was used to convert the CCS reads BAM to a FASTA: samtools fasta "ccs.bam" > "ccs.fasta"

We used actc v0.0.2 and pbmm2 v1.4.0 for mapping subreads to CCS reads using the following commands: actc -j "\$(nproc)" "subreads.bam" "ccs.bam" "aligned.subreads.bam"

```
pbmm2 align --sample "sample" --preset SUBREAD --sort "ccs.fasta"
"subreads.bam" "aligned.subreads.bam"
```

Only subread alignments to the correct molecule were retained. We used samtools and awk to filter incorrect alignments using the below command:

```
samtools view -h "aligned.subreads.bam" | \
   awk '{ if($1 ~ /^@/) { print; } else { split($1,A,"/"); \
   split($3,B,"/"); if(A[2]==B[2]) { split(A[3],C,"_"); \
   print $0 "\tqs:i:" C[1]; } }'
```

## Running inference with DeepConsensus

```
DeepConsensus v0.1 was run with the following command:
python3 -m deepconsensus.scripts.run_deepconsensus \
    --input_subreads_aligned=subreads.aligned.bam \
    --input_subreads_unaligned=subreads.bam \
    --input_ccs_fasta=ccs.fasta \
    --output_directory=deepconsensus_output \
    --checkpoint=model-50
```

The outputs are sharded FASTQs, which are concatenated together with cat to produce the final FASTQ.

## Aligning DeepConsensus predictions with pbmm2

DeepConsensus predictions and CCS reads from pbccs were mapped to the HG002 diploid assembly or reference genome using the below command. The --unmapped flag was added to the below command for assembly and variant calling analysis pipelines:

```
pbmm2 align --sample "sample" --preset HIFI --sort -c 0 -y 70
"ref.fa" "query.reads.fasta" "aligned.reads.bam"
```

Generating phased diploid assemblies with hifiasm

HiFiasm (version 0.15.3-r339) was run with the following command to generate the diploid human genome assemblies:

```
hifiasm -o "outputPrefix" -t "nThreads" "reads.fastq"
```

We ran the following commands to convert the output GFA files to fasta files. For the primary assembly we used:

```
awk '/^S/{print ">"$2;print $3}' "outputPrefix.bp.p_ctg.gfa" >
"outputPrefix.bp.p ctg.fa"
```

For the haplotype-resolved GFA files for the diploid sample, we used the following commands to get haplotype-resolved assembly:

```
awk '/^S/{print ">"$2;print $3}' "outputPrefix.bp.hap1.p_ctg.gfa" >
"outputPrefix.bp.hap1.p ctg.fa"
```

```
awk '/^S/{print ">"$2;print $3}' "outputPrefix.bp.hap2.p_ctg.gfa" >
"outputPrefix.bp.hap2.p ctg.fa"
```

Reference free assembly quality estimation with YAK

We used yak (version 0.1-r62-dirty) to derive estimated consensus accuracy (Q) of the assemblies.

To evaluate the assembly of a sample we first built the k-mer database from Illumina paired-end short reads of the same sample using this command: yak count -b37 -t32 -o "KMER DB" <(zcat sr\*.fg.gz) <(zcat sr\*.fg.gz)

```
Then we estimated the quality of the phased assembly using the following command:
yak qv -t "nThreads" -p -K 3.2g -l 100k "KMER_DB"
"outputPrefix.bp.hapl.p_ctg.fa" > "outputPrefix.hapl.yak.qv.txt"
```

```
yak qv -t "nThreads" -p -K 3.2g -l 100k "KMER_DB"
"outputPrefix.bp.hap2.p_ctg.fa" > "outputPrefix.hap2.yak.qv.txt"
```

```
From the outputs "outputPrefix.hap1.yak.qv.txt" and
"outputPrefix.hap2.yak.qv.txt" we reported the balanced error as the quality of the
assembly we evaluated.
```

```
Assembly-based small variant calling assessment using dipcall
We used dipcall (version 0.3) to derive small variants from the phased assemblies.
```

For male samples such as HG002, HG003, HG006, we used the following command: dipcall.kit/run-dipcall -x dipcall.kit/hs38.PAR.bed "outputPrefix.dipcall\_output" "reference" "outputPrefix.bp.hapl.p\_ctg.fa" "outputPrefix.bp.hap2.p\_ctg.fa" > dipcall\_instructions.mak

```
make -j2 -f dipcall instructions.mak
```

For female samples such as HG004, HG007 we used the following command: dipcall.kit/run-dipcall "outputPrefix.dipcall\_output" "reference" "outputPrefix.bp.hapl.p\_ctg.fa" "outputPrefix.bp.hap2.p\_ctg.fa" > dipcall\_instructions.mak

```
make -j2 -f dipcall_instructions.mak
```

From the output we compared outputPrefix.dipcall\_output.dip.vcf.gz variant call set against GIAB truth set using hap.py small variant evaluation program. For all samples, we used GIAB v4.2.1 benchmarking set and GRCh38 as the reference. The command for hap.py is provided in the associated section.

#### Gene completeness assessment with asmgene

We assessed the gene completeness of the phased assemblies with asmgene (version v2.21). We first aligned the Ensembl cDNA sequences to the GRCh38 reference genome using minimap2 (v2.21) using the following command:

```
minimap2 -cxsplice:hq -t32 "GRCh38.fa" "homo_sapiens_cdna.fa" >
"asmgene_output_prefix.ref.cdna.paf"
```

Then we align the cDNA sequences to each haplotype of the phased assemblies.

```
minimap2 -cxsplice:hq -t32 "outputPrefix.bp.hap2.p_ctg.fa"
"homo sapiens cdna.fa" > "asmgene output prefix.HAP2.cdna.paf"
```

Then we use asmgene tool available from paftools.js to derive the gene completeness metrics for each haplotype.

```
paftools.js asmgene -a "asmgene_output_prefix.ref.cdna.paf"
"asmgene_output_prefix.HAP1.cdna.paf" >
"asmgene_output_prefix.hap1.genestats"
```

```
paftools.js asmgene -a "asmgene_output_prefix.ref.cdna.paf"
"asmgene_output_prefix.HAP2.cdna.paf" >
"asmgene output prefix.hap2.genestats"
```

### Assembly statistics with QUAST

We used QUAST (version v5.0.2) to derive the assembly size, N50 and NG50 metrics of the assembly against the GRCh38 reference sequence. For the assembly size, N50 and NG50 values, we used the primary assembly we get from hifiasm assembler. We run the following command to derive the assembly metrics:

```
python /root/tools/quast/quast-5.0.2/quast-lg.py -t "nThreads" -o
"QUAST_output_directory" -r "GRCh38.fa" --large
outputPrefix.bp.p_ctg.fa
```

Within the output directory the report.txt file contains the assembly size, N50 and NG50 numbers that we report in our evaluation.

## Variant calling with DeepVariant

We ran DeepVariant with the provided <u>convenience script</u> to call variants. Here is the command used:

```
/opt/deepvariant/bin/run_deepvariant --model_type="PACBIO"
--use_hp_information --ref="ref.fa" --reads="reads.bam"
--output_vcf="output.vcf" --num_shards="$(nproc)"
```

For the pbccs baseline, we used the latest DeepVariant model for PacBio data, v1.2. For DeepConsensus, we trained a custom model which was specified using the --customized\_model in the above command.

Variant calls were evaluated using v0.3.12 of hap.py from the jmcdani20/hap.py Docker image. The command used was:

```
/opt/hap.py/bin/hap.py "truth.vcf" "output.vcf" -f "truth.bed" -r
"ref.fa" -o "output/happy.output"
--stratification="v2.0-GRCh38-stratifications.tsv" --engine=vcfeval
--pass-only
```

Truth VCF and BED files come from the <u>Genome in a Bottle</u> (GIAB) truth sets. The v4.2.1 truth set was used for all samples.

### Comparison with Lal et al.

Sequence data from Lal et al was retrieved from

https://nv-pacbio.s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/paper\_results.zip. The file

sequelii\_after.fastq consists of reads polished using the approach presented in Lal et al. We extracted 760 of 3,586 reads that aligned to chromosome 20, which was present in both our test dataset and the Lal et al. test dataset. We then ran bamConcordance to compare the accuracy of both approaches. We calculate base pair errors (bp-errors) as the sum of the lengths of mismatch and indel errors.

# Supplementary results

# Supplementary figures



**Supplementary Figure 1:** The observed read quality ( $Q_{concordance}$ ) and predicted read quality ( $Q_{predicted}$ ) are plotted against one another for both pbccs and DeepConsensus. Reads are from HG002 chr20 and have a length of 11kb.



**Supplementary Figure 2:** Read length distribution of HG003 two SMRT Cells reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus.



**Supplementary Figure 3:** Distribution of observed coverage of HG003 two SMRT Cells reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus.



**Supplementary Figure 4:** Read length distribution of HG003 three SMRT Cells reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus.



**Supplementary Figure 5:** Distribution of observed coverage of HG003 two SMRT Cells reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus.



**Supplementary Figure 6:** Contiguity of the hifiasm assemblies of Maize B73 sample with reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus from two PacBio SMRT Cells.



**Supplementary Figure 7:** Contiguity of the hifiasm assemblies of Mus Musculus sample with reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus from two PacBio SMRT Cells.



**Supplementary Figure 8:** Contiguity of the hifiasm assemblies of Rana muscosa sample with reads from pbccs and DeepConsensus from three PacBio SMRT Cells.



**Supplementary Figure 9:** To isolate the impact of accuracy improvements from coverage gains, analyses were run with the identical set of reads processed with DeepConsensus and pbccs. (a) Contiguity of the hifiasm assemblies using Q20+ reads from each method for two HG006 PacBio SMRT Cells. (b) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for two PacBio SMRT Cells. (c) Contiguity of the hifiasm assemblies using Q20+ reads from each method for two PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three HG006 PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three HG006 PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three HG006 PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three HG006 PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three HG006 PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three PacBio SMRT Cells. (d) HG006 variant calling performance using Q20+ reads from each method for three PacBio SMRT Cells.



Supplementary Figure 10: Variant calling performance with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads on HG007 sample.



**Supplementary Figure 11: DeepConsensus with longer reads improves genome assembly contiguity.** (a) HG002 read length distribution for 15kb and 24kb DeepConsensus reads from two SMRT Cells. (b) Contiguity of the HG002 hifiasm assembly with 15kb and 24kb DeepConsensus reads from two SMRT Cells. (c) HG002 variant calling performance for 15kb and 24kb reads from DeepConsensus for two SMRT Cells.

а

## Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table 1: Error reduction on the test split for DeepConsensus models using subsets of input signals and either the custom alignment loss or average cross-entropy loss computed over each predicted position. The released DeepConsensus model uses alignment loss + bases + pulse width (PW) + interpulse duration (IP) + CCS sequence from pbccs + strand for each subread + signal-to noise-ratios (SN). Error reduction here is shown for chr19 and chr20 and includes reads <Q20, which is why the error reduction of the published model is slightly different from other comparisons.

DeepConsensus experiment	Error reduction compared to pbccs		
Alignment loss + bases	11.11%		
Alignment loss + bases + PW	20.96%		
Alignment loss + bases + PW + IP	30.40%		
Alignment loss + bases + PW + IP + CCS	31.81%		
Alignment loss + bases + PW + IP + CCS + strand	34.53%		
Alignment loss + bases + PW + IP + CCS + strand + SN (published model)	37.57%		
Cross-entropy loss + bases + PW + IP + CCS + strand + SN	9.31%		

Supplementary Table 2: Q-concordance comparison on 760 reads from chr20, which is the only chromosome available across all test datasets.

Dataset	$Q_{concordance}$
pbccs	27.5987
Lal et al.	29.1858
DeepConsensus	29.9320

# Supplementary Table 3: Comparison of average number of errors per 10kbp for each error class on 760 reads from chr20, which is the only chromosome available across all test datasets.

Dataset	All Errors (per 10kbp)	Mismatch (per 10kbp)	Non-Homopoly mer Insertion (per 10kbp)	Non-Homopoly mer Deletion (per 10kbp)	Homopolymer Insertion (per 10kbp)	Homopolymer Deletion (per 10kbp)
pbccs	17.29	1.31	1.13	0.43	7.54	6.88
Lal et al.	12.08	1.08	0.36	0.41	4.69	5.54
DeepConsensus	10.09	0.66	0.18	0.51	3.66	5.08

Supplementary Table 4: DeepConsensus and pbccs yield for reads at Q20 (predicted 99% accuracy) or higher.

Comple	Dood Longth	SMDT Calla	Yield			
Sample	Kead Length	SWIKI Cells	pbccs	DeepConsensus		
HC003	15 kb	2	46.15 Gb	53.02 Gb		
110005	15 KU	3	65.62 Gb	75.41 Gb		
НС004	15 kb	2	45.55 Gb	52.28 Gb		
110004		3	64.70 Gb	74.26 Gb		
НС006	15kb	2	61.10 Gb	69.24 Gb		
НО000	13K0	3	83.17 Gb	96.56 Gb		
HG007	15kb	2	39.56 Gb	49.54 Gb		

# Supplementary Table 5: QUAST reported assembly statistics of hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

Sample	SMRT Cells	Method	N50 (Mb)	NG50 (Mb)	Assembly size (Gb)	Assembly completeness against GRCh38 (%)
	2	pbccs	4.74	4.91	3.16	97.67
HG003	2	DeepConsensus	17.23	17.23	3.1	97.62
15kb	2	pbccs	33.64	33.64	3.1	97.88
	3	DeepConsensus	55.54	55.54	3.1	97.94
	2	pbccs	3.43	3.72	3.23	97.31
HG004		DeepConsensus	12.76	12.37	3.07	97.34
15kb	2	pbccs	36.24	36.24	3.07	97.44
	3	DeepConsensus	41.07	41.07	3.06	97.47
	2	pbccs	18.89	18.55	3.01	97.37
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	31.68	31.54	3.02	97.5
15kb	2	pbccs	42.87	41.19	3.04	97.76
	3	DeepConsensus	51.68	51.68	3.04	97.88
HG007	2	pbccs	1.89	1.94	3.15	97.1
15kb	2	DeepConsensus	8.52	8.48	3.07	97.36

Sample	SMRT Cells	Method	HAP1 Q	HAP2 Q
	2	pbccs	43.391	43.066
HG003	_	DeepConsensus	45.107	45.181
15kb	2	pbccs	48.68	48.569
	3	DeepConsensus	50.281	50.425
	2	pbccs	43.446	43.096
HG004	2	DeepConsensus	44.916	44.925
15kb	3	pbccs	48.881	48.547
		DeepConsensus	50.333	50.404
	2	pbccs	45.778	45.806
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	46.637	46.503
15kb	2	pbccs	46.972	46.868
	3	DeepConsensus	47.421	47.483
HG007	2	pbccs	39.219	39.067
15kb	2	DeepConsensus	42.052	41.935

Supplementary Table 6: YAK estimated phred-scale Q value of hifiasm assemblies with PBCCS and DeepConsensus reads.

Supplementary Table 7: Assembly-based small variant calling SNP evaluation of hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

	SMDT		SNPs						
Sample	Cells	Method	True positives	False negatives	False positives	Precision	Recall	F1-score	
	2	pbccs	3011035	316460	31051	0.9898	0.9049	0.9454	
HG003	2	DeepConsensus	3141265	186230	28454	0.991	0.944	0.9670	
15kb	2	pbccs	3235037	92458	9978	0.9969	0.9722	0.9844	
	3	DeepConsensus	3262865	64630	8834	0.9973	0.9806	0.9889	
	2	pbccs	2902441	444169	34944	0.9881	0.8673	0.9238	
HG004	2	DeepConsensus	3110169	236441	31955	0.9898	0.9293	0.9586	
15kb	2	pbccs	3220904	125706	12159	0.9962	0.9624	0.9790	
	3	DeepConsensus	3268274	78336	12173	0.9963	0.9766	0.9863	
	2	pbccs	3093947	175913	13177	0.9958	0.9462	0.9703	
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	3174650	95210	11817	0.9963	0.9709	0.9834	
15kb	2	pbccs	3198263	71597	10844	0.9966	0.9781	0.9873	
	3	DeepConsensus	3214917	54943	11265	0.9965	0.9832	0.9898	
HG007	2	pbccs	2620694	663768	52719	0.9803	0.7979	0.8797	
15kb	2	DeepConsensus	2919344	365118	46821	0.9842	0.8888	0.9341	

	CMDT		INDELs						
Sample	Cells	Method	True positives	False negatives	False positives	Precision	Recall	F1-score	
	2	pbccs	439410	65091	221210	0.6725	0.871	0.7590	
HG003	2	DeepConsensus	462380	42121	128197	0.7888	0.9165	0.8478	
15kb	2	pbccs	479075	25426	48570	0.9108	0.9496	0.9298	
	3	DeepConsensus	485407	19094	25203	0.9523	0.9622	0.9572	
	2	pbccs	425671	84848	218034	0.6686	0.8338	0.7421	
HG004	2	DeepConsensus	460158	50361	145983	0.7656	0.9014	0.8279	
15kb	2	pbccs	478488	32031	56204	0.8981	0.9373	0.9173	
	3	DeepConsensus	488804	21715	32312	0.94	0.9575	0.9487	
	2	pbccs	395841	32397	53935	0.8831	0.9243	0.9033	
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	407094	21144	30961	0.9312	0.9506	0.9408	
15kb	2	pbccs	409551	18687	22326	0.9497	0.9564	0.953	
	3	DeepConsensus	413053	15185	13441	0.9694	0.9645	0.9669	
HG007	2	pbccs	318117	112209	526259	0.3825	0.7392	0.5041	
15kb	2	DeepConsensus	366759	63567	244628	0.6064	0.8523	0.7086	

Supplementary Table 8: Assembly-based small variant calling INDEL evaluation of hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

Commla	SMRT	Mathad	# Single	Single	Single copy		False duplications		Duplicated
Sample	Cells	Method	copy ref	Hap1	Hap2	Hap1	Hap2	(%)	(%)
	2	pbccs	35374	32045	31682	623	375	90.076	1.411
HG003	2	DeepConsensus	35374	32882	32864	301	203	92.93	0.712
15kb	2	pbccs	35374	33852	33668	180	141	95.437	0.454
	5	DeepConsensus	35374	34201	34302	126	134	96.827	0.368
	2	pbccs	35374	30973	30779	1250	647	87.284	2.681
HG004	2	DeepConsensus	35374	32306	31951	279	202	90.825	0.68
15kb	2	pbccs	35374	33512	33183	225	142	94.271	0.519
	5	DeepConsensus	35374	33897	33898	145	140	95.826	0.403
	2	pbccs	35374	33149	33145	184	143	93.704	0.462
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	35374	33893	33765	186	143	95.632	0.465
15kb	2	pbccs	35374	34134	34021	146	139	96.335	0.403
	3	DeepConsensus	35374	34399	34341	141	122	97.162	0.372
HG007	2	pbccs	35374	29284	29056	649	453	82.462	1.558
15kb	2	DeepConsensus	35374	30935	30925	309	226	87.437	0.756

Supplementary Table 9: Asmgene single-copy gene completeness analysis of hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

Supplementary Table 10: Asmgene multi-copy gene completeness analysis of hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

a 1	SMRT			Multi	сору	Complete	Missing multi
Sample	Cells	Method	# Multi copy ref	Hap1	Hap2	multi copy (%)	copy (%)
	2	pbccs	1253	968	936	75.978	24.022
HG003	2	DeepConsensus	1253	980	982	78.292	21.708
15kb	2	pbccs	1253	1006	983	79.37	20.63
	5	DeepConsensus	1253	988	989	78.891	21.109
	2	pbccs	1253	932	959	75.459	24.541
HG004	2	DeepConsensus	1253	967	942	76.177	23.823
15kb	3	pbccs	1253	1007	974	79.05	20.95
		DeepConsensus	1253	993	987	79.01	20.99
	2	pbccs	1253	964	995	78.172	21.828
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	1253	1019	957	78.851	21.149
15kb	2	pbccs	1253	1003	995	79.729	20.271
	3	DeepConsensus	1253	1042	972	80.367	19.633
HG007	2	pbccs	1253	886	851	69.314	30.686
15kb	2	DeepConsensus	1253	920	951	74.661	25.339

Supplementary Table 11: QUAST reported assembly statistics of hifiasm assemblies for Maize and Mus Musculus. We were not able to successfully run Quast on DeepConsensus Maize reads with the available resources.

Sample	Read length	SMRT Cells	Method	N50 (Mb)	NG50 (Mb)	Assembly size (Gb)	Assembly completeness against reference
Maina	15kb	15kb 2	pbccs	45.5	45.5	2.19	98.689
Maize			DeepConsensus	57.6	57.6	2.19	N/A
Mus Musculus	15kb	15kb 2	pbccs	23.4	22.43	2.61	95.666
			DeepConsensus	43.33	41.31	2.61	95.728

Supplementary Table 12: Asmgene single-copy gene completeness analysis of Maize and Mus Musculus hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

Sample Read SMRT		SMRT	Method # Single		Single copy	False duplications	Complete	Duplicated
le	lengtii	Cells		copy let	Primary	Primary	(70)	(70)
Maize 15kb		pbccs	30651	30562	50	99.71	0.163	
	1560	15KD 2	DeepConsensus	30651	30562	57	99.71	0.186
Mus Musculus 15kb	1 2	pbccs	20179	20066	55	99.44	0.273	
	1360	15KD 2	DeepConsensus	20179	20084	53	99.529	0.263

Supplementary Table 13: Asmgene multi-copy gene completeness analysis of Mus Musculus hifiasm assemblies with pbccs and DeepConsensus reads.

	Read		# Multi copy	Multi copy	Complete	Missing multi		
Sample	length	SMRT Cells	Method	ref	Primary	multi copy (%)	copy (%)	
Mus Musculus	15kb	2	pbccs	1015	856	84.335	15.665	
Mus Musculus	1360	2	DeepConsensus	1015	863	85.025	14.975	

Supplementary Table 14: QUAST reported assembly statistics of hifiasm assemblies with PBCCS and DeepConsensus on ZMWs above Q20 for each method. DC-HiFi-reads sample includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for DeepConsensus. PBCCS-HiFi-reads includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for PBCCS.

Sample	SMRT Cells	Method	N50 (Mb)	NG50 (Mb)	Assembly size (Gb)	Assembly completeness against GRCh38 (%)
	2	pbccs	25.1	24.56	3.02	97.58
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	31.68	31.54	3.02	97.5
DC-HiFi-reads	3	pbccs	44.4	42.93	3.04	97.69
		DeepConsensus	51.68	51.68	3.04	97.88
	2	pbccs	18.89	18.55	3.01	97.37
HG006 PBCCS-HiFi-reads	2	DeepConsensus	20.24	18.89	3.01	97.34
	2	pbccs	42.87	41.19	3.04	97.76
	3	DeepConsensus	38.96	38.86	3.04	97.84

Supplementary Table 15: YAK estimated phred-scale QV of hifiasm assemblies using ZMWs above Q20 for each method. DC-HiFi-reads sample includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for DeepConsensus. PBCCS-HiFi-reads includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for PBCCS.

Sample	SMRT Cells	Method	HAP1 QV	HAP2 QV
	2	pbccs	45.346	45.375
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	46.637	46.503
DC-HiFi-reads	2	pbccs	46.399	46.212
	5	DeepConsensus	47.421	47.483
	2	pbccs	45.778	45.806
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	46.995	46.902
PBCCS-HiFi-reads	2	pbccs	46.972	46.868
	5	DeepConsensus	47.786	47.727

Supplementary Table 16: Assembly-based small variant calling evaluation of hifiasm assemblies with ZMWs above Q20 for each method. DC-HiFi-reads sample includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for DeepConsensus. PBCCS-HiFi-reads includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for PBCCS.

	SMDT		SNPs							
Sample Cells		Method	True positives	False negatives	False positives	Precision	Recall	F1-score		
	2	pbccs	3163142	106718	14358	0.9955	0.9674	0.9812		
HG006 DC-HiFi-rea ds	2	DeepConsensus	3174650	95210	11817	0.9963	0.9709	0.9834		
	3	pbccs	3209208	60652	10929	0.9966	0.9815	0.989		
		DeepConsensus	3214917	54943	11265	0.9965	0.9832	0.9898		
	2	pbccs	3093947	175913	13177	0.9958	0.9462	0.9703		
HG006 PBCCS-HiFi -reads	2	DeepConsensus	3091516	178344	11265	0.9964	0.9455	0.9702		
	3	pbccs	3198263	71597	10844	0.9966	0.9781	0.9873		
	3	DeepConsensus	3196618	73242	8672	0.9973	0.9776	0.9874		

Supplementary Table 17: Assembly-based small variant calling evaluation of hifiasm assemblies with ZMWs above Q20 for each method. DC-HiFi-reads sample includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for DeepConsensus. PBCCS-HiFi-reads includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for PBCCS.

	CMDT		INDELs							
Sample	Cells	Method	True positives	False negatives	False positives	Precision	Recall	F1-score		
	2	pbccs	404384	23854	48707	0.8952	0.9443	0.9191		
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	407094	21144	30961	0.9312	0.9506	0.9408		
DC-HiFi-reads	3	pbccs	410918	17320	21145	0.9524	0.9596	0.956		
		DeepConsensus	413053	15185	13441	0.9694	0.9645	0.9669		
	2	pbccs	395841	32397	53935	0.8831	0.9243	0.9033		
HG006 PBCCS-HiFi-r eads	2	DeepConsensus	396632	31606	29926	0.9317	0.9262	0.929		
	3	pbccs	409551	18687	22326	0.9497	0.9564	0.953		
	3	DeepConsensus	411029	17209	12264	0.9718	0.9598	0.9658		

Supplementary Table 18: Asmgene single-copy gene completeness analysis of hifiasm assemblies with ZMWs above Q20 for each method. DC-HiFi-reads sample includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for DeepConsensus. PBCCS-HiFi-reads includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for PBCCS.

Samula	SMRT	Method	# Single	Sing	Single copy		plications	Complete	Duplicated
Sample	Cells	Method	copy ref	Hap1	Hap2	Hap1	Hap2	(%)	(%)
	2	pbccs	35374	33768	33777	208	136	95.473	0.486
HG006 DC HiFi read	2	DeepConsensus	35374	33893	33765	186	143	95.632	0.465
S	3	pbccs	35374	34164	34332	137	158	96.817	0.417
	5	DeepConsensus	35374	34399	34341	141	122	97.162	0.372
	2	pbccs	35374	33149	33145	184	143	93.704	0.462
HG006 PBCCS-HiFi- reads	2	DeepConsensus	35374	33111	33098	171	138	93.584	0.437
	3	pbccs	35374	34134	34021	146	139	96.335	0.403
	5	DeepConsensus	35374	33837	34052	145	136	95.959	0.397

Supplementary Table 19: Asmgene multi-copy gene completeness analysis of hifiasm assemblies with ZMWs above Q20 for each method. DC-HiFi-reads sample includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for DeepConsensus. PBCCS-HiFi-reads includes all reads that pass the Q20 filter for PBCCS.

~ .	SMRT		# Multi copy	Multi	сору	Complete	Missing multi
Sample	Cells	Method	ref	Hap1	Hap2	multi copy (%)	copy (%)
	n	pbccs	1253	967	1018	79.21	20.79
HG006	2	DeepConsensus	1253	1019	957	78.851	21.149
DC-HiFi-reads	2	pbccs	1253	972	1039	80.247	19.753
	3	DeepConsensus	1253	1042	972	80.367	19.633
	n	pbccs	1253	964	995	78.172	21.828
HG006 PBCCS-HiFi-reads	2	DeepConsensus	1253	1041	951	79.489	20.511
	3	pbccs	1253	1003	995	79.729	20.271
	5	DeepConsensus	1253	982	1040	80.686	19.314

Supplementary Table 20: Whole g	enome variant calling results for	or DeepConsensus and	pbccs reads
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------	-------------

Sample	SMRT Cells	Method	Туре	Recall	Precision	F1 Score	False Negatives	False Positives
		pbccs	INDEL	0.969544	0.977546	0.973528	15365	11674
HG003 15kb 2			SNP	0.994683	0.998822	0.996748	17693	3907
	2	DeepConsensus + re-trained DV	INDEL	0.976595	0.978256	0.977425	11808	11383
			SNP	0.996891	0.99904	0.997964	10345	3191

		DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.941865	0.937756	0.939806	29329	32591
		DeepVariant v1.2	SNP	0.996948	0.999033	0.997989	10155	3214
		1	INDEL	0.986755	0.988421	0.987587	6682	6069
		poces	SNP	0.998211	0.999261	0.998736	5954	2457
	3	DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.988248	0.988042	0.988145	5929	6278
		re-trained DV	SNP	0.998487	0.999382	0.998934	5035	2056
		DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.956454	0.954828	0.95564	21969	23606
		DeepVariant v1.2	SNP	0.99856	0.999318	0.998939	4791	2268
			INDEL	0.966677	0.976135	0.971383	17012	12540
		poces	SNP	0.992949	0.99839	0.995662	23596	5363
	2	DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.9746	0.977708	0.976152	12967	11797
HG004		re-trained DV	SNP	0.995903	0.998765	0.997332	13711	4123
15kb			INDEL	0.98602	0.988074	0.987046	7137	6327
		poces	SNP	0.99782	0.999242	0.99853	7297	2535
	3	DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.988143	0.988352	0.988248	6053	6190
		re-trained DV pbccs	SNP	0.998234	0.999352	0.998793	5910	2168
			INDEL	0.989999	0.991616	0.990807	4283	3705
			SNP	0.998028	0.999078	0.998553	6448	3014
	2	DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.992824	0.993181	0.993002	3073	3017
HG006		re-trained DV	SNP	0.998547	0.999332	0.99894	4750	2183
15kb			INDEL	0.993529	0.993174	0.993351	2771	3024
	2	poces	SNP	0.998865	0.999451	0.999158	3712	1795
	3	DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.996324	0.996303	0.996314	1574	1637
		re-trained DV	SNP	0.998977	0.999538	0.999258	3346	1509
		nhees	INDEL	0.929728	0.938265	0.933977	30240	27097
HG007	2	poces	SNP	0.987392	0.997745	0.992541	41412	7333
15kb	<u> </u>	DeepConsensus +	INDEL	0.960992	0.96166	0.961326	16786	17006
		re-trained DV	SNP	0.995266	0.998799	0.997029	15549	3933

SMRT Cells	Stratification	Method	Indel FN	Indel FP	SNP FN	SNP FP	Total Errors	DC errors relative to PBCCS	
	<200/ CC	pbccs	3848	5393	29676	5053	43970	54.500/	
	<30% GC	DeepConsensus	2498	3176	14054	4151	23879	54.50%	
	20.55.0/ CC	pbccs	12625	20182	99606	19053	151466	55 (00/	
	50-55 % GC	DeepConsensus	8307	10612	51065	14272	84256	55.00%	
		pbccs	3573	7171	33486	6415	50645	5( 200/	
	>>> % GC	DeepConsensus	2252	3330	18295	4629	28506	56.30%	
	All Homen character	pbccs	903	1225	4871	960	7959	5( 700/	
2	All Homopolymers	DeepConsensus	586	642	2548	737	4513	50.70%	
	AllTandemRepeatsa	pbccs	1887	2744	13603	2352	20586	(0.000/	
	nd HomoPolymers	DeepConsensus	1250	1589	7755	1946	12540	60.90%	
	Dinucledotide	pbccs	199	211	837	182	1429	(1.500/	
	repeats >10bp	DeepConsensus	144	152	441	142	879	61.50%	
		pbccs	20078	32966	164546	30928	248518	55 700/	
	All	DeepConsensus	13101	17149	84972	23261	138483	55.70%	
		pbccs	2089	2856	7270	2062	14277	75.100/	
	<30% GC	DeepConsensus	1701	1717	5223	2081	10722	/5.10%	
	20.55.04.00	pbccs	7483	11163	32805	7993	59444	74.400/	
	30-55 % GC	DeepConsensus	6000	5868	24503	7832	44203	/4.40%	
		pbccs	2448	4082	18467	3326	28323	(0.100/	
	>>> % GC	DeepConsensus	1886	1773	13254	2671	19584	69.10%	
	A 11 TT	pbccs	605	835	1751	419	3610	70.000/	
3	All Homopolymers	DeepConsensus	434	429	1237	427	2527	/0.00%	
	AllTandemRepeatsa	pbccs	1250	1781	6154	1016	10201	75.400/	
	nd HomoPolymers	DeepConsensus	934	964	4706	1094	7698	/5.40%	
	Dinucledotide	pbccs	129	148	297	61	635		
	repeats >10bp	DeepConsensus	123	105	201	75	504	79.40%	
		pbccs	12116	18201	60996	13520	104833	<b>73</b> 100/	
А	All	DeepConsensus	9645	9288	44791	12877	76601	73.10%	

Supplementary Table 21: Error reduction in HG006 dipcall variant calling between PBCCS and DeepConsensus stratified by Genome in a Bottle region.

# Supplementary Table 22. Yield for 15kb and 24kb reads at Q20 used for assembly and variant calling experiments.

Sample	Read Length	SMRT Cells	Method	Yield
HG002	15 kb	2	DeepConsensus	88.98 Gb
110002	24 kb	2	DeepConsensus	103.61 Gb

# Supplementary Table 23: QUAST reported assembly statistics of hifiasm assemblies with 15kb and 24kb reads.

Sample	Read length	SMRT Cells	Method	N50 (Mb)	NG50 (Mb)	Assembly size (Gb)	Assembly completeness against GRCh38
HG002	15kb	2	DeepConsensus	24.81	24.81	3.09	97.654
	24kb	2	DeepConsensus	33.14	34.05	3.13	97.68

# Supplementary Table 24: YAK estimated phred-scale Q value of hifiasm assemblies with 15kb and 24kb reads.

Sample	Read length	SMRT Cells	Method	HAP1 Q	HAP2 Q
HC000	15kb	2	DeepConsensus	51.879	51.534
HG002	24kb	2	DeepConsensus	nsus 50.901 5	50.614

# Supplementary Table 25: Assembly-based small variant calling SNP evaluation of hifiasm assemblies with 15kb and 24kb reads.

Sample	Pood	SMDT		SNPs							
	length	Cells	Method	True positives	False negatives	False positives	Precision	Recall	F1-score		
HG002	15kb	2	DeepConsensus	3318960	46167	7073	0.9979	0.9863	0.992		
	24kb	2	DeepConsensus	3312496	52631	7404	0.9978	0.9844	0.991		

# Supplementary Table 26: Assembly-based small variant calling INDEL evaluation of hifiasm assemblies with 15kb and 24kb reads.

Sample	Read SMRT			INDELs							
	length	Cells	Method	True positives	False negatives	False positives	Precision	Recall	F1-score		
HG002	15kb	2	DeepConsensus	507578	17891	19463	0.9643	0.966	0.9651		
	24kb	2	DeepConsensus	511634	13835	19131	0.9652	0.9737	0.9694		

Sample	Read SMRT		, Mathad	# Single	Single copy		False duplications		Complete	Duplicated
	length	Cells	wienioù	copy ref	Hap1	Hap2	Hap1	Hap2	(%)	(%)
HG002	15kb	2	DeepConsensus	35374	34553	34353	153	114	97.396	0.377
	24kb	2	DeepConsensus	35374	34517	34269	191	189	97.227	0.537

Supplementary Table 27: Asmgene single-copy gene completeness analysis of hifiasm assemblies with 15kb and 24kb reads.

# Supplementary Table 28: Asmgene multi-copy gene completeness analysis of hifiasm assemblies with 15kb and 24kb reads.

		SMRT Cells		# Multi	Multi copy		Complete	Missing
Sample	Read length		Method	copy ref	Hap1	Hap2	multi copy (%)	multi copy (%)
HG002	15kb	2	DeepConsensus	1253	1024	904	76.935	23.065
	24kb	2	DeepConsensus	1253	1043	985	80.926	19.074

Supplementary Table 29: Chr20 variant calling results for DeepConsensus reads.

Sample	SMRT Cells	Method	Туре	Recall	Precision	F1 Score	False Negatives	False Positives
HG002 15kb	2	DeepConsensus	INDEL	0.989606	0.987434	0.988518	117	147
			SNP	0.998822	0.999748	0.999285	84	18
HG002 24kb	2	DeepConsensus	INDEL	0.989961	0.989044	0.989502	113	128
			SNP	0.999257	0.999734	0.999495	53	19